# Resurrecting the space group of an aperiodic pattern

Jamie Walton Durham University, UK

Quasicrystals: pattern formation and aperiodic order, ICMS, 8th June 2018.

#### Some clarifications, added after talk:

- In this talk I explained how one may arrive at a notion of an aperiodic space group, through topology, in particular through the study of homotopical invariants of associated moduli spaces of patterns. This was a later observation—with our original and main goal being on the calculation of cohomological invariants—but one that I thought would be of most interest at this conference.
- This meant that, unfortunately, I had overlooked the notion of space group currently used in the theory of quasicrystals. This is an important concept that has been in regular usage for some decades, with several important mathematical developments (such as classification theorems) as well as being of practical importance to experimentalists.
- The title of course was not any sort of statement on this standard usage of 'space group' for quasicrystals, but rather simply the idea that the basic, classical definition of the space group of a periodic pattern breaks down when applied to an aperiodic pattern (slide 6), and that this talk discussed *one approach* (via topology) of how to recover an interesting extension of this classical idea which applies to aperiodic patterns too.
- Given all of this, clearly the definition of the 'space group' of an aperiodic pattern as used in this talk (and our paper) should be renamed. It would also be interesting to connect the space pro-group here and the usual space group of a quasicrystal when considering classes of patterns to which they both apply. After making some progress on this, it appears that whilst the space pro-group here is more complicated and captures extra information (which may or may not be a good thing, depending on the intended application), there is a mechanism with which to compare these invariants.

Joint work with John Hunton, Durham University.

Paper on the arXiv (as of last Tuesday):

J. Hunton and J. Walton, Aperiodic space groups and the topology of rotational tiling spaces.

arXiv:1806.00670

### Periodic Patterns

Throughout,  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a 'pattern' (tiling/Delone set) with FLC.

If T is periodic then it is 'essentially interchangeable' (up to MLD) with its **space group**:

 $\Gamma \coloneqq \{ \text{isometries of } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ preserving } T \},\$ 

with group operation given by composition of symmetries.

For example, in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  there are 17 such *wallpaper groups* up to isomorphism. In  $\mathbb{R}^3$  there are 219 space groups up to isomorphism.

In this talk, for simplicity, we will only consider *orientation-preserving* isometries (i.e., **rigid motions**: translations + rotations).

In this talk, for simplicity, we will only consider *orientation-preserving* isometries (i.e., **rigid motions**: translations + rotations).

The (positive) space group is an extension

 $\mathbb{Z}^d \to \Gamma \to G$ 

where  $G \leq SO(d)$  is the (positive) **point group**.

It is the group of 'rotational parts' of symmetries of T. E.g., for the periodic tesselation of squares it's  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ .

## Hulls of aperiodic patterns

If T is aperiodic then  $\Gamma$ , as defined earlier, is woefully insufficient (T doesn't have many, if any, global symmetries!).

The structure of 'almost symmetries' of T can be captured, though, via topology.

#### The **translational hull**:

 $\Omega_t := \{ \text{tilings with same finite patches as } T \text{ up to translation} \}$ 

taken with the 'obvious' topology.

## Hulls of aperiodic patterns

If T is aperiodic then  $\Gamma$ , as defined earlier, is woefully insufficient (T doesn't have many, if any, global symmetries!).

The structure of 'almost symmetries' of T can be captured, though, via topology.

#### The translational hull:

 $\Omega_t \coloneqq \{ \text{tilings with same finite patches as } T \text{ up to translation} \}$ 

taken with the 'obvious' topology.

#### Example

If T is periodic then the hull consists only of translates of T and  $\Omega_t \cong \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  is a d-torus.

## Rotational hulls

#### The rotational hull:

 $\Omega_r := \{ \text{tilings with same finite patches as } T \text{ up to rigid motion} \}$ 

(again, with 'obvious' topology).

#### Example

If T is periodic then  $\Omega_r$  is a manifold of dimension d + d(d+1)/2...whose topology depends on the rotational symmetries of T!

#### Example

If T is a Penrose tiling then  $\Omega_r$  is the space of 'all Penrose tilings', constructed from rigid motions of Penrose rhombs fitting together according to their matching rules.

Question: what do the topologies of the hulls tell us about the tilings? What can we say about the topologies of the hulls?





## Aperiodic point group

There is still a simple notion of the point group G:

Let  $g \in SO(d)$  be in the point group G if: whenever P is a finite patch of T then g(P) also belongs to T, up to a translation.

#### Example

If T is periodic then G coincides with the standard (positive) point group.

#### Example

If T is a Penrose tiling then  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/10$  (but note: there is no Penrose tiling with tenfold rotational symmetry).

The rotation group G naturally acts on  $\Omega_t$  (by rotating tilings). The quotient space  $\Omega_t/G$  has been considered before. We consider instead the *homotopy quotient*:

$$\Omega_G \coloneqq (\Omega_t \times EG)/G.$$

Here, EG = contractible space equipped with free G-action.

Also known as the *Borel construction*. 'Morally correct' quotient for the purposes of homotopy theory.

### Spin point groups

We have the *spin group*  $\operatorname{Sp}(d)$  given as the universal cover of  $\operatorname{SO}(d)$ . For d = 2 it is the  $\mathbb{Z}$  cover  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{q} S^1$ .

For d > 2 the quotient  $\operatorname{Sp}(d) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{SO}(d)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  cover.

For d = 3,  $\operatorname{Sp}(3) \cong S^3$ .

We can lift our finite point group  $G \leq SO(d)$  to  $\widetilde{G} \leq Sp(d)$ . For example, for d = 2:  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$  and  $\widetilde{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Spin point groups

We have the *spin group*  $\operatorname{Sp}(d)$  given as the universal cover of  $\operatorname{SO}(d)$ . For d = 2 it is the  $\mathbb{Z}$  cover  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{q} S^1$ .

For d > 2 the quotient  $\operatorname{Sp}(d) \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{SO}(d)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  cover.

For d = 3,  $\operatorname{Sp}(3) \cong S^3$ .

We can lift our finite point group  $G \leq SO(d)$  to  $\widetilde{G} \leq Sp(d)$ . For example, for d = 2:  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$  and  $\widetilde{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

#### Remark

 $\operatorname{Sp}(d)$  is convenient from the perspective of homotopy theory: it has trivial  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ .

For d = 3 the groups  $\tilde{G}$  can be better behaved than G. The group cohomology of  $\tilde{G}$  is 4-periodic (but this need not be the case for G, such as the icosahedral group).

## Homotopical invariants of periodic patterns

Theorem

Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be periodic. Then there exists a natural isomorphism of extensions (\*):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\Omega_t) &\longrightarrow \pi_1(\Omega_G) &\longrightarrow G \\ & \downarrow \cong & \downarrow \cong & \parallel \\ & \mathbb{Z}^d &\longrightarrow \Gamma &\longrightarrow G \end{aligned}$$

## Homotopical invariants of periodic patterns

#### Theorem

Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be periodic. Then there exists a natural isomorphism of extensions (\*):

#### Theorem

Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be periodic. Then there exists a pullback of extensions:

$$\pi_1(\Omega_t) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\Omega_r) \longrightarrow \widetilde{G} \downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^q \mathbb{Z}^d \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G$$

- The space group can be recovered as the fundamental group of the homotopy quotient  $\Omega_G$ .
- **2** The fundamental group of  $\Omega_r$  is the 'spin version' of  $\Gamma$  (a  $\mathbb{Z}$  cover for d = 2,  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  cover for d > 2).

- The space group can be recovered as the fundamental group of the homotopy quotient  $\Omega_G$ .
- **2** The fundamental group of  $\Omega_r$  is the 'spin version' of  $\Gamma$  (a  $\mathbb{Z}$  cover for d = 2,  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  cover for d > 2).

What about for aperiodic patterns?

- The space group can be recovered as the fundamental group of the homotopy quotient  $\Omega_G$ .
- One fundamental group of Ω<sub>r</sub> is the 'spin version' of Γ (a Z cover for d = 2, Z/2 cover for d > 2).

What about for aperiodic patterns?

The space group  $\Gamma$  is no longer defined, but the spaces  $\Omega_G$  and  $\Omega_r$  still are!

- The space group can be recovered as the fundamental group of the homotopy quotient  $\Omega_G$ .
- **2** The fundamental group of  $\Omega_r$  is the 'spin version' of  $\Gamma$  (a  $\mathbb{Z}$  cover for d = 2,  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  cover for d > 2).

What about for aperiodic patterns?

The space group  $\Gamma$  is no longer defined, but the spaces  $\Omega_G$  and  $\Omega_r$  still are!

Unfortunately the fundamental group is not an appropriate invariant to apply to an aperiodic tiling space; these are 'pathological spaces' (c.f., the Warsaw circle).

But shape theory gives us the correct replacement: the **pro-fundamental group**  $\pi_1^{\text{pro}}(X)$ .

## Homotopical invariants of aperiodic patterns Theorem

We have an extension of pro-fundmental groups:

 $\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro}}(\Omega_t) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{pro}}(\Omega_G) \to G$ 

## Homotopical invariants of aperiodic patterns Theorem

We have an extension of pro-fundmental groups:

 $\pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_t) \to \pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_G) \to G \ (\equiv \mathbb{Z}^d \to \Gamma \to G \ for \ T \ periodic.)$ 

#### Homotopical invariants of aperiodic patterns Theorem

We have an extension of pro-fundmental groups:

 $\pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_t) \to \pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_G) \to G \ (\equiv \mathbb{Z}^d \to \Gamma \to G \ for \ T \ periodic.)$ 

#### Theorem

We have a pull-back diagram of extensions of pro-fundamental groups:

#### Homotopical invariants of aperiodic patterns Theorem

We have an extension of pro-fundmental groups:

 $\pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_t) \to \pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_G) \to G \ (\equiv \mathbb{Z}^d \to \Gamma \to G \ for \ T \ periodic.)$ 

#### Theorem

We have a pull-back diagram of extensions of pro-fundamental groups:

#### Definition

We call  $\pi_1^{\text{pro}}(\Omega_G)$  the **space pro-group**, and denote it by  $\Gamma^{\text{pro}}$ .

## Aperiodic space groups

To get an honest group (rather than pro-group), we can pass to the inverse limit:

Definition

We call the inverse limit  $\Gamma := \underline{\lim} \Gamma^{\text{pro}}$  the **space group** of *T*.

If T is fixed by the full point group (c.f., 'symmorphic space groups') then  $\Gamma$  is an extension (in fact, a split one):

 $\varprojlim \pi_1^{\operatorname{pro}}(\Omega_t) \to \Gamma \to G.$ 

We can calculate  $\Gamma$  precisely in some cases:

#### Example

Let  $T = \text{Fibonacci}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is the semi-direct product of  $F_2 \oplus F_2 \oplus F_2$  and G = the rotational symmetries of a cube (we can describe the action of G on  $(F_2)^3$  precisely).

#### Example

If  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a canonical  $3 \to 2$  cut and project tiling (a 'stepped surface') then  $\Gamma$  is the semi-direct project of  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  (with non-trivial element acting by  $x \mapsto -x$ ).

These results come from some natural (and computationally useful!) fibre-bundle descriptions of  $\Omega_G$  and  $\Omega_r$ , along with a useful network of maps connecting them all.

For example:  $\Omega_r$  can be expressed as a fibre bundle:

$$\Omega_t \to \Omega_r \to \mathrm{SO}(d)/G.$$

These can be useful for cohomology calculations.

We can use the Serre spectral sequence, amongst some other technical tricks, to compute the cohomology of the 6-manifold of placements of the cube tessellation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

#### Theorem

For  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  the periodic tessellation by unit cubes:

$H^n(\Omega_r;\mathbb{Z}) = \langle$	$\mathbb{Z}$	n = 0
	0	n = 1
	$\mathbb{Z}/2\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2$	n = 2
	$\mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$	n = 3
	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$	n = 4
	$\mathbb{Z}/2\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2$	n = 5
	Z	n = 6
	0	n > 6.

We also have a calculation for a class of aperiodic examples (Sturmian<sup>3</sup>s).

• Introduced a new hull  $\Omega_G$  naturally defined in the context of rotations. We have found a useful system of fibre bundles relating the topologies of the hulls  $\Omega_t$ ,  $\Omega_r$  and  $\Omega_G$ .

- Introduced a new hull  $\Omega_G$  naturally defined in the context of rotations. We have found a useful system of fibre bundles relating the topologies of the hulls  $\Omega_t$ ,  $\Omega_r$  and  $\Omega_G$ .
- Allows us to calculate cohomological invariants (our original goal). Calculations for  $\Omega_r$  now within reach for 3d tilings (would be nice to now try an icosahedral example).

- Introduced a new hull  $\Omega_G$  naturally defined in the context of rotations. We have found a useful system of fibre bundles relating the topologies of the hulls  $\Omega_t$ ,  $\Omega_r$  and  $\Omega_G$ .
- Allows us to calculate cohomological invariants (our original goal). Calculations for  $\Omega_r$  now within reach for 3d tilings (would be nice to now try an icosahedral example).
- Applying all of this to *homotopical* invariants naturally leads us to a new notion of space (pro-)group, extending the definition from the periodic case (question: any correspondence with other current such notions?).

- Introduced a new hull  $\Omega_G$  naturally defined in the context of rotations. We have found a useful system of fibre bundles relating the topologies of the hulls  $\Omega_t$ ,  $\Omega_r$  and  $\Omega_G$ .
- Allows us to calculate cohomological invariants (our original goal). Calculations for  $\Omega_r$  now within reach for 3d tilings (would be nice to now try an icosahedral example).
- Applying all of this to *homotopical* invariants naturally leads us to a new notion of space (pro-)group, extending the definition from the periodic case (question: any correspondence with other current such notions?).
- Cohomology calculations in dimensions > 2 depend heavily on the group cohomology of G. What are these invariants telling us? (what about H<sup>1</sup>? Shape changes?)

- Introduced a new hull  $\Omega_G$  naturally defined in the context of rotations. We have found a useful system of fibre bundles relating the topologies of the hulls  $\Omega_t$ ,  $\Omega_r$  and  $\Omega_G$ .
- Allows us to calculate cohomological invariants (our original goal). Calculations for  $\Omega_r$  now within reach for 3d tilings (would be nice to now try an icosahedral example).
- Applying all of this to *homotopical* invariants naturally leads us to a new notion of space (pro-)group, extending the definition from the periodic case (question: any correspondence with other current such notions?).
- Cohomology calculations in dimensions > 2 depend heavily on the group cohomology of G. What are these invariants telling us? (what about H<sup>1</sup>? Shape changes?)
- Computer aided calculations for substitution tilings/cut and project tilings?