



Alexandre Girouard

Université Laval

Metric upper bounds for Steklov and Laplace eigenvalues

joint work with

Bruno Colbois

Université de Neuchâtel



Steklov eigenvalue problem

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold with smooth $\Sigma := \partial M$.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \partial_\nu u = \sigma u & \text{on } \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

The Steklov eigenvalues form a sequence

$$0 = \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \nearrow +\infty$$

Steklov eigenvalue problem

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold with smooth $\Sigma := \partial M$.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \partial_\nu u = \sigma u & \text{on } \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

The Steklov eigenvalues form a sequence

$$0 = \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \nearrow +\infty$$

$$\sigma_k = \min_{V \in E(k+1)} \max_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV}{\int_\Sigma u^2 dA}$$

where $E(k+1) = \{V \subset H^1(M) : \dim(V) = k+1\}$

Geometric upper bounds for Steklov eigenvalues

Fraser-Schoen 2011

$$\sigma_1(M) |\Sigma| |M|^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}} \leq (n+1) V_{rc}(M)^{2/n+1}$$

Geometric upper bounds for Steklov eigenvalues

Fraser–Schoen 2011

$$\sigma_1(M)|\Sigma||M|^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}} \leq (n+1)V_{rc}(M)^{2/(n+1)}$$

Colbois–El Soufi–Girouard 2011

If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded domain,

$$\sigma_k(M)|\Sigma||M|^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}} \leq K(n)k^{2/(n+1)}$$

Geometric upper bounds for Steklov eigenvalues

Fraser–Schoen 2011

$$\sigma_1(M)|\Sigma||M|^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}} \leq (n+1)V_{rc}(M)^{2/n+1}$$

Colbois–El Soufi–Girouard 2011

If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded domain,

$$\sigma_k(M)|\Sigma||M|^{\frac{1-n}{1+n}} \leq K(n)k^{2/(n+1)}$$

The isoperimetric inequality leads to

$$\sigma_k(M)|\Sigma|^{1/n} \leq K(n)k^{2/(n+1)}$$

Here $\Sigma = \partial M$ is the boundary of a domain: an hypersurface

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a n -dimensional closed submanifold.

There is $A_\Sigma > 0$ such that each submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Sigma = \partial M$ satisfies

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq A_\Sigma |M| k^{2/n}$$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a n -dimensional closed submanifold.

There is $A_\Sigma > 0$ such that each submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Sigma = \partial M$ satisfies

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq A_\Sigma |M| k^{2/n}$$

This applies to arbitrary submanifolds, not only hypersurfaces.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a n -dimensional closed submanifold.

There is $A_\Sigma > 0$ such that each submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Sigma = \partial M$ satisfies

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq A_\Sigma |M| k^{2/n}$$

This applies to arbitrary submanifolds, not only hypersurfaces.

What is the nature of the constant A_Σ ?

Theorem A (Colbois–Girouard 2021)

Let M be a smooth connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + 1$ with boundary Σ .

The following holds for each $k \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq \left(\frac{b^2 N^3 \Gamma \Lambda^2}{|\Sigma|^{1 + \frac{2}{n}}} \right) |M| k^{2/n}$$

where

b = number of connected components of the boundary

N = packing constant of Σ for d_M

Γ = growth constant of Σ

Λ = distortion of Σ in M

a) **The packing constant** $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for (Σ, d_M) :

For each $r > 0$ and $x \in \Sigma$, the extrinsic ball $B^M(x, r) \cap \Sigma$ can be covered by N extrinsic balls of radius $r/2$ centred at points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Sigma$:

$$B^M(x, r) \cap \Sigma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B^M(x_i, r/2);$$

a) **The packing constant** $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for (Σ, d_M) :

For each $r > 0$ and $x \in \Sigma$, the extrinsic ball $B^M(x, r) \cap \Sigma$ can be covered by N extrinsic balls of radius $r/2$ centred at points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \Sigma$:

$$B^M(x, r) \cap \Sigma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B^M(x_i, r/2);$$

b) **The growth constant** Γ :

For each $x \in \Sigma$ and $r > 0$, $|B^\Sigma(x, r)|_\Sigma \leq \Gamma r^n$.

c) **The distortion of the boundary Λ :**

For $x, y \in \Sigma$, we have $d_M(x, y) \leq d_\Sigma(x, y)$.

Let $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_b$ be the connected components of the boundary.

The **distortion of Σ_j in M** is the number $\Lambda_j \in [1, \infty)$ defined by

$$\Lambda_j := \inf\{c \geq 1 : d_\Sigma(x, y) \leq cd_M(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in \Sigma_j\}. \quad (1)$$

c) **The distortion of the boundary Λ :**

For $x, y \in \Sigma$, we have $d_M(x, y) \leq d_\Sigma(x, y)$.

Let $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_b$ be the connected components of the boundary.

The **distortion of Σ_j in M** is the number $\Lambda_j \in [1, \infty)$ defined by

$$\Lambda_j := \inf\{c \geq 1 : d_\Sigma(x, y) \leq cd_M(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in \Sigma_j\}. \quad (1)$$

The **distortion of Σ in M** is

$$\Lambda := \max\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_b\}.$$

The distortion is a measure of how much the geodesic distance d_Σ differs from the induced distance $d_M|_\Sigma$.

Theorem A

Let M be a smooth connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + 1$ with boundary Σ .

The following holds for each $k \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq \left(\frac{b^2 N^3 \Gamma \Lambda^2}{|\Sigma|^{1+\frac{2}{n}}} \right) |M| k^{2/n}$$

where

b = number of connected components of the boundary

N = packing constant of Σ for d_M

Γ = growth constant of Σ

Λ = distortion of Σ in M

Theorem B (Colbois–Girouard 2021)

Let M be a smooth connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + 1$ with boundary $\Sigma = \cup_{j=1}^b \Sigma_j$.

Then, for each $j = 1, \dots, b$ and each $k \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq K(n) \frac{|M|}{\text{Diam}_M(\Sigma_j)^2 \text{inj}(\Sigma_j)^n} k^{n+1}$$

where

$\text{Diam}_M(\Sigma_j)$ is the **extrinsic diameter** of Σ_j

$\text{inj}(\Sigma_j)$ is the **injectivity radius** of Σ_j

Theorem B (Colbois–Girouard 2021)

Let M be a smooth connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + 1$ with boundary $\Sigma = \cup_{j=1}^b \Sigma_j$.

Then, for each $j = 1, \dots, b$ and each $k \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_k(M) \leq K(n) \frac{|M|}{\text{Diam}_M(\Sigma_j)^2 \text{inj}(\Sigma_j)^n} k^{n+1}$$

where $\text{Diam}_M(\Sigma_j)$ is the **extrinsic diameter** of Σ_j
 $\text{inj}(\Sigma_j)$ is the **injectivity radius** of Σ_j

Moreover
$$\sigma_1(M) \leq K(n) \frac{|M|}{\text{Diam}_M(\Sigma)^2 \text{inj}(\Sigma)^n}$$

Applications to eigenvalues of the Laplace operator

Let Σ be a closed Riemannian manifold.

Let $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \cdots$ be the nonzero **eigenvalues of the Laplacian** on Σ .

Let $M = [-L, L] \times \Sigma$.

The **Steklov eigenvalues of M** are

$$0, 1/L, \sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L), \sqrt{\lambda_k} \coth(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L)$$

For $L > 0$ small enough, $\sigma_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L)$.

Notice that $b = 2$, $\Lambda = 1$, $N = N_\Sigma$ and $|M| = L|\Sigma|$.

Theorem A \implies

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L) \leq N_\Sigma^3 \Gamma \frac{L |\Sigma|}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{n+2}{n}}} k^{2/n}.$$

Theorem A \implies

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L) \leq N_{\Sigma}^3 \Gamma \frac{L |\Sigma|}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{n+2}{n}}} k^{2/n}.$$

Divide by L :

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \left(\frac{\tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L)}{L} \right) \leq N^3 \Gamma \Lambda^2 \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{2/n}.$$

Theorem A \implies

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L) \leq N_\Sigma^3 \Gamma \frac{L |\Sigma|}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{n+2}{n}}} k^{2/n}.$$

Divide by L :

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \left(\frac{\tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L)}{L} \right) \leq N^3 \Gamma \Lambda^2 \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{2/n}.$$

Take $L \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) |\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq N_\Sigma^3 \Gamma k^{2/n}$$

This is in the spirit of Grigor'yan–Netrusov–Yau and Korevaar.

Theorem B \implies

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L) \leq K(n) \frac{L|\Sigma|}{\text{Diam}(\Sigma)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^n} \right) k^{n+1},$$

Theorem B \implies

$$\sqrt{\lambda_k} \tanh(\sqrt{\lambda_k} L) \leq K(n) \frac{L|\Sigma|}{\text{Diam}(\Sigma)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^n} \right) k^{n+1},$$

Divide by L and take $L \rightarrow 0$ and obtain...

Theorem C (Colbois–Girouard 2021)

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \text{Diam}(\Sigma)^2 \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^n} k^{n+1}$$

This is an improvement of results from Berger, Croke and Kokarev.

Berger, Croke and Kokarev

Let Σ be a closed Riemannian manifold

Berger 1979

If Σ admits an isometric involution without fixed points, then

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^{n+2}}.$$

Berger, Croke and Kokarev

Let Σ be a closed Riemannian manifold

Berger 1979

If Σ admits an isometric involution without fixed points, then

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^{n+2}}.$$

Croke 1980 and Kokarev 2019

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|^2}{\text{conv}(\Sigma)^{2n+2}} k^{2n}.$$

$\text{conv}(\Sigma)$ is its convexity radius

Berger, Croke and Kokarev

Let Σ be a closed Riemannian manifold

Berger 1979

If Σ admits an isometric involution without fixed points, then

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^{n+2}}.$$

Croke 1980 and Kokarev 2019

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|^2}{\text{conv}(\Sigma)^{2n+2}} k^{2n}.$$

$\text{conv}(\Sigma)$ is its convexity radius

Theorem C (Colbois–Girouard 2021)

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|}{\text{Diam}(\Sigma)^2 \text{inj}(\Sigma)^n} k^{n+1}$$

Improvements:

The exponent on k is better.

Because $\text{conv}(\Sigma) \leq \text{inj}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Diam}(\Sigma)$, the control is better.

Improvements:

The exponent on k is better.

Because $\text{conv}(\Sigma) \leq \text{inj}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Diam}(\Sigma)$, the control is better.

Example: Consider $\Sigma_L = \mathbb{S}_L^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $L \rightarrow +\infty$.

Improvements:

The exponent on k is better.

Because $\text{conv}(\Sigma) \leq \text{inj}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Diam}(\Sigma)$, the control is better.

Example: Consider $\Sigma_L = \mathbb{S}_L^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $L \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$\lambda_1 \sim 1/L^2 \quad \text{Diam}(\Sigma_L) \sim L \quad |\Sigma_L| = L|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

Injectivity and convexity radii = π .

Improvements:

The exponent on k is better.

Because $\text{conv}(\Sigma) \leq \text{inj}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Diam}(\Sigma)$, the control is better.

Example: Consider $\Sigma_L = \mathbb{S}_L^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $L \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$\lambda_1 \sim 1/L^2 \quad \text{Diam}(\Sigma_L) \sim L \quad |\Sigma_L| = L|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

Injectivity and convexity radii = π .

Berger and Croke:

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma) \leq K(n)L|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|/\pi^{n+2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 \leq K(n)L^2|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^2/\pi^{2n+2}.$$

Improvements:

The exponent on k is better.

Because $\text{conv}(\Sigma) \leq \text{inj}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Diam}(\Sigma)$, the control is better.

Example: Consider $\Sigma_L = \mathbb{S}_L^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $L \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$\lambda_1 \sim 1/L^2 \quad \text{Diam}(\Sigma_L) \sim L \quad |\Sigma_L| = L|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

Injectivity and convexity radii = π .

Berger and Croke:

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma) \leq K(n)L|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|/\pi^{n+2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 \leq K(n)L^2|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|^2/\pi^{2n+2}.$$

Our bound:

$$\lambda_1 \leq K(n) \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{L\pi^n} \xrightarrow{L \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Optimal exponent on k for negative curvature

Croke 1980 and Kokarev 2019

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|^2}{\text{conv}(\Sigma)^{2n+2}} k^{2n}.$$

Optimal exponent on k for negative curvature

Croke 1980 and Kokarev 2019

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|^2}{\text{conv}(\Sigma)^{2n+2}} k^{2n}.$$

Colbois-Girouard 2021

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|}{\text{Diam}(\Sigma)^2 \text{inj}(\Sigma)^n} k^{n+1}$$

Kokarev 2019

Let Σ be a closed Riemannian manifold with **non-positive sectional curvature**. Then,

$$\lambda_k(\Sigma) \leq K(n) \frac{|\Sigma|^2}{\text{inj}(\Sigma)^{2n+2}} k^{2/n}.$$

Some references

Bruno Colbois, Alexandre Girouard. *Metric upper bounds for Steklov and Laplace eigenvalues.*

[arXiv:2108.03101](#)

Gerasim Kokarev. *Berger inequality for Riemannian manifolds with an upper sectional curvature bound.*

[arXiv:1910.06647](#)

Bounds on σ_k in terms of the diameter have also been studied elsewhere:

Abdelkader Al Sayed; Benjamin Bogosel; Antoine Henrot; Florent Nacry. *Maximization of the Steklov eigenvalues with a diameter constraint.*

SIAM J. Math. Anal. 53 (2021)

Benjamin Bogosel; Dorin Bucur; Alessandro Giacomini. *Optimal shapes maximizing the Steklov eigenvalues.*

SIAM J. Math. Anal. 49 (2017), no. 2, 1645–1680.