The Time Complexity of Self-Assembly

Erwin Frey



Arnold Sommerfeld

CENTER FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

Heterogeneous & homogeneous self-assembly



DNA Origami (information-rich)



Hedges et al. Soft Matter 10, 6404 (2014) Murugan et al. Nat Commun 6, 6203 (2015) Viral Capsid Assembly (information-poor)



Chen et al. J Phys Chem A 112, 9405 (2008) Hagan et al. J Chem Phys 135, 104115 (2011) Michaels et al. Sci Rep 7, 12295 (2017)

Nucleation must be significantly slower than growth



Time complexity of self-assembly

How fast can one reach the self-assembled state?



Even if the steady state is an equilibrium state this is a question of dynamics!

Gartner, Graf & Frey, eLife 9, e51020 (2020), PNAS 119, e2116373119 (2022)

Self-assembly "algorithms"

Assembly is a "computational problem"

Gartner, Graf & Frey, eLife 9, e51020 (2020), PNAS 119, e2116373119 (2022)

A conceptual self-assembly model



Gartner, Graf & Frey, eLife 9, e51020 (2020), PNAS 119, e2116373119 (2022)

Self-assembly scenarios (control)

supply control

molecular control

just-in-sequence scenario $\bigcirc \oslash \oslash$ $T_1 < T_2 < T_3 < \dots$

activation scenario



dimerization scenario



reversible binding scenario 1 2 3 $\xrightarrow{\delta_1}$ 1 2 + 3

Computer Science

computational problem

algorithm

termination criterion

CPU time

input size

Self-Assembly

biological self-assembly

assembly scenario

90% yield

real time

size of target structure





Yield curves (steady states)



dimerization limits nucleation

What is the dimerisation rate that minimises the time to achieve a yield of 90%?

 μ_{opt} : 90% yield in minimal time



Time complexity scaling (universality)



Scaling analysis exemplified for dimerization

Slow nucleation principle:

 $\frac{\text{\# nucleation events}}{\text{\# attaching monomers}} \sim 1/S$

Dimerization scenario: decreasing the dimerization rate μ disfavours initiation of new structures relative to the growth of existing structures.

Dimerization is the rate-limiting step.

 $\mu_{\rm opt} S/v \sim 1/S$ $\mu_{\rm opt} \sim v/S^2$

$$T_{\min} \sim \left(C \mu_{\text{opt}} S\right)^{-1} \sim \left(C v\right)^{-1} S$$

Time complexity exponent: $\theta = 1$

How to transfer the result from 1d to 2d to 3d?

Volume $\sim R^d \sim S$ Area $\sim R^{d-1} \sim S^{(d-1)/d} \Rightarrow \nu \rightarrow \nu S^{(d-1)/d}$

$$\Rightarrow T_{\min} \sim S^{\theta}$$
 with $\theta = 1 - \frac{d-1}{d}$

Dimerization





Dimerization scenario

 $\mu \ll \nu$

allosteric effects, enzymes



flagellum⁽¹⁾, viruses⁽²⁾, ribosomes

Activation scenario

$\alpha \ll C\nu$

slow production/injection, NTPases



viruses⁽²⁾, membrane attack complex⁽³⁾

- (1) Caspar, D. L. Movement and self-control in protein assemblies. Quasi-equivalence revisited. Biophysical Journal, 32(1), 103–138 (1980)
- (2) Lazaro, G. R. & Hagan, M. F. Allosteric Control of Icosahedral Capsid Assembly. J. Phys. Chem. B 120, 6306–6318 (2016).
- (3) Leung, C. et al. Stepwise visualization of membrane pore formation by suilysin, a bacterial cholesterol-dependent cytolysin. Elife 3, e04247 (2014).

Reversible binding scenario

 $\delta \gg C\nu$

reduced binding energy, high temperature



virus capsids⁽³⁾, DNA nanotechnology⁽⁴⁾

Just-in-sequence scenario

 $T_1 < T_2 < T_3 < \dots$

coordinated supply, gene expression



DNA nanotechnology

(3) Rapaport, D. C. Role of reversibility in viral capsid growth: A paradigm for self-assembly. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 1–4 (2008).
(4) Hong, F., Zhang, F., Liu, Y. & Yan, H. *DNA Origami: Scaffolds for Creating Higher Order Structures. Chemical Reviews* **117**, (2017).

Morphology of building blocks

Shape matters!

Gartner & Frey, under review

Strong binding & preferred kinetic pathways

Detachment rate: $\delta_n \sim \exp[-n E_{\text{bind}}/k_B T]$ $\delta_1 = 113 C\nu$ 8 Strong binding limit: $\delta_1 \gg \delta_2$ Number of Clusters Bonds with two binding partners are unbreakable 6 square





Initial nucleation — Secondary nucleation — Growth ('domino effect')



Initial nucleation — Secondary nucleation — Growth ('domino effect')

Scaling laws & assembly exponents



$$T_{\min} \sim S^{\theta}$$



Scale invariance!



There is a scaling curve for the final yield as a function of the detachment rate.

Effective kinetic model

Monomers

Nucleus

Cluster

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t m(t) &= -\sigma \,\bar{\mu} \, m^{\sigma}(t) - \bar{\nu} \, m^{\gamma}(t) \sum_{s=\sigma}^{S-1} f_s \, c_s(t) \,, \\ \partial_t c_{\sigma}(t) &= \bar{\mu} \, m^{\sigma}(t) - \bar{\nu} \, m^{\gamma}(t) \, f_{\sigma} \, c_{\sigma}(t) \,, \\ \partial_t c_s(t) &= \bar{\nu} \, m^{\gamma}(t) \left[f_{s-1} \, c_{s-1}(t) - f_s \, c_s(t) \right] . \end{aligned}$$

combinatorial factor: $f_s = a \, s^{\omega}$

Monomers



Scale invariance of assembly kinetics

Monomers
$$\partial_t m(t) = -a \,\bar{\nu} \, m^{\gamma} \int_{\sigma}^{S} s^{\omega} c(s) \, ds$$
Nucleus $a \bar{\nu} \, m^{\gamma}(t) \, \sigma^{\omega} c(\sigma, t) = \bar{\mu} \, m^{\sigma}(t)$ Output $\partial_t c(s, t) = -a \, \bar{\nu} \, m^{\gamma} \partial_s [s^{\omega} c(s)]$

These differential equations exhibit scale invariance!

$$m(t, \delta_1, S) = C \,\tilde{m}(S^{-\theta} \,\tilde{t}, \, S^{-\phi} \,\tilde{\delta}_1)$$
$$c(s, t, \delta_1, S) = CS^{-2} \,\tilde{c}(S^{-1}s, \, S^{-\theta} \,\tilde{t}, \, S^{-\phi} \,\tilde{\delta}_1)$$

Scaling law: $T_Y(\delta_1, S) = S^{\theta} \tilde{T}_Y(S^{-\phi} \delta_1)$



Exponents determined by assembly parameters

Control parameter exponent: $\delta_1^{\text{opt}} \sim S^{\phi}$

Time complexity exponent:

t:
$$T_{90}^{\min} \sim S^{\theta}$$

$$\phi = \frac{2 - \omega}{\sigma - \gamma - 1}$$

$$\theta = \frac{(1-\omega)\,\sigma + \gamma + 2\omega - 3}{\sigma - \gamma - 1}$$



Scaling laws & assembly exponents



$$T_{\min} \sim S^{\theta}$$



How can we make assembly robust?



Make triangle-shaped building blocks form higher-order constituents

Huge reduction in assembly time

Increased robustness to parameter variations

Self-assembly dynamics - What we know



Slow nucleation principle Time complexity of self-assembly T(S) = ? Power laws and scaling laws Morphology of the building blocks matters

Self-assembly dynamics - What we do not know

Information-rich vs. information-poor Spatial and temporal organization Size and shape control

Acknowledgements







MAX PLANCK SCHOOLS



Florian Gartner (LMU) Isabella Graf (Yale)



ARNOLD SOMMERFELD

CENTER FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS



