

Advection-diffusion equations with rough coefficients: weak solutions and vanishing viscosity

Paolo Bonicatto

University of Warwick

Joint work with G. Ciampa (BCAM) and G. Crippa (Basel)



Workshop “Convex Integration and Nonlinear PDEs”
Edinburgh, 12 November 2021

Table of contents

1 Introduction

2 On the advection-diffusion equation

- Notions of solutions and L^p theory
- A regularity result for distributional solutions

3 The selection principle via vanishing viscosity

Introduction

Given $\mathbf{b}: (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$, we want to study the *transport/continuity* equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{TE})$$

where $u_0: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given initial datum.

Introduction

Given $\mathbf{b}: (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$, we want to study the *transport/continuity* equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}u) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{CE})$$

where $u_0: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given initial datum.

Introduction

Given $\mathbf{b}: (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$, we want to study the *transport/continuity* equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}u) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{CE})$$

where $u_0: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given initial datum.

- Method of characteristics: if $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p}$, for some $p \geq 1$, we define the **Lagrangian solution**

$$u^{\text{L}}(t, x) := u_0(\mathbf{X}(t, \cdot)^{-1}(x)),$$

being \mathbf{X} the Regular Lagrangian Flow of \mathbf{b} .

Introduction

Given $\mathbf{b}: (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$, we want to study the *transport/continuity* equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}u) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{CE})$$

where $u_0: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given initial datum.

- Method of characteristics: if $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p}$, for some $p \geq 1$, we define the **Lagrangian solution**

$$u^L(t, x) := u_0(\mathbf{X}(t, \cdot)^{-1}(x)),$$

being \mathbf{X} the Regular Lagrangian Flow of \mathbf{b} .

- If $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p}$ and $u_0 \in L_x^q$ with $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$, then u^L is the *unique distributional* solution in $L_t^\infty L_x^q$ to (CE) [DiPerna-Lions '89].

Taming non-uniqueness

Outside DiPerna-Lions' regime, there are several ill-posedness results, obtained via *convex integration schemes* [Modena-Székelyhidi, Modena-Sattig, Bruè-Colombo-De Lellis, Cheskidov-Luo et al. '18 - '21].

Pivotal problem

Tame this non-uniqueness phenomenon, establishing **selection criteria**.

Taming non-uniqueness

Outside DiPerna-Lions' regime, there are several ill-posedness results, obtained via *convex integration schemes* [Modena-Székelyhidi, Modena-Sattig, Bruè-Colombo-De Lellis, Cheskidov-Luo et al. '18 - '21].

Pivotal problem

Tame this non-uniqueness phenomenon, establishing **selection criteria**.

Inspired by conservation laws, we consider the **vanishing viscosity scheme**, i.e. study for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}v_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Delta v_\varepsilon & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ v_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = v_{0,\varepsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d \end{cases} \quad (\text{VV}_\varepsilon)$$

and understand compactness/convergence of $(v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. This naturally leads to the study of **advection-diffusion equations**.

Advection-diffusion equation

Given a vector field $\mathbf{b}: [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$, we thus study

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \operatorname{div}(v\mathbf{b}) = \Delta v & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{ADE})$$

where $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$ and $v_0: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given initial datum. In this talk, we address two peculiar aspects:

- 1 multiple **notions of solutions** (based on their **regularity**) can be given for (ADE) and, contrary to (TE), the presence of the Laplacian allows one to obtain well-posedness results even **without weak differentiability** of \mathbf{b} ;

Advection-diffusion equation

Given a vector field $\mathbf{b}: [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$, we thus study

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \operatorname{div}(v\mathbf{b}) = \Delta v & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{ADE})$$

where $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$ and $v_0: \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given initial datum. In this talk, we address two peculiar aspects:

- 1 multiple **notions of solutions** (based on their **regularity**) can be given for (ADE) and, contrary to (CE), the presence of the Laplacian allows one to obtain well-posedness results even **without weak differentiability** of \mathbf{b} ;
- 2 **ill-posedness results via convex integration** are available also for (ADE) and should thus be taken into account.

Advection-diffusion equation: notions of solutions

Let us assume $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 L_x^p$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$ and $v_0 \in L^q$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \operatorname{div}(v \mathbf{b}) = \Delta v & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d. \end{cases} \quad (\text{ADE})$$

- 1 If $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$ we can give a *distributional* definition of solution. Existence results for these solutions are easily obtained from energy estimates;
- 2 if, in addition, $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, then one can find *parabolic solutions*, i.e. distributional solutions with $u \in L_t^2 H_x^1$. Existence follows again from energy estimates. Furthermore, in this regime, parabolic solutions are unique (classical commutators' estimate);
- 3 if $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,1}$ and $v_0 \in L^\infty$, then there always exists a unique parabolic solution [Le Bris-Lions '03].

Advection-diffusion equation: a regularity result for distributional solutions

Convex integration [Modena-Sattig '20]

There exists a divergence-free vector field $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2$ for which (ADE) admits infinitely many distributional solutions $v \in L_t^\infty L_x^2$ (the parabolic one being unique).

It is important to understand if there is a condition that guarantees the *parabolic regularity* (therefore uniqueness) of a distributional solution.

B.-Ciampa-Crippa '21

Let $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ such that $1/p + 1/q \leq 1/2$. If $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^2 L_x^p$ is a divergence-free vector field and $u \in L_t^\infty L_x^q$ is a distributional solution to (ADE), then $u \in L_t^2 H_x^1$.

The proof is based on a simple commutators' estimate in $L_t^2 H_x^{-1}$.

The selection principle via vanishing viscosity

We now consider Sobolev \mathbf{b} , with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$. Our starting point was

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}u) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d \end{cases} \quad (\text{TE})$$

The selection principle via vanishing viscosity

We now consider Sobolev \mathbf{b} , with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$. We consider for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Delta \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$$

for some approximant $\mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon}$ of u_0 .

The selection principle via vanishing viscosity

We now consider Sobolev \mathbf{b} , with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$. We consider for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Delta \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$$

for some approximant $\mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon}$ of u_0 . For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$ is parabolically well-posed [LeBris-Lions '03].

The selection principle via vanishing viscosity

We now consider Sobolev \mathbf{b} , with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$. We consider for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Delta \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$$

for some approximant $\mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon}$ of u_0 . For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$ is parabolically well-posed [LeBris-Lions '03].

B.-Ciampa-Crippa '21

Let $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,1}$ divergence-free and $u_0 \in L^1$. Let $(\mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon \subset L^\infty$ be any sequence of functions such that $\mathbf{v}_{0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_0$ in L^1 . Then the *vanishing viscosity* sequence $(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subseteq L_t^\infty L_x^\infty \cap L_t^2 H_x^1$ of parabolic solutions to $(\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$ converges in $C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{T}^d))$ to the **Lagrangian solution** u^L to (CE).

The selection principle via vanishing viscosity

We now consider Sobolev \mathbf{b} , with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0$. We consider for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}v_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \Delta v_\varepsilon & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ v_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = v_{0,\varepsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^d \end{cases} \quad (\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$$

for some approximant $v_{0,\varepsilon}$ of u_0 . For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$ is parabolically well-posed [LeBris-Lions '03].

B.-Ciampa-Crippa '21

Let $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,1}$ divergence-free and $u_0 \in L^1$. Let $(v_{0,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon \subset L^\infty$ be any sequence of functions such that $v_{0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_0$ in L^1 . Then the *vanishing viscosity* sequence $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subseteq L_t^\infty L_x^\infty \cap L_t^2 H_x^1$ of parabolic solutions to $(\mathbf{VV}_\varepsilon)$ converges in $C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{T}^d))$ to the **Lagrangian solution** u^L to (CE).

Remark

This selection principle works also beyond the distributional regime $(\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,1}$ and $u_0 \in L^1)$.

Glimpses of the proofs of the vanishing viscosity scheme

We present two proofs:

- 1 one is purely Eulerian, based on a duality argument [DiPerna-Lions '89];
- 2 the other proof is instead Lagrangian in nature, has its roots in stochastic flows and yields *quantitative* rates of convergence of $v^\varepsilon \rightarrow u^L$. Such rates depend on the form of approximation/the regularity of the initial datum.

Glimpses of the proofs of the vanishing viscosity scheme

We present two proofs:

- 1 one is purely Eulerian, based on a duality argument [DiPerna-Lions '89];
- 2 the other proof is instead Lagrangian in nature, has its roots in stochastic flows and yields *quantitative* rates of convergence of $v^\varepsilon \rightarrow u^L$. Such rates depend on the form of approximation/the regularity of the initial datum.

Corollary 1

If $u_0 \in H^1 \cap L^\infty$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$, with $C = C(T, p, \|u_0\|_\infty, \|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|\mathbf{b}\|_{W^{1,p}})$ s.t.

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|v_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u^L(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C |\ln \varepsilon|^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Compare with [Bruè-Nguyen '20].

Glimpses of the proofs of the vanishing viscosity scheme

We present two proofs:

- 1 one is purely Eulerian, based on a duality argument [DiPerna-Lions '89];
- 2 the other proof is instead Lagrangian in nature, has its roots in stochastic flows and yields *quantitative* rates of convergence of $v^\varepsilon \rightarrow u^L$. Such rates depend on the form of approximation/the regularity of the initial datum.

Corollary II

There is **no anomalous dissipation**, i.e. if $\mathbf{b} \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,1}$ and $u_0 \in L^2$

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \|\nabla v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thank you!