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## Attacks on id-SVP

Brief history:

- using units [CGS14,CDPR16] $\rightsquigarrow$ cyclotomics, only principal ideals
- using Stickelberger's relations [CDW17] $\rightsquigarrow$ cyclotomics, all ideals
- using S-units [PHS19,BR20] $\rightsquigarrow$ all ideals, different trade-offs

Motivations:

- that's the simplest case of mod-SIVP ${ }_{k}$
- for the moment that's all we manage to do
- can also break some exotic cryptographic primitives/assumptions

[^3]
## id-SVP vs SVP



id-SVP [CDW21]
(in cyclotomic fields)
units + Stickelberger

id-SVP [PHS19]
(with $2^{O(n)}$ pre-processing) S-units

[^4] Journal of the ACM.
[PHS19] Pellet-Mary, Hanrot, Stehlé. Approx-SVP in ideal lattices with pre-processing. Eurocrypt.
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- $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(X^{d}+1\right) \quad\left(d=2^{\ell}\right)$
- $\mathcal{O}_{K}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{d}+1\right)$

Units: $O_{K}^{\times}=\left\{a \in O_{K} \mid \exists b \in O_{K}, a b=1\right\}$

Principal ideals: $\langle g\rangle=\left\{g r \mid r \in O_{K}\right\}$

- $g$ is a generator of $\langle g\rangle$
- $\{$ generators of $\langle g\rangle\}=\left\{g u \mid u \in O_{K}^{\times}\right\}$

Dimension of ideal lattices: $n=d$
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The Log function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log : K & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
y & \mapsto\left(\log \left|y\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right|, \cdots, \log \left|y\left(\alpha_{d}\right)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $1=(1, \cdots, 1)$ and $H=1^{\perp}$.

## Properties $\left(r \in O_{K}\right)$

$\log r=h+a \cdot 1$, with $h \in H$

- $\log \left(r_{1} \cdot r_{2}\right)=\log \left(r_{1}\right)+\log \left(r_{2}\right)$
- $a \geq 0$
- $a=0$ iff $r$ is a unit
- $\|r\| \simeq \exp \left(\|\log r\|_{\infty}\right)$


The Log-unit lattice: $\Lambda:=\log \left(O_{K}^{\times}\right)$is a lattice in $H$.
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## Estimating the actual performance

How will these algorithms perform in practice for crypto relevant fields?
[DPW19]: Answers the question for [CDW21] algorithm (units+Stickelberger)

- [CDW21] beats BKZ-80 for fields of degree $\gtrsim 2,000$
- [CDW21] beats BKZ-300 for fields of degree $\gtrsim 16,000$
[BR19,BLNR21]: first experimental results for S-units
- main limitation so far: computation of the $S$-units
- [BR19] computes S-units up to degree 70
- [BLNR21] computes a sublattice of the S-units up to degree 210
- no CVP with pre-processing so far (BKZ then Babai nearest plane)
[BLNR21] Bernard, Lesavourey, Nguyen, Roux-Langlois. Log-S-unit lattices using Explicit Stickelberger Generators to solve Approx Ideal-SVP. EPrint.
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## Reminder: NTRU [HPS98]

## dec-NTRU

Parameters: $q \geq B>1$ and $\psi$ distribution over $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ outputting elements $\leq B$

Objective: distinguish between $h$ as above and $u$, where

- $u$ is uniform in $\mathcal{O}_{K} /\left(q \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$
- $f, g \leftarrow \psi$ conditioned on $g$ invertible modulo $q$
- $h=f \cdot g^{-1} \bmod q$
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- works in any number field (only plain lattice reduction, no algebraic tools)

Impact: few schemes use such large q's, but some of them did (e.g., some FHE schemes or multilinear maps)
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## Automorphisms and subfields

In this slide $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(X^{d}+1\right)$
(or any Galois field)

Automorphisms: $\exists \sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{d}$ automorphisms of $K$
Properties:

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ then $\sigma_{i}(f) \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$
- $\|\sigma(f)\|=\left\|\sigma\left(\sigma_{i}(f)\right)\right\|$, for all $f \in K$

Subfields: If $L$ subfield of $K$, there exist $S_{L} \subseteq\{1, \cdots, d\}$ s.t.

- $\left|S_{L}\right|=[K: L]-1$
- for all $f \in K$,

$$
\mathcal{N}_{K / L}(f):=f \cdot \prod_{i \in S_{L}} \sigma_{i}(f) \in L
$$

## A subfield attack on dec-NTRU [AbD16]

Objective: distinguish between

- $h=f / g \bmod q$ with $\|f\|,\|g\| \leq B$
- $h$ uniform $\bmod q$

Attack: runs in time $\approx \exp \left(\frac{d \cdot \log B}{(\log q)^{2}}\right)$
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| $K$ | $X$ | $s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mid$ | $n_{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| $L_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| $L^{\prime}$ | $X^{\prime}$ | $s^{\prime}$ |
| $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}n_{2} & \\ \mathbb{Q} & \\ \hline\end{array}\right.\right]$ |  |  |
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Main idea:

- transform instance $X$ in $K$ into instance $X^{\prime}$ in $L$
- solve $X^{\prime}$ in $L$ (smaller lattice problems)
- move the solution back to $X$ in $K$

Can be used for:

- computing S-units [BFHP22]
- solving id-SVP in some very specific ideals [PXWC21,BGP22]
- ...?

```
[PXWC21] Pan, Xu, Wadleigh, and Cheng. On the ideal shortest vector problem over random rational primes.
Eurocrypt.
[BGP22] Boudgoust, Gachon, and Pellet-Mary. Some Easy Instances of Ideal-SVP and Implications on the Partial Vandermonde Knapsack Problem. Crypto.
```
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## Conclusion

Two algebraic attacks:

- on id-SVP when $\gamma \geq 2^{\sqrt{d}}$
(or smaller $\gamma$ 's with pre-processing)
- on NTRU when $q$ is large

One of my favorite open problems:
Can we transfer a problem instance to another number field?
(e.g., id-SVP over $K \rightarrow$ id-SVP over $K^{\prime}$ )
$\rightsquigarrow$ would allow to move from a field without subfields to a field with many subfields

Thank you
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