

Characters of inductive limits of finite alternating groups

Simon Thomas

Rutgers University

18th September 2018

Don't Bury the Lead ...

Definition

- G is an **$L(\text{Alt})$ -group** if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite alternating groups G_i .
- Here we allow **arbitrary** embeddings $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Thomas 2016)

If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are **precisely** the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.

Invariant random subgroups

- Let G be a countable group and let $\text{Sub}_G \subset 2^G$ be the compact space of subgroups $H \leq G$.
- Then $G \curvearrowright \text{Sub}_G$ via conjugation: $H \xrightarrow{g} gHg^{-1}$.

Definition (Abért)

A G -invariant Borel probability measure ν on Sub_G is called an *invariant random subgroup* or IRS.

Invariant random subgroups

- Let G be a countable group and let $\text{Sub}_G \subset 2^G$ be the compact space of subgroups $H \leq G$.
- Then $G \curvearrowright \text{Sub}_G$ via conjugation: $H \xrightarrow{g} g H g^{-1}$.

Definition (Abért)

A G -invariant Borel probability measure ν on Sub_G is called an *invariant random subgroup* or IRS.

A Trivial Example

If $N \trianglelefteq G$, then the Dirac measure δ_N is an IRS of G .

Stabilizer distributions

Observation

- Suppose that $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space.

Observation

- Suppose that $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space.
- Let $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant map defined by $z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}$.

Observation

- Suppose that $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space.
- Let $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant map defined by $z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}$.
- Then the **stabilizer distribution** $\nu = f_*\mu$ is an IRS of G .

Observation

- Suppose that $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space.
- Let $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant map defined by $z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}$.
- Then the **stabilizer distribution** $\nu = f_*\mu$ is an IRS of G .
- If $B \subseteq \text{Sub}_G$, then $\nu(B) = \mu(\{z \in Z \mid G_z \in B\})$.

Observation

- Suppose that $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space.
- Let $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant map defined by $z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}$.
- Then the **stabilizer distribution** $\nu = f_*\mu$ is an IRS of G .
- If $B \subseteq \text{Sub}_G$, then $\nu(B) = \mu(\{z \in Z \mid G_z \in B\})$.

Theorem (Abért-Glasner-Virag 2012)

If ν is an IRS of G , then ν is the stabilizer distribution of a measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$.

Definition

A measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is **ergodic** if $\mu(A) = 0, 1$ for every G -invariant μ -measurable subset $A \subseteq Z$.

Definition

A measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is **ergodic** if $\mu(A) = 0, 1$ for every G -invariant μ -measurable subset $A \subseteq Z$.

Observation

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is ergodic, then the corresponding stabilizer distribution ν is an ergodic IRS of G .

Definition

A measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is **ergodic** if $\mu(A) = 0, 1$ for every G -invariant μ -measurable subset $A \subseteq Z$.

Observation

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is ergodic, then the corresponding stabilizer distribution ν is an ergodic IRS of G .

Theorem (Creutz-Peterson 2013)

If ν is an **ergodic** IRS of G , then ν is the stabilizer distribution of an **ergodic** action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$.

A classification problem

Open Problem

Classify the ergodic IRSs of the simple locally finite groups.

Definition

A countably infinite group G is *locally finite* if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite groups.

A classification problem

Open Problem

Classify the ergodic IRSs of the simple locally finite groups.

Definition

A countably infinite group G is *locally finite* if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite groups.

Question

But *why* focus on locally finite groups?

An application of the pointwise ergodic theorem

An application of the pointwise ergodic theorem

- Let $G = \bigcup G_n$ be locally finite and let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be ergodic.

An application of the pointwise ergodic theorem

- Let $G = \bigcup G_n$ be locally finite and let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be ergodic.
- For each $z \in Z$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega_n(z) = \{g \cdot z \mid g \in G_n\}$.

An application of the pointwise ergodic theorem

- Let $G = \bigcup G_n$ be locally finite and let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be ergodic.
- For each $z \in Z$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega_n(z) = \{g \cdot z \mid g \in G_n\}$.

Theorem

With the above hypotheses, for μ -a.e. $z \in Z$, for all $g \in G$,

$$\mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\text{Fix}_{\Omega_n(z)}(g)| / |\Omega_n(z)|.$$

An application of the pointwise ergodic theorem

- Let $G = \bigcup G_n$ be locally finite and let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be ergodic.
- For each $z \in Z$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega_n(z) = \{g \cdot z \mid g \in G_n\}$.

Theorem

With the above hypotheses, for μ -a.e. $z \in Z$, for all $g \in G$,

$$\mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\text{Fix}_{\Omega_n(z)}(g)| / |\Omega_n(z)|.$$

Remark

Note that the $|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_n(z)}(g)| / |\Omega_n(z)|$ is the probability that an element of $(\Omega_n(z), \mu_n)$ is fixed by $g \in G_n$, where μ_n is the uniform probability measure on $\Omega_n(z)$

The ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups

Joint work with Tucker-Drob (2016)

- The classification of the nontrivial ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

The ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups

Joint work with Tucker-Drob (2016)

- The classification of the nontrivial ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

Example

*Hall's universal locally finite group has **no** nontrivial ergodic IRSs.*

The ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups

Joint work with Tucker-Drob (2016)

- The classification of the nontrivial ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

Example

*Hall's universal locally finite group has **no** nontrivial ergodic IRSs.*

Remark

- Vershik (essentially) classified the ergodic IRSs of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

Characters of countable groups

Definition

If G is a countable group, then $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a **character** if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\chi(h g h^{-1}) = \chi(g)$ for all $g, h \in G$.
- (ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \chi(g_j^{-1} g_i) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$.
- (iii) $\chi(1_G) = 1$.

Characters of countable groups

Definition

If G is a countable group, then $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *character* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\chi(h g h^{-1}) = \chi(g)$ for all $g, h \in G$.
- (ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \chi(g_j^{-1} g_i) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$.
- (iii) $\chi(1_G) = 1$.

Example

If G is finite and π is a finite-dimensional representation, then $\chi(g) = \text{trace}(\pi(g)) / \pi(1)$ is a character of G .

Characters of countable groups

Definition

If G is a countable group, then $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *character* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\chi(h g h^{-1}) = \chi(g)$ for all $g, h \in G$.
- (ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \chi(g_j^{-1} g_i) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$.
- (iii) $\chi(1_G) = 1$.

Example

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving action on a probability space, then $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is a character.

Characters of finite groups

Notation

- If G is a finite group, then \widehat{G} denotes the set of (unitary equivalence classes) of irreducible representation of G .
- If $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, then $\chi^\pi(g) = \text{trace}(\pi(g))$.

Theorem

If G is a finite group and $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character, then χ is a convex combination of $\{\chi^\pi / \pi(1) \mid \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$.

Definition

A character χ is *indecomposable* if it is impossible to express

$$\chi = r\chi_1 + (1 - r)\chi_2,$$

where $0 < r < 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ are distinct characters.

Indecomposable characters

Definition

A character χ is *indecomposable* if it is impossible to express

$$\chi = r\chi_1 + (1 - r)\chi_2,$$

where $0 < r < 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ are distinct characters.

Remark

Indecomposable characters of countable groups give rise (via the Gelfand-Naimark-Siegel construction) to the *factor representations of finite type*.

Definition

A character χ is *indecomposable* if it is impossible to express

$$\chi = r\chi_1 + (1 - r)\chi_2,$$

where $0 < r < 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ are distinct characters.

One of Vershik's many insights

The indecomposable characters of the group $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ of finite permutations of the natural numbers are “*closely related*” to its ergodic IRSs.

Indecomposable characters

Definition

A character χ is *indecomposable* if it is impossible to express

$$\chi = r\chi_1 + (1 - r)\chi_2,$$

where $0 < r < 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ are distinct characters.

Theorem (Thomas 2016)

If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are *precisely* the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.

What is the associated character of an IRS?

What is the associated character of an IRS?

- Suppose that ν is an IRS of the countable group G .

What is the associated character of an IRS?

- Suppose that ν is an IRS of the countable group G .
- Then we can define a corresponding character χ by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(g) &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}) \\ &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in N_G(H)\}).\end{aligned}$$

What is the associated character of an IRS?

- Suppose that ν is an IRS of the countable group G .
- Then we can define a corresponding character χ by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(g) &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}) \\ &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in N_G(H)\}).\end{aligned}$$

- But we can also define a second character χ' by

$$\chi'(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}).$$

Trivial IRSs and characters of simple groups G

Trivial IRSs and characters of simple groups G

Definition

The *trivial* IRSs of G are δ_1 and δ_G .

Definition

The *trivial* characters of G are the regular character χ_{reg} and the constant character χ_{con} , where:

- $\chi_{reg}(g) = 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$; and
- $\chi_{con}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Trivial IRSs and characters of simple groups G

Definition

The *trivial* IRSs of G are δ_1 and δ_G .

Definition

The *trivial* characters of G are the regular character χ_{reg} and the constant character χ_{con} , where:

- $\chi_{reg}(g) = 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$; and
- $\chi_{con}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Definition

If ν is an IRS of the countable group G , then the *associated character* is defined by $\chi_\nu(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\})$.

The indecomposable characters of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups

Joint work with Tucker-Drob (2016)

- The classification of the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

Corollary

- *The classification of the indecomposable characters of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.*

The indecomposable characters of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups

Joint work with Tucker-Drob (2016)

- The classification of the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

Corollary

- *The classification of the indecomposable characters of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.*

Remark

- Thoma classified the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

The Indecomposability Problem

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

The Indecomposability Problem

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

Theorem (Vershik 2011)

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is ergodic and $N_G(G_z) = G_z$ for μ -a.e. $z \in Z$, then $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is an indecomposable character.

The Indecomposability Problem

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

Theorem (Vershik 2011)

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is ergodic and $N_G(G_z) = G_z$ for μ -a.e. $z \in Z$, then $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is an indecomposable character.

Theorem (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and $\nu \neq \delta_1$ is an ergodic IRS of G , then $N_G(H) = H$ for ν -a.e. $H \in \text{Sub}_G$.

The Indecomposability Problem

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

Theorem (Vershik 2011)

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is ergodic and $N_G(G_z) = G_z$ for μ -a.e. $z \in Z$, then $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is an indecomposable character.

Corollary (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and ν is an ergodic IRS of G , then the associated character χ_ν is indecomposable.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.
- For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $i = 0, 1$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.
- For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $i = 0, 1$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.
- Let $\varphi : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})}$ be the $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ -equivariant map defined by $\varphi(\xi) = \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi)$.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.
- For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $i = 0, 1$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.
- Let $\varphi : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})}$ be the $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ -equivariant map defined by $\varphi(\xi) = \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi)$.
- Then $\nu = \varphi_*\mu$ is an ergodic IRS of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

The associated character is

$$\chi_\nu(\mathbf{g}) = \mu(\{ \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi) \});$$

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

The associated character is

$$\chi_\nu(\mathbf{g}) = \mu(\{ \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi) \});$$

and hence

$$\chi_\nu((12)(34)) = 1/2^4 + 1/2^4 = \chi((56)(78));$$

What about $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$?

The associated character is

$$\chi_\nu(\mathbf{g}) = \mu(\{ \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi) \});$$

and hence

$$\chi_\nu((12)(34)) = 1/2^4 + 1/2^4 = \chi((56)(78));$$

while, on the other hand,

$$\chi_\nu((12)(34)(56)(78)) = \frac{\binom{4}{0} + \binom{4}{2} + \binom{4}{4}}{2^8} = 1/2^5.$$

The Main Theorem Revisited

Definition

- G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite alternating groups G_i .
- Here we allow arbitrary embeddings $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Thomas 2016)

If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are *precisely* the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.

A non-realizable indecomposable character

Fact

Thoma's classification of the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ includes

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left((1/2)^n + (-1)^{n+1} (1/2)^n \right)^{c_n(g)},$$

where $c_n(g)$ is the number of cycles of length n in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation g .

A non-realizable indecomposable character

Fact

Thoma's classification of the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ includes

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} ((1/2)^n + (-1)^{n+1}(1/2)^n)^{c_n(g)},$$

where $c_n(g)$ is the number of cycles of length n in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation g .

Example

Thus $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$ and $\chi((ab)(cd)) = 0$.

A non-realizable indecomposable character

- Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS such that

$$\chi(\mathbf{g}) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid \mathbf{g} \in H\}).$$

A non-realizable indecomposable character

- Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS such that

$$\chi(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid g \in H\}).$$

- Since $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$, there exist $n \neq m$ such that

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (12n), (12m) \in H\}) > 0.$$

A non-realizable indecomposable character

- Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS such that

$$\chi(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid g \in H\}).$$

- Since $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$, there exist $n \neq m$ such that

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (12n), (12m) \in H\}) > 0.$$

- But $(12n)(12m) = (1n)(2m)$ and

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (1n)(2m) \in H\}) = 0.$$

The Main Theorem Revisited

Definition

- G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite alternating groups G_i .
- Here we allow **arbitrary** embeddings $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Thomas 2016)

If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are **precisely** the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.

The Asymptotic Approach to Characters

Theorem (Vershik-Kerov 1985)

If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the increasing union of the finite subgroups G_i and χ is an indecomposable character of G , then there exist characters θ_i of *irreducible* representations π_i of G_i such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_i(g)}{\theta_i(1)}.$$

Remark

Here $\theta_i(g) = \text{trace}(\pi_i(g))$ is the “usual” character associated with an irreducible representation π_i of the finite group G_i .

Irreducible Characters of Finite Symmetric Groups

Notation

Let $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r > 0$ and $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.

Notation

Let $\lambda = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \dots \geq \ell_r > 0$ and $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.
- The **depth** of λ is $d(\lambda) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r$.

Notation

Let $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r > 0$ and $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.
- The **depth** of λ is $d(\lambda) = l_2 + \dots + l_r$.
- λ^* denotes the corresponding **dual partition**.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Symmetric Groups

Notation

Let $\lambda = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \dots \geq \ell_r > 0$ and $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.
- The **depth** of λ is $d(\lambda) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r$.
- λ^* denotes the corresponding **dual partition**.
- \leq denotes the **lexicographic ordering** on the partitions of n .

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- *If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.*

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.
- θ_λ is irreducible iff $\lambda^* \neq \lambda$.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.
- θ_λ is irreducible iff $\lambda^* \neq \lambda$.
- If $\lambda^* = \lambda$, then θ_λ is the sum of two distinct irreducible characters.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.
- θ_λ is irreducible iff $\lambda^* \neq \lambda$.
- If $\lambda^* = \lambda$, then θ_λ is the sum of two distinct irreducible characters.

Conclusion

The irreducible characters are “almost” parameterized by the partitions λ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$.

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|} .$$

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|} .$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|} .$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$,

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|}.$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$, then

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d(\lambda)}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{d(\lambda)}} \right)^{bc d(\lambda)}$$

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|}.$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$, then

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d(\lambda)}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{d(\lambda)}} \right)^{b c d(\lambda)}$$

and so $d(\lambda)$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|}.$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$, then

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d(\lambda)}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{d(\lambda)}} \right)^{b c d(\lambda)}$$

and so $d(\lambda)$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition

Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$.

- The embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is **full** if $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ has no trivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} .
- G is a **full limit** of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ if every embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is full.

Lemma (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$, then $\liminf |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i| > 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$.

Definition

Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$.

- The embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is **full** if $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ has no trivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} .
- G is a **full limit** of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ if every embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is full.

Remark

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group, then we can express $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)$ as an increasing union of full limits $G(\ell)$.

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}$ is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}$ is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .
- By Vershik-Kerov, there exist partitions λ_i of $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\theta_{\lambda_i}(1)}.$$

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, χ_{con} is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .
- By Vershik-Kerov, there exist partitions λ_i of $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\theta_{\lambda_i}(1)}.$$

- Since $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, $d(\lambda_i)$ is bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, χ_{con} is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .
- By Vershik-Kerov, there exist partitions λ_i of $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\theta_{\lambda_i}(1)}.$$

- Since $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, $d(\lambda_i)$ is bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$.
- Hence there exists an infinite $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and a **fixed** (ℓ_2, \dots, ℓ_r) such that $\lambda_i = (n_i - d(\lambda_i), \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ for all $i \in I$.

A Little Hand-Waving ...

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)}$$

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)} = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\binom{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{d}}{\binom{|\Delta_i|}{d}}$$

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)} = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\binom{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{d}}{\binom{|\Delta_i|}{d}} = \lim_{i \in I} \left(\frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{|\Delta_i|} \right)^d.$$

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)} = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\binom{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{d}}{\binom{|\Delta_i|}{d}} = \lim_{i \in I} \left(\frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{|\Delta_i|} \right)^d.$$

- Hence if $\Omega_i = \Delta_i^d$ and $G_i \curvearrowright \Omega_i$ is the product action, then

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|}.$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ;

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) =$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|}$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|} = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g))$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|} = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g)) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}).$$

The Strong Simplicity Problem

The Strong Simplicity Problem

Definition

A countably infinite group G is said to be **strongly simple** if the only ergodic IRSs of G are δ_1 and δ_G .

The Strong Simplicity Problem

Definition

A countably infinite group G is said to be **strongly simple** if the only ergodic IRSs of G are δ_1 and δ_G .

Theorem (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

*If the countably infinite simple group G is **not** strongly simple, then G has nontrivial indecomposable character.*

The Strong Simplicity Problem

Definition

A countably infinite group G is said to be **strongly simple** if the only ergodic IRSs of G are δ_1 and δ_G .

Theorem (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

*If the countably infinite simple group G is **not** strongly simple, then G has nontrivial indecomposable character.*

Question

Does there exist a strongly simple (locally finite) group G with a nontrivial indecomposable character?

The Strong Simplicity Problem

Definition

A countably infinite group G is said to be **strongly simple** if the only ergodic IRSs of G are δ_1 and δ_G .

Theorem (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

*If the countably infinite simple group G is **not** strongly simple, then G has nontrivial indecomposable character.*

Question

Does there exist a strongly simple (locally finite) group G with a nontrivial indecomposable character?

The End