

Directed and mixed graphs with order close to the Moore bound

James Tuite Joint work with Grahame Erskine, Geoffrey Exoo

17th July 2023



Q. What is the smallest possible order of a graph with degree d and girth g?

0



Q. What is the smallest possible order of a graph with degree *d* and girth *g*? Example: d = 3, g = 5:

From any fixed vertex:

• 1 vertex at distance 0



Q. What is the smallest possible order of a graph with degree *d* and girth *g*? Example: d = 3, g = 5:

From any fixed vertex:

- 1 vertex at distance 0
- d vertices at distance 1



Q. What is the smallest possible order of a graph with degree *d* and girth *g*? Example: d = 3, g = 5:



From any fixed vertex:

- 1 vertex at distance 0
- d vertices at distance 1
- d(d-1) vertices at distance 2
- To avoid cycles of lengths 3 or 4 all these vertices must be distinct



Q. What is the smallest possible order of a graph with degree *d* and girth *g*? Example: d = 3, g = 5:



From any fixed vertex:

- 1 vertex at distance 0
- d vertices at distance 1
- d(d-1) vertices at distance 2
- To avoid cycles of lengths 3 or 4 all these vertices must be distinct

So the lower bound (*Moore bound*) for graphs of girth 5 is $d^2 + 1$. This is only achieved if we can connect the vertices in the last level so that the graph has girth 5.

The Open University

Anita Sillasen was the first to look into the following directed analogy of the undirected degree/girth problem.



Anita Sillasen was the first to look into the following directed analogy of the undirected degree/girth problem.

Def. A digraph is *k*-geodetic if there do not exist vertices u, v with two distinct directed paths of length $\leq k$ between them.



Anita Sillasen was the first to look into the following directed analogy of the undirected degree/girth problem.

Def. A digraph is *k*-geodetic if there do not exist vertices u, v with two distinct directed paths of length $\leq k$ between them.

This digraph is not 2-geodetic.



The Open University

Anita Sillasen was the first to look into the following directed analogy of the undirected degree/girth problem.

Def. A digraph is *k*-geodetic if there do not exist vertices u, v with two distinct directed paths of length $\leq k$ between them.

This digraph is not 2-geodetic.

This digraph is not 3-geodetic.





The Open University

Q. What is the smallest possible order of a digraph with (out-)degree d and geodecity k?

Q. What is the smallest possible order of a digraph with (out-)degree *d* and geodecity *k*? Example: d = 3, k = 2:

• From any fixed vertex:

• 1 vertex at distance 0

niversit

of a digraph with (out-)degree d

Q. What is the smallest possible order of a digraph with (out-)degree *d* and geodecity *k*? Example: d = 3, k = 2:

From any fixed vertex:

- 1 vertex at distance 0
- *d* vertices at distance 1

Q. What is the smallest possible order of a digraph with (out-)degree *d* and geodecity *k*? Example: d = 3, k = 2:

From any fixed vertex:

- 1 vertex at distance 0
- *d* vertices at distance 1
- *d*² vertices at distance 2
- To avoid geodecity failures all these vertices must be distinct; in general n ≥ 1 + d + d² + · · · + d^k = M(d,k)





Q. What is the smallest possible order of a digraph with (out-)degree *d* and geodecity *k*? Example: d = 3, k = 2:

From any fixed vertex:

- 1 vertex at distance 0
- *d* vertices at distance 1
- *d*² vertices at distance 2
- To avoid geodecity failures all these vertices must be distinct; in general n ≥ 1 + d + d² + · · · + d^k = M(d, k)

This is impossible for any d, k except in the trivial cases d = 1 or k = 1 (same argument as Bridges and Toueg)





Definitions



The order of *G* will be $M(d, k) + \epsilon$, where ϵ is the excess of *G*.

A *k*-geodetic digraph with minimum out-degree *d* and excess ϵ is a $(d, k; +\epsilon)$ -digraph.

A smallest possible *k*-geodetic digraph with out-degree $\geq d$ a geodetic cage.

The set of vertices that are at distance > k from *u* is the outlier set O(u) of *u*.

Results from the last IWONT



Theorem Sillasen

There are no diregular *k*-geodetic digraphs with degree d = 2 and excess $\epsilon = 1$.

Theorem Miller, Miret, Sillasen

All digraphs with excess one are diregular.

Theorem Miller, Miret, Sillasen

There are no (d, k; +1)-digraphs for k = 2, 3, 4.

The outlier function



Lemma

The outlier function is a digraph automorphism!

Proof

Define a matrix *P* by setting the (u, v)-entry equal to one iff o(u) = v. Let *A* be the adjacency matrix of *G*. Counting paths,

$$I + A + A^2 + \dots + A^k = J - P.$$

G can be shown to be diregular, so *A* and *J* commute. Therefore AP = PA, so *o* is an automorphism.

Do geodetic cages exist?



The vertices of P(d, k) are all permutations of length k from the alphabet [d + k]. We set $x_0x_1 \dots x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_1x_2 \dots x_{k-1}x_k$ iff $x_k \notin \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$.



Figure 1: P(2, 2)

Cages for d = 2, k = 2





Figure 2: The two (2, 2)-geodetic-cages

Cages with d = 2, k = 3





Figure 3: $d = 2, k = 3, \epsilon = 5$

Cages with d = 2, k = 3





Figure 4: $d = 2, k = 3, \epsilon = 5$

The unique cage with d = 3, k = 2





What we know now

The Open University

Theorem JT

There are no (2, k; +2)-digraphs for $k \ge 3$ (diregular or otherwise).

Theorem JT

There are no diregular (2, k; +3)-digraphs for $k \ge 3$.

Theorem JT

The structure of (3, k; +1)-digraphs is 'highly constrained' (i.e. we know the permutation structure and there are divisibility conditions). There are no 'involutary' (3, k; +1)-digraphs. (N.B. This approach also settles the nonexistence of (d, 2; +1)-digraphs for $3 \le d \le 7$).

What we know now

The Open University

Theorem JT

There are no (2, k; +2)-digraphs for $k \ge 3$ (diregular or otherwise).

Theorem JT

There are no diregular (2, k; +3)-digraphs for $k \ge 3$.

Theorem JT

The structure of (3, k; +1)-digraphs is 'highly constrained' (i.e. we know the permutation structure and there are divisibility conditions). There are no 'involutary' (3, k; +1)-digraphs. (N.B. This approach also settles the nonexistence of (d, 2; +1)-digraphs for $3 \le d \le 7$).

Question

Where next for digraphs?

Diregularity of (d, 2; +2)-digraphs



Let *G* be a 2-geodetic digraph with minimum out-degree *d* and excess $\epsilon = 2$. We can assume that $d \ge 4$. Let $S = \{v \in V(G) : d^-(v) < d\}$ and $S' = \{v' \in V(G) : d^-(v') > d\}$.

Definition

The *deficiency* $\sigma^-(v)$ of a vertex $v \in S$ is $\sigma^-(v) = d - d^-(v)$ and the *surplus* $\sigma^+(v')$ of a vertex $v' \in S'$ is $d^-(v') - d$.

Our aim is to show that the 'divergence' from diregularity is confined to a small range of values. We measure this divergence using the total deficiency

$$\sigma = \sum_{\nu \in S} \sigma^{-}(\nu) = \sum_{\nu' \in S'} \sigma^{+}(\nu').$$

We set $\psi(u) = \sum_{v \in N^-(u)} \sigma^-(v)$.



Fact one

The largest possible in-degree is d + 2. Each vertex $v' \in S'$ is the outneighbour of at least $\sigma^+(v')$ vertices of any outlier set O(u).



Fact one

The largest possible in-degree is d + 2. Each vertex $v' \in S'$ is the outneighbour of at least $\sigma^+(v')$ vertices of any outlier set O(u).

Corollary

As there are at most *d* out-neighbours of any outlier set, $\sigma \leq 2d$.



Fact one

The largest possible in-degree is d + 2. Each vertex $v' \in S'$ is the outneighbour of at least $\sigma^+(v')$ vertices of any outlier set O(u).

Corollary

As there are at most *d* out-neighbours of any outlier set, $\sigma \leq 2d$.

Fact

If $\sigma < 2d$, then the largest possible in-degree is d + 1 and $\sigma = |S'|$.

Proof: Suppose that $d^-(v') = d + 2$. There are at least $1 + d + d^2 + 2(d + 1) - \sigma$ vertices that can reach v' by paths of length ≤ 2 . Therefore

$$n = 3 + d + d^2 \ge d^2 + 3d + 3 - \sigma,$$

so $\sigma \geq 2d$.



Fact

 $\sigma \geq d-1.$

Proof: Let $v' \in S'$. If $d^-(v') = d + 2$ we are done, so take $d^-(v') = d + 1$. Counting paths of length ≤ 2 again, Thus

$$n = 3 + d + d^{2} \ge 1 + d + d^{2} + (d + 1) - \psi(v') = 2 + 2d + d^{2} - \psi(v').$$



Fact

 $\sigma \ge d-1.$

Proof: Let $v' \in S'$. If $d^-(v') = d + 2$ we are done, so take $d^-(v') = d + 1$. Counting paths of length ≤ 2 again, Thus

$$n = 3 + d + d^{2} \ge 1 + d + d^{2} + (d + 1) - \psi(v') = 2 + 2d + d^{2} - \psi(v').$$

Fact

If $\sigma \leq 2d - 2$, then *S'* is an independent set.

Proof: if $N^{-}(v') \cap S' \neq \emptyset$, then by the previous fact v' has deficiency $\geq d - 1$ in $N^{-}(v')$ and $\geq d - 1$ in $N^{-2}(v')$.



Fact

For $d + 1 \le \sigma \le 2d - 2$ or $\sigma = d - 1$, no vertex $v' \in S'$ is an outlier.

Proof: Suppose that $O(u) = \{v', x\}$ for $v' \in S'$. Each vertex of S' is an out-neighbour of at least one vertex of $O(u) = \{v', x\}$. For this range of σ , S' is independent, so $S' \subseteq N^+(x)$ and hence $\sigma \leq d$.



Fact

The total deficiency takes one of the following seven values:

 $\sigma \in \{d-1, d, d+1, d+2, 2d-2, 2d-1, 2d\}.$

Proof: suppose that $d + 1 \le \sigma \le 2d - 3$ and fix $v' \in S'$. Every vertex in S' has surplus one. v' is not an outlier, so every vertex of G is contained once in $T_{-2}(v')$. Also S' is independent, so $S' - \{v'\} \subset N^{-2}(v')$.

Suppose that a vertex *u* has ≥ 2 vertices of *S'* as in-neighbours. Then we would have $\sigma \geq 2d - 2$, contradicting our assumption. Therefore each vertex in $N^-(v')$ has at most one in-neighbour in *S'*. As there are d + 1 in-neighbours of v' and every vertex in *S'* has surplus one, it follows that $\sigma \leq d + 2$.







Figure 5: The Moore tree for z = 3, r = 3, k = 2

Geodecity problem for mixed graphs



A mixed graph is *k*-geodetic if for any ordered pair of vertices (u, v) there is at most one non-backtracking mixed walk of length $\leq k$ from *u* to *v*.

The undirected degree of a vertex u is the number of edges incident to u. The directed out-degree (in-degree) is the number of arcs from (to) u.

What is the smallest order of a k-geodetic mixed graph with undirected degree r and directed out-degree z? A minimum such graph is a mixed cage. We can prove that cages exist by truncation.

A *k*-geodetic mixed graph with undirected degree *r*, directed out-degree *z* and excess ϵ is an $(r, z, k; +\epsilon)$ -graph.

The mixed Moore bound



$$M(r, z, k) = A \frac{u_1^{k+1} - 1}{u_1 - 1} + B \frac{u_2^{k+1} - 1}{u_2 - 1},$$

where $v = (z + r)^2 + 2(z - r) + 1$ and

$$u_1 = \frac{z + r - 1 - \sqrt{v}}{2}, u_2 = \frac{z + r - 1 + \sqrt{v}}{2}$$

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{v} - (z + r + 1)}{2\sqrt{v}}, B = \frac{\sqrt{v} + (z + r + 1)}{2\sqrt{v}},$$

Mixed Moore graphs with k = 2



The mixed Moore bound for k = 2 is $(r + z)^2 + z + 1$.

Theorem Nguyen, Miller, Gimbert, 2007

There are no mixed Moore graphs with $k \ge 3$.

Mixed Moore graphs with k = 2



The mixed Moore bound for k = 2 is $(r + z)^2 + z + 1$.

Theorem Nguyen, Miller, Gimbert, 2007

There are no mixed Moore graphs with $k \ge 3$.

Theorem, after López & Miret

Let G be a totally regular (r, z, 2, +1)-graph. Then either:

- $4r + 1 = c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c|(16z^2 24z + 25)$, or
- $4r 7 = c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c | (16z^2 + 40z + 9)$.

Total regularity



Definition

A mixed graph is totally regular if there exist r and z such that every vertex has undirected degree r and directed in- and out-degree z.

Theorem

Almost mixed Moore graphs are out-regular with undirected degree r and directed out-degree z.

Question

Are almost mixed Moore graphs totally regular?



Definition

A mixed graph is totally regular if there exist r and z such that every vertex has undirected degree r and directed in- and out-degree z.

Theorem

Almost mixed Moore graphs are out-regular with undirected degree r and directed out-degree z.

Question

Are almost mixed Moore graphs totally regular?

Definition

$$\begin{split} S &= \{ v \in V(G) : d^{\rightarrow}(v) < z \}, S' = \{ v' \in V(G) : d^{\rightarrow}(v') > z \}.\\ N^+(u) &= \{ w \in V(G) : u \sim w \text{ or } u \rightarrow w \},\\ N^-(u) &= \{ w \in V(G) : u \sim w \text{ or } w \rightarrow u \}. \end{split}$$





Lemma

For all $u \in V(G)$ we have $S \subseteq N^+(r(u))$ and $S' \subseteq r(N^+(u))$.

Lemma 1



Lemma

For all $u \in V(G)$ we have $S \subseteq N^+(r(u))$ and $S' \subseteq r(N^+(u))$.







Corollary

All vertices in *S* have directed in-degree z - 1.

Corollary



Corollary

All vertices in *S* have directed in-degree z - 1.

As the average directed in-degree is z,

$$\sum_{\nu'\in S'} (d^{\rightarrow}(\nu')-z) = \sum_{\nu\in S} (z-d^{\rightarrow}(\nu)) = |S|.$$

There are r + z vertices in *S*



Lemma

|S| = r + z.

Proof.

Let $v \in S$. By the preceding lemma $d^{\rightarrow}(v) = z - 1$. We obtain an upper bound for |V(G)| by assuming that $S' \subseteq N^{-}(v)$.

$$|V(G)| \le 1 + r + (z - 1) + r(r - 1) + rz + (z - 1)(r + z) + |S|.$$

Rearranging, $|S| \ge r + z$. Combined with $S \subseteq N^+(r(u))$, we see that |S| = r + z.

There are r + z vertices in *S*



Lemma

|S| = r + z.

Proof.

Let $v \in S$. By the preceding lemma $d^{\rightarrow}(v) = z - 1$. We obtain an upper bound for |V(G)| by assuming that $S' \subseteq N^{-}(v)$.

$$|V(G)| \le 1 + r + (z - 1) + r(r - 1) + rz + (z - 1)(r + z) + |S|.$$

Rearranging, $|S| \ge r + z$. Combined with $S \subseteq N^+(r(u))$, we see that |S| = r + z.

Corollary

$$S = N^+(r(u))$$
 for all $u \in V(G)$.

The undirected degree

Theorem

The undirected degree of G is two.





There are exactly two repeats



Theorem

There are exactly two repeats.



Completing the argument



Now is we count paths we obtain the equation

$$I + A + A^2 = J + 2I + P,$$

where we can choose all non-zero entries to lie in the first two columns.

Completing the argument



Now is we count paths we obtain the equation

$$I + A + A^2 = J + 2I + P,$$

where we can choose all non-zero entries to lie in the first two columns.

The matrix J + P has spectrum $\{n + 1, -1, 0^{(n-2)}\}$, so $I + A + A^2$ has spectrum $\{n + 3, 1, 2^{(n-2)}\}$.

Completing the argument



Now is we count paths we obtain the equation

$$I + A + A^2 = J + 2I + P,$$

where we can choose all non-zero entries to lie in the first two columns.

The matrix J + P has spectrum $\{n + 1, -1, 0^{(n-2)}\}$, so $I + A + A^2$ has spectrum $\{n + 3, 1, 2^{(n-2)}\}$.

As the trace of A is zero, the sum of these eigenvalues must be zero. However, this is impossible!

A graph with excess one





Figure 7: The unique mixed graph with r = 2, z = 1, k = 2 and excess $\epsilon = 1$.

What do we know about excess one?



Theorem

Let *G* be a totally regular (r, z, 2, +1)-graph. Then either r = 2 $4r + 1 = c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c|(16z^2 - 24z + 25)$ or $4r - 7 = c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c|(16z^2 + 40z + 9)$.

Theorem JT

Any (r, z, k; +1)-graph with k = 2 or z = 1 is totally regular.

A counting argument





Figure 8: The Moore tree for r = z = 1 and k = 5.

A counting argument





Figure 9: The Moore tree for r = z = 1 and k = 5.

A counting argument





Figure 10: The Moore tree for r = z = 1 and k = 5.

Result



Theorem

For $k \ge 3$, the excess ϵ of a totally regular $(r, z, k; +\epsilon)$ -graph satisfies

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{r}{\phi} \Big[\frac{\lambda_1^{k-1} - 1}{\lambda_1 - 1} - \frac{\lambda_2^{k-1} - 1}{\lambda_2 - 1} \Big] = \frac{A(k)}{z},$$

where

$$\phi = \sqrt{(r+z-1)^2 + 4z},$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(r+z-1+\phi)$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(r+z-1-\phi).$$

All (r, z, k; +1)-graphs



Theorem

The excess of any (r, z, k)-cage satisfies

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{rz}{(2r+3z)\phi} \Big[\frac{\lambda_1^{k-1}-1}{\lambda_1-1} - \frac{\lambda_2^{k-1}-1}{\lambda_2-1} \Big].$$

All (r, z, k; +1)-graphs



Theorem

The excess of any (r, z, k)-cage satisfies

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{rz}{(2r+3z)\phi} \Big[\frac{\lambda_1^{k-1}-1}{\lambda_1-1} - \frac{\lambda_2^{k-1}-1}{\lambda_2-1} \Big].$$

Result

With (quite a lot) more work, this implies that any (r, z, k; +1)-graph has k = 2.

Cages for r = z = 1, k = 3





Cages for r = z = 1, k = 3





Cage for r = z = 1, k = 4





Application to degree/diameter





Figure 11. The chain decomposition for k = 8

Application to degree/diameter



Theorem

For $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\delta(k+6) = \delta(k) + F_{k-1} + F_{k+4},$$

where $F_0 = F_1 = 1, F_2 = 2, F_3 = 3, F_4 = 5, ...$ is the Fibonacci sequence.

Some open problems



- Are geodetic cages totally regular/diregular?
- Are (d, 2; +2)- and (2, k; +3)-digraphs diregular?
- Are there (r, z, k; −1)-graphs with k ≥ 3? In particular, are they totally regular?
- Are (*r*, *z*, *k*; +2)-graph totally regular?
- Find smallest arc-transitive $(d, k; +\epsilon)$ -digraphs.
- Can we extend the new bound for defect of totally regular mixed graphs to other degree parameters?
- Connectivity of geodetic cages.
- Geodetic colourings and game colourings.

Some open problems



- Are geodetic cages totally regular/diregular?
- Are (d, 2; +2)- and (2, k; +3)-digraphs diregular?
- Are there (r, z, k; −1)-graphs with k ≥ 3? In particular, are they totally regular?
- Are (*r*, *z*, *k*; +2)-graph totally regular?
- Find smallest arc-transitive $(d, k; +\epsilon)$ -digraphs.
- Can we extend the new bound for defect of totally regular mixed graphs to other degree parameters?
- Connectivity of geodetic cages.
- Geodetic colourings and game colourings.

Thank you!

References



- Sillasen, A.A. On *k*-geodetic digraphs with excess one. Electron.
 J. Graph Theory Appl. 2 (2) (2014), 150-159.
- Miller, M., Miret, J. M., & Sillasen, A. A. On digraphs of excess one. Discrete Appl. Math. 238 (2018), 161-166.
- Tuite, J. On diregular digraphs with degree two and excess two. Discrete Appl. Math. 238 (2018), 167-174.
- Tuite, J. Digraphs with degree two and excess two are diregular. Discrete Math. 342 (5) (2019), 1233-1244.
- Tuite, J. On diregular digraphs with degree two and excess three. Discrete Appl. Math. 266 (2019), 331-339.
- Tuite, J. & Erskine, G. On total regularity of mixed graphs with order close to the Moore bound. Graphs Comb. (35) (2019), 1253–1272.
- Tuite, J. & Erskine, G. On networks with order close to the Moore bound. Graphs Comb. 38 (143) (2022).