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In the dynamics of polynomials, external rays play a fundamental role.

The Böttcher map conjugate the dynamics on \( B(\infty) \) to \( z^d \) on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D} \).

If the Julia set — \( J = \partial B(\infty) \) — is locally connected this conjugacy extends to the boundary.
The dynamics on $J$ is then semi-conjugated to the multiplication by 2 on $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})/\sim$. 
Julia set of a rational maps

more complicate
One can understand the dynamics using a partition of the dynamical plane.
One can understand the dynamics using a partition of the dynamical plane with equipotentials for Cantor sets
Tool: Branner-Hubbard-Yoccoz jigsaw puzzles for polynomials.
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Tool: Branner-Hubbard-Yoccoz jigsaw puzzles for polynomials.
Tool: Branner-Hubbard-Yoccoz jigsaw puzzles for polynomials.

Yoccoz Theorem: The map is renormalizable or the impression of puzzle pieces is one point.
How to generalize to rational maps a partition in order to understand the dynamics?
How to generalize to rational maps a partition in order to understand the dynamics?
No general result
First example: Newton map

The Newton’s method $N_P$ of a polynomial $P$ is defined by

$$N_P(z) = z - \frac{P(z)}{P'(z)}.$$ 

The roots of $P$ are super-attracting fixed points of $N_P$. 

---

**First example: Newton map**

The *Newton’s method* $N_P$ of a polynomial $P$ is defined by

$$N_P(z) = z - \frac{P(z)}{P'(z)}.$$ 

The roots of $P$ are super-attracting fixed points of $N_P$. 

---
The Newton maps can be viewed as a dynamical system as well as a root-finding algorithm.
The Newton maps can be viewed as a dynamical system as well as a root-finding algorithm.

The Julia set is defined as the unique minimal compact subset of the Riemann sphere $\hat{C}$ totally invariant (by $N$ and $N^{-1}$) containing at least 3 points.
In 1879, Arthur Cayley generalized the Newton’s method to complex roots of polynomials with degree greater than 2 and complex initial values. Some dynamical properties:

- The simple connectivity of the immediate attracting basins of cubic Newton maps was first proven by Przytycki.
- Shishikura proved that the Julia sets of the Newton maps of polynomials are always connected by means of quasiconformal surgery.
- The combinatorial structure of the Julia sets of cubic Newton maps was first studied by Janet Head.
- With the help of Thurston’s theory on characterization of rational maps, Tan Lei showed that every post-critically finite cubic Newton map can be constructed by mating two cubic polynomials.
- With Magnus Aspenberg we generalize this to non-postcritically finite cases with some assumptions using puzzles.
- Lodge, Mikulich and Schleicher gave a combinatorial classification of post-critically finite Newton maps.
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The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.

- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function $f$ without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.

- Haruta showed that when the Newton's method is applied to the exponential function of the form $P^e Q^e$ (where $P$, $Q$ are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.

- For the Newton maps of entire functions, Mayer and Schleicher showed that the immediate basins are simply connected and unbounded.

- Buff, Rückert and Schleicher further investigated the dynamical properties.

- Barański, Fagella, Jarque, Karpińska proved that the Julia set of Newton’s method for entire map is connected.

- For the higher dimensional cases, Hubbard and Papadopol, Roeder studied the Newton’s methods for two complex variables.
The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.
- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function \( f \) without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.

Haruta showed that when the Newton’s method is applied to the exponential function of the form \( e^{P/Q} \) (where \( P, Q \) are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.

For the Newton maps of entire functions, Mayer and Schleicher showed that the immediate basins are simply connected and unbounded.

Buff, Rückert and Schleicher further investigated the dynamical properties.

Barański, Fagella, Jarque, Karpińska proved that the Julia set of Newton’s method for entire map is connected.

For the higher dimensional cases, Hubbard and Papadopol, Roeder studied the Newton’s methods for two complex variables.
The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.
- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function $f$ without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.
- Haruta showed that when the Newton’s method is applied to the exponential function of the form $Pe^Q$ (where $P, Q$ are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.
The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.
- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function \( f \) without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.
- Haruta showed that when the Newton’s method is applied to the exponential function of the form \( Pe^Q \) (where \( P, Q \) are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.
- For the Newton maps of entire functions, Mayer and Schleicher showed that the immediate basins are simply connected and unbounded.
The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.
- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function \( f \) without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.
- Haruta showed that when the Newton’s method is applied to the exponential function of the form \( Pe^Q \) (where \( P, Q \) are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.
- For the Newton maps of entire functions, Mayer and Schleicher showed that the immediate basins are simply connected and unbounded.
- Buff, Rückert and Schleicher further investigated the dynamical properties.
The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.

- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function $f$ without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.

- Haruta showed that when the Newton’s method is applied to the exponential function of the form $Pe^Q$ (where $P$, $Q$ are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.

- For the Newton maps of entire functions, Mayer and Schleicher showed that the immediate basins are simply connected and unbounded.

- Buff, Rückert and Schleicher further investigated the dynamical properties.

- Barański, Fagella, Jarque, Karpińska proved that the Julia set of Newton’s method for entire map is connected.
The dynamics of Newton’s method has been also studied for transcendental entire maps. It is a meromorphic map.

- Bergweiler, Drasin, Langley show that there exists an entire function without finite asymptotic values for which the associated Newton function has an invariant Baker domain.
- Walter Bergweiler proved the existence of an entire function \( f \) without zeros for which the associated Newton map is a transcendental meromorphic functions without Baker domains.
- Haruta showed that when the Newton’s method is applied to the exponential function of the form \( Pe^Q \) (where \( P, Q \) are polynomials), the attracting basins of roots have finite area.
- For the Newton maps of entire functions, Mayer and Schleicher showed that the immediate basins are simply connected and unbounded.
- Buff, Rückert and Schleicher further investigated the dynamical properties.
- Barański, Fagella, Jarque, Karpińska proved that the Julia set of Newton’s method for entire map is connected.
- For the higher dimensional cases, Hubbard and Papadopol, Roeder studied the Newton’s methods for two complex variables.
Sketch of the mating (images courtesy of A. Chéritat)
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Understand rational map via the two polynomials
Understand rational map via the two polynomials
Understand rational map via the two polynomials
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Definition

Two polynomials \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are said mateable, if there exist a rational map \( R \) and two semi-conjugacies \( \phi_j : K_j \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) conformal on the interior of \( K_j \), such that \( \phi_1(K_1) \cup \phi_2(K_2) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) and

\[
\forall (z, w) \in K_i \times K_j, \quad \phi_i(z) = \phi_j(w) \iff z \sim_r w.
\]

The relation \( \sim_r \) is generated by:
the landing point of \( R_1(t) \) is equivalent to the landing point of \( R_2(-t) \).
Theorem (Aspenberg, R)

There exists a subset $RC$ of renormalizable cubic polynomials, a subset $RN$ of renormalizable cubic Newton maps and a map $M : RC \to RN$ which is onto and such that $M(f)$ is the mating of $f$ with the polynomial $f_{\infty}(z) = z(z^2 + \frac{3}{2})$.

One can understand the dynamics of $N$ through the dynamics of the polynomials. But there is no external rays any more.
Idea of the proof: use puzzle. Cut the Julia set in small pieces. Need to construct the equivalent to external ray.
Idea of the proof: use puzzle. Cut the Julia set in small pieces. Need to construct the equivalent to external ray.

There are 3 basins corresponding to the 3 roots of $P$, $\infty$ is a common point, landing of fixed internal rays in the basins.
Except in the symmetric case, only two basins intersect and there is a last angle of intersection
Except in the symmetric case, only two basins intersect and there is a last angle of intersection.
Construction of articulated rays by iterated pull back

It is a curve $\gamma$ such that $f^k(\gamma) = \gamma \cup R_1(t) \cup R_2(-t)$. It consists in infinitely many internal rays alternating from basin 1 et 2.
Using the following two graphs,

Theorem (R)

The intersection of the puzzle piece is either a point or the homeomorphic image of the filled Julia set of a quadratic polynomial.

Using similar puzzles for the cubic polynomials Julia sets, we can construct the semi-conjugacies to the Julia set of the Newton map.
Using the following two graphs,

**Theorem (R)**

*The intersection of the puzzle piece is either a point or the homeomorphic image of the filled Julia set of a quadratic polynomial.*

Using similar puzzles for the cubic polynomials Julia sets, we can construct the semi-conjugacies to the Julia set of the Newton map.
Except some definite particular cases the Julia set is locally connected.
Theorem (R)

Except some definite particular cases the Julia set is locally connected.

Theorem (R)

In particular $J(N) \supset h(J(P))$ where $J(P)$ is a non locally connected Julia set of quadratic polynomials $P$ and $J(N)$ is locally connected.
To find the cubic Newton map, one has to investigate the space of cubic Newton map.
To find the cubic Newton map, one has to investigate the space of cubic Newton map.

It is a one parameter slice with symmetries. More precisely any Newton map is conjugate to one of the form

\[ N_\lambda(z) = \frac{2z^3 - (\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4})}{3z^2 - (\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{4})} \text{ with } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm \frac{3}{2}, 0\} \]
To find the cubic Newton map, one has to investigate the space of cubic Newton map.

It is a one parameter slice with symmetries. More precisely any Newton map is conjugate to one of the form

\[ N_\lambda(z) = \frac{2z^3 - (\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4})}{3z^2 - (\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{4})} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm \frac{3}{2}, 0\} \]

The graphs exist and define puzzles in some precise regions of the parameter plane called para-puzzle pieces.
To define them one has to transfer to the parameter plane the articulated rays and all the pre-images.
Para-puzzles are technical. New technics: rigidity to investigate the parameter plane of cubic Newton method.
Para-puzzles are technical. New technics: rigidity to investigate the parameter plane of cubic Newton method.

It has the advantage that it can be generalized to higher degree Newton maps.
Theorem (Wang, R, Yin)

Any ray in any hyperbolic component lands. The boundary of any hyperbolic component is a Jordan curve.

It generalizes the proof done with para-puzzle pieces of the following

Theorem (R)

The boundary of the principal hyperbolic components are Jordan curves.
Sketch of the proof in the case of the principal hyperbolic component:

- Assume $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are two accumulation points of an irrational ray so that $R_{\lambda_i}(t)$ lands at the free critical point of $N_{\lambda_i}$.
- Then the Newton maps $N_{\lambda_1}$ and $N_{\lambda_2}$ share the combinatorial dynamics with respect to the puzzles constructed with the same angles.
- There is a topological conjugacy $\psi$ between $N_{\lambda_1}$ and $N_{\lambda_2}$, which is holomorphic in the Fatou set of $N_{\lambda_1}$.
- The conjugacy is a quasi-conformal map.
- The Lebesgue measure of $J(N_{\lambda_i})$ is zero (Lyubich, Shishikura arguments on rational like maps with an admissible puzzle).
- The distortion on puzzle pieces based on $J(N_{\lambda_1})$ is bounded.
- The conjugacy is a Möbius transformation.
More recent progress in the dynamical plane

**Theorem (Wang, Yin, Zeng)**

Let $f_p$ be the Newton map for any non-trivial polynomial $p$. Then the boundary of any immediate root basin $B$ is locally connected. Moreover, $\partial B$ is a Jordan curve if and only if $\deg(f_p^{|B}) = 2$.

This is proved by generalizing the work for cubic Newton maps. Namely the puzzles. As a corollary this puzzle allows to get the rigidity for higher degree Newton maps.
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**Theorem (Wang, Yin, Zeng)**

Let $f_p$ be the Newton map for any non-trivial polynomial $p$. Then the boundary of any immediate root basin $B$ is locally connected. Moreover, $\partial B$ is a Jordan curve if and only if $\deg(f_p^B) = 2$.

This is proved by generalizing the work for cubic Newton maps. Namely the puzzles.

As a corollary this puzzle allows to get the rigidity for higher degree Newton maps.

**Theorem (Drach, Lodge, Schleicher, Sowinski)**

There exists an invariant graph for higher degree Newton maps that gives a Fatou-Shihikura inequality.
We consider the maps

\[ f_\lambda : z \mapsto z^n + \frac{\lambda}{z^n}. \]
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For small \( \lambda \), the map \( f_\lambda \) is a "perturbation" of \( z^n \) whose Julia set is the unit circle.

McMullen showed that the Julia set of \( f_\lambda \) is a Cantor set of simple closed curves provided \( n \neq 1, 2 \) and \( \lambda \) is small. We restrict to \( n \geq 3 \).
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For small \( \lambda \), the map \( f_\lambda \) is a "perturbation" of \( z^n \) whose Julia set is the unit circle.

McMullen showed that the Julia set of \( f_\lambda \) is a Cantor set of simple closed curves provided \( n \neq 1, 2 \) and \( \lambda \) is small.

We restrict to \( n \geq 3 \).
There exist also maps which are renormalizable and contain copies of polynomial Julia sets.
In the parameter plane appear:

- the unbounded component which is the Cantor set region
- the neighborhood of 0 where $J(f_\lambda)$ is a Cantor set of circles
- the other "holes" where the Julia set is a Sierpinsky carpet.
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- the unbounded component which is the Cantor set region
- the neighborhood of 0 where $J(f_\lambda)$ is a Cantor set of circles
- the other "holes" where the Julia set is a Sierpinski carpet.

$n = 3$

$\mathcal{H}_\infty$ : the set of $\lambda$ so that the critical points converge to $\infty$. 

$\mathcal{H}_\infty$ : the set of $\lambda$ so that the critical points converge to $\infty$. 
$H_0$ is the unbounded component
$H_2$ is the component containing 0

Precisely,

Theorem (Devaney-Look-Uminsky; Devaney-Russell)
If $\lambda \in H_0$, then $J(f_{\lambda})$ is a Cantor set;
If $\lambda \in H_2 \setminus \{0\}$, then $J(f_{\lambda})$ is homeomorphic to the product of a Cantor set and a circle;
If $\lambda \in H_\infty \setminus (H_0 \cup H_2)$, then $J(f_{\lambda})$ is a Sierpinsky carpet;
If $\lambda \notin H_\infty$ then $J(f_{\lambda})$ is connected.
$\mathcal{H}_0$ is the unbounded component

$\mathcal{H}_2$ is the component containing 0
$\mathcal{H}_0$ is the unbounded component

$\mathcal{H}_2$ is the component containing 0

Precisely,
\( \mathcal{H}_0 \) is the unbounded component

\( \mathcal{H}_2 \) is the component containing 0

**Theorem (Devaney-Look-Uminsky; Devaney-Russell)**

- If \( \lambda \in \mathcal{H}_0 \), then \( J(f_\lambda) \) is a Cantor set;
- If \( \lambda \in \mathcal{H}_2 \setminus \{0\} \), then \( J(f_\lambda) \) is homeomorphic to the product of a Cantor set and a circle;
- If \( \lambda \in \mathcal{H}_\infty \setminus (\mathcal{H}_0 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) \), then \( J(f_\lambda) \) is a Sierpinsky carpet;
- If \( \lambda \notin \mathcal{H}_\infty \) then \( J(f_\lambda) \) is connected.
Theorem (Devaney)

The boundary of $\mathcal{H}_2$ is a Jordan curve.
Theorem (Devaney)

The boundary of $\mathcal{H}_2$ is a Jordan curve.

Conjecture (Devaney)

The boundary of any connected component of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is a Jordan curve.
Theorem (Qiu, Rœsch, Wang, Yin)

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any connected component of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a Jordan domain.

Moreover

Proposition (Qiu, Rœsch, Wang, Yin)

The parametrization extends to the boundary as a function $\nu(\theta)$. If $\theta$ is periodic then the dynamical ray lands at a parabolic point. If $\theta$ is not periodic then the dynamical ray lands at the critical value.

A parameter $\lambda$ is a cusp if $f^\lambda$ has a parabolic cycle.

Corollary

The cusps are dense in the boundary of $\mathcal{H}_0$. 
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Theorem (Qiu, Roesch, Wang, Yin)

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any connected component of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a Jordan domain.

Moreover

Proposition (Qiu, Roesch, Wang, Yin)

The parametrization extends to the boundary as a function $\nu(\theta)$.
- If $\theta$ is periodic then the dynamical ray lands at a parabolic point.
- If $\theta$ is not periodic then the dynamical ray lands at the critical value.

A parameter $\lambda$ is a cusp if $f_\lambda$ has a parabolic cycle.

Corollary

The cusps are dense in the boundary of $\mathcal{H}_0$. 
Some symmetries:

\[ f_\lambda(\overline{z}) = \overline{f_\lambda(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\lambda(\omega z) = \omega f_\lambda(\omega^{-2} z) \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{n-1}}. \]

We will always restrict to the fundamental domain:

\[ \mathcal{F} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid 0 \leq \arg \lambda < \frac{2\pi}{n-1} \} \]
Some dynamics

The maps $f_\lambda(z) = z^n + \lambda/z^n$ are the composition of two simple maps

\[ z \mapsto z + \frac{\lambda}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad z^n. \]
Some dynamics

The maps $f_\lambda(z) = z^n + \lambda/z^n$ are the composition of two simple maps

$$z \mapsto z + \frac{\lambda}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad z^n.$$

The map

$$z \mapsto z + \frac{\lambda}{z}$$

is just conjugated to

$$z \mapsto z + \frac{1}{z}.$$
$Z + \frac{1}{Z}$
The critical set of the map $f_{\lambda}(z) = z^n + \lambda/z^n$ is

$$\text{Crit} = \{0, \infty\} \cup C_{\lambda}$$

where

$$C_{\lambda} = \{ c \mid c^{2n} = \lambda \} = \{ c_0 e^{ik\pi/n} \mid k \in [0, \ldots, 2n - 1] \}$$

$n = 4$. 
In each sector the map is one to one onto $\mathbb{C} \setminus \pm v_0[1, +\infty]$. On can pull back any sector except the ones containing $\pm v_0$. 
\[ S^1 \setminus (\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_n) = \left( \frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cup \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}, 1 \right] \]

\[ \tau(\theta) = n\theta \mod 1. \]
\[ S^1 \setminus (\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_n) = \left( \frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cup \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}, 1 \right] \]

\[ \tau(\theta) = n\theta \mod 1. \]

\( \theta \) has itinerary \((s_0, \cdots, s_k, \cdots)\) if \( \tau^k(\theta) \in \Theta_{s_k} \)
\[
S^1 \setminus (\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}, 1\right)
\]

\[\tau(\theta) = n\theta \mod 1.\]

\(\theta\) has itinerary \((s_0, \cdots, s_k, \cdots)\)

if \(\tau^k(\theta) \in \Theta_{s_k}\)

\[
\Theta = \left\{ \theta \mid \tau^k(\theta) \in S^1 \setminus (\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_n) \quad \forall k \geq 0 \right\}
\]
Pulling back to the sectors without critical values

The intersection of a decreasing sequence of sectors shrinks to a curve in some cases.
Pulling back to the sectors without critical values

The intersection of a decreasing sequence of sectors shrinks to a curve in some cases.
Theorem (Devaney, Qiu-Wang-Yin)

For any $\lambda$ in the interior of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$ and for any $\theta \in \Theta$ with itinerary $(s_0, s_1, \cdots)$ the set

$$\Omega_\lambda^\theta := \bigcap_{k \geq 0} f_\lambda^{-k}(S_{s_k}^\lambda \cup S_{-s_k}^\lambda)$$

is a Jordan curve intersecting the Julia set under a Cantor set.

"cut rays" $\Omega_\lambda^1 = \Omega_\lambda^{1/2}$

$n = 3.$
Theorem (Devaney, Qiu-Wang-Yin)

For any $\lambda$ in the interior of the fundamental domain $F$ and for any $\theta \in \Theta$ with itinerary $(s_0, s_1, \cdots ,)$ the set

$$\Omega^\theta_\lambda := \bigcap_{k \geq 0} f^{-k}_\lambda (S^\lambda_{s_k} \cup S^\lambda_{-s_k})$$

is a Jordan curve intersecting the Julia set under a Cantor set.

There is a similar construction for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. 

"cut rays"

$\Omega^1_\lambda = \Omega^{1/2}_\lambda$

$n = 3.$
The "cut rays" are used in order to construct a puzzle.
The "cut rays" are used in order to construct a puzzle.

**Theorem (Qiu-Wang-Yin)**

If $J(f_\lambda)$ is not a Cantor set, then the boundary of $B_\lambda$ is a Jordan curve.

The result in parameter plane uses rigidity arguments.
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Picture studied with Jordi Canela and Antonio Garijo