

*Relating the Vogan and Satake forms in the
quantum setting*

Marco Matassa (joint work with Kenny De Commer)

Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB)

Quantum homogeneous spaces, 11-15 June 2018

1 Classical setting

2 Quantum setting

3 K-matrices

4 Further results

Definition

A **Lie *-algebra** is a complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with an anti-linear, anti-multiplicative involution $*$: $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

Correspondence Lie *-algebras \iff real Lie algebras, given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{g}, *) &\mapsto \mathfrak{g}_* = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x^* = -x\}, \\ \mathfrak{s} &\mapsto (\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{C}}, *), \quad (x + iy)^* = -x + iy, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{s}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition

A **Lie *-algebra** is a complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with an anti-linear, anti-multiplicative involution $*$: $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

Correspondence Lie *-algebras \iff real Lie algebras, given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{g}, *) &\mapsto \mathfrak{g}_* = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x^* = -x\}, \\ \mathfrak{s} &\mapsto (\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{C}}, *), \quad (x + iy)^* = -x + iy, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{s}.\end{aligned}$$

In the following we will fix a compact real form of \mathfrak{g} , denoted by \mathfrak{u} , and write $*$ for its corresponding *-structure.

Let σ be a **Lie algebra involution** of \mathfrak{u} . Then $x^\dagger = \sigma(x)^*$ defines a *-structure. All real semisimple Lie algebras arise as \mathfrak{g}_\dagger .

We recover the usual correspondence real forms of $\mathfrak{g} \iff$ involutions of \mathfrak{u} .

There are two **standard forms** for an involution:

- 1 Vogan form (from max. compact Cartan),
- 2 Satake form (from max. non-compact Cartan).

We will consider \mathfrak{g} with Chevalley generators $\{h_i, e_i, f_i : i \in I\}$.

We recover the usual correspondence real forms of $\mathfrak{g} \iff$ involutions of \mathfrak{u} .

There are two **standard forms** for an involution:

- 1 Vogan form (from max. compact Cartan),
- 2 Satake form (from max. non-compact Cartan).

We will consider \mathfrak{g} with Chevalley generators $\{h_i, e_i, f_i : i \in I\}$.

Definition

Vogan form $\nu = \nu(Y, \mu)$. Data $Y \subseteq I$ and $\mu \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ and

$$\nu(h_i) = h_{\mu(i)}, \quad \nu(e_i) = \epsilon_i e_{\mu(i)}, \quad \nu(f_i) = \epsilon_i f_{\mu(i)},$$

Here $\epsilon : I \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ and $\epsilon_i = -1$ for $i \in Y$.

We will not recall all the details of the Satake form.

Definition

Satake form $\theta = \theta(X, \tau, z)$: $X \subseteq I$, $\tau \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ and z a unitary character on Q , satisfying various conditions. Then

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \omega \circ \text{Ad}(m_X).$$

We will not recall all the details of the Satake form.

Definition

Satake form $\theta = \theta(X, \tau, z)$: $X \subseteq I$, $\tau \in \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ and z a unitary character on Q , satisfying various conditions. Then

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \omega \circ \text{Ad}(m_X).$$

Example

Consider the non-trivial involution of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(h) &= h, & \nu(e) &= -e, & \nu(f) &= -f, \\ \theta(h) &= -h, & \theta(e) &= -f, & \theta(f) &= -e. \end{aligned}$$

In this case $\mu = \tau = \text{id}$, $Y = \{1\}$ and $X = \emptyset$.

The Vogan and Satake forms ν and θ of an involution are **conjugate**.

However upon quantization things will become more complicated. We will need a more elaborate way to relate them.

The Vogan and Satake forms ν and θ of an involution are **conjugate**.

However upon quantization things will become more complicated. We will need a more elaborate way to relate them.

Write G for the Lie group integrating \mathfrak{g} . Then the points of G can be recovered from the **characters** of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

The Vogan and Satake forms ν and θ of an involution are **conjugate**.

However upon quantization things will become more complicated. We will need a more elaborate way to relate them.

Write G for the Lie group integrating \mathfrak{g} . Then the points of G can be recovered from the **characters** of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

Also write U for the Lie group integrating \mathfrak{u} . We have

$$U = \{g \in G : g^* = g^{-1}\}.$$

Then U can be recovered from the ***-characters** of $\mathcal{O}(G)$, where

$$f^*(g) = \overline{f((g^{-1})^*)}.$$

Note that this procedure uses the Lie *-algebra structure.

Now let θ be an involution in Satake form and ν an involution in Vogan form, which we assume to be **inner conjugate**.

Moreover this can be realized by unitary elements. That is we have

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(v) \circ \nu \circ \text{Ad}(v^*), \quad v \in U.$$

Now let θ be an involution in Satake form and ν an involution in Vogan form, which we assume to be **inner conjugate**.

Moreover this can be realized by unitary elements. That is we have

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(v) \circ \nu \circ \text{Ad}(v^*), \quad v \in U.$$

Define the element

$$k := v\nu(v)^* = \theta(v)^*v.$$

Now let θ be an involution in Satake form and ν an involution in Vogan form, which we assume to be **inner conjugate**.

Moreover this can be realized by unitary elements. That is we have

$$\theta = \text{Ad}(v) \circ \nu \circ \text{Ad}(v^*), \quad v \in U.$$

Define the element

$$k := v\nu(v)^* = \theta(v)^*v.$$

Then for any $g \in G$ we have

$$\theta(g) = kv(g)k^*.$$

Moreover the element k satisfies

$$k^* = \nu(k).$$

Given an involution σ , define the σ -twisted Hermitian elements by

$$H_\sigma(G) = \{g \in G : \sigma(g)^* = g\}.$$

Given an involution σ , define the σ -twisted Hermitian elements by

$$H_\sigma(G) = \{g \in G : \sigma(g)^* = g\}.$$

We have an action of U on $H_\sigma(G)$ given by

$$\text{Ad}_\sigma(u)g = ug\sigma(u)^*.$$

Given an involution σ , define the σ -twisted Hermitian elements by

$$H_\sigma(G) = \{g \in G : \sigma(g)^* = g\}.$$

We have an action of U on $H_\sigma(G)$ given by

$$\text{Ad}_\sigma(u)g = ug\sigma(u)^*.$$

Back to θ and ν . There is a U -equivariant isomorphism

$$(H_\theta(G), \text{Ad}_\theta) \cong (H_\nu(G), \text{Ad}_\nu), \quad x \mapsto xk.$$

Given an involution σ , define the σ -twisted Hermitian elements by

$$H_\sigma(G) = \{g \in G : \sigma(g)^* = g\}.$$

We have an action of U on $H_\sigma(G)$ given by

$$\text{Ad}_\sigma(u)g = ug\sigma(u)^*.$$

Back to θ and ν . There is a U -equivariant isomorphism

$$(H_\theta(G), \text{Ad}_\theta) \cong (H_\nu(G), \text{Ad}_\nu), \quad x \mapsto xk.$$

We also have a U -equivariant embedding

$$(U/U^\theta, L) \hookrightarrow (H_\theta(G), \text{Ad}_\theta), \quad xU^\theta \mapsto x\theta(x)^*.$$

Then we can consider the **composite map**

$$(U/U^\theta, L) \hookrightarrow (H_\nu(G), \text{Ad}_\nu),$$

which is given explicitly by

$$uU^\theta \mapsto u\theta(u)^*k = uk\nu(u)^* = \text{Ad}_\nu(u)k.$$

Then we can consider the **composite map**

$$(U/U^\theta, L) \hookrightarrow (H_\nu(G), \text{Ad}_\nu),$$

which is given explicitly by

$$uU^\theta \mapsto u\theta(u)^*k = uk\nu(u)^* = \text{Ad}_\nu(u)k.$$

Dualizing we obtain a **surjective map**

$$(\mathcal{O}(H_\nu(G)), \text{Ad}_\nu^*) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(U/U^\theta), L^*).$$

Then we can consider the **composite map**

$$(U/U^\theta, L) \hookrightarrow (H_\nu(G), \text{Ad}_\nu),$$

which is given explicitly by

$$uU^\theta \mapsto u\theta(u)^*k = uk\nu(u)^* = \text{Ad}_\nu(u)k.$$

Dualizing we obtain a **surjective map**

$$(\mathcal{O}(H_\nu(G)), \text{Ad}_\nu^*) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(U/U^\theta), L^*).$$

Goal: quantize this map (in an equivariant way).

We will show that this is possible. On the other hand the algebras $\mathcal{O}(H_\theta(G))$ and $\mathcal{O}(U/U^\nu)$ do not admit natural quantizations.

1 Classical setting

2 Quantum setting

3 K-matrices

4 Further results

We start from the **quantized enveloping algebra** $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We write

$$\mathcal{U} := (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), *).$$

We write $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{U}^\circ$ for the **dual Hopf algebra** of matrix coefficients.

We start from the **quantized enveloping algebra** $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We write

$$\mathcal{U} := (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), *).$$

We write $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{U}^\circ$ for the **dual Hopf algebra** of matrix coefficients.

We have a map $I : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ given by

$$I(\omega) = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}^* \mathcal{R}).$$

We get \mathcal{U} -module isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$. Not an algebra isomorphism.

We start from the **quantized enveloping algebra** $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We write

$$\mathcal{U} := (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), *).$$

We write $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{U}^\circ$ for the **dual Hopf algebra** of matrix coefficients.

We have a map $I : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ given by

$$I(\omega) = (\omega \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}^* \mathcal{R}).$$

We get \mathcal{U} -module isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$. Not an algebra isomorphism.

However we can modify the product of \mathcal{A} and consider the braided version \mathcal{A}^{br} . Then we have a ***-algebra isomorphism** $\mathcal{A}^{\text{br}} \cong \mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$.

We want a **quantum analogue** of $(\mathcal{O}(H_\nu(G)), \text{Ad}_\nu^*)$. Observe that:

- 1 \mathcal{A} is not a \mathcal{U} -module algebra for Ad^* , while \mathcal{A}^{br} is,
- 2 on the other hand we want Ad_ν^* , need a twist!

We want a **quantum analogue** of $(\mathcal{O}(H_\nu(G)), \text{Ad}_\nu^*)$. Observe that:

- 1 \mathcal{A} is not a \mathcal{U} -module algebra for Ad^* , while \mathcal{A}^{br} is,
- 2 on the other hand we want Ad_ν^* , need a twist!

We will use some ideas from the paper [\[DCNTY \(18\)\]](#).

We have a straightforward quantization of the **Vogan form**:

$$\nu_q(K_\omega) = K_{N(\omega)}, \quad \nu_q(E_i) = \epsilon_i E_{\mu(i)}, \quad \nu_q(F_i) = \epsilon_i F_{\mu(i)},$$

where N is dual to $\nu|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. It is a Hopf $*$ -algebra involution.

We can use ν_q to twist the **Drinfeld double** \mathcal{D} .

Consider the usual **skew-pairing** $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We set

$$(X, Y)_+ := (X, Y), \quad (X, Y)_- := (\nu_q(X), Y).$$

Consider the usual **skew-pairing** $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We set

$$(X, Y)_+ := (X, Y), \quad (X, Y)_- := (\nu_q(X), Y).$$

For $\mu, \mu' \in \{\pm\}$ we define an algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, \mu'}$ as follows. It is $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^-$ as a vector space, contains \mathcal{U}^\pm as subalgebras, and has **cross-relations**

$$kh = (h_{(1)}, k_{(1)})_\mu h_{(2)} k_{(2)} (S(h_{(3)}), k_{(3)})_{\mu'}, \quad h \in \mathcal{U}^-, \quad k \in \mathcal{U}^+.$$

Consider the usual **skew-pairing** $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We set

$$(X, Y)_+ := (X, Y), \quad (X, Y)_- := (\nu_q(X), Y).$$

For $\mu, \mu' \in \{\pm\}$ we define an algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, \mu'}$ as follows. It is $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^-$ as a vector space, contains \mathcal{U}^\pm as subalgebras, and has **cross-relations**

$$kh = (h_{(1)}, k_{(1)})_\mu h_{(2)} k_{(2)} (S(h_{(3)}), k_{(3)})_{\mu'}, \quad h \in \mathcal{U}^-, \quad k \in \mathcal{U}^+.$$

They fit together into a **Hopf-Galois system**. We will write

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{++}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\nu := \mathcal{D}_{+-}.$$

Upon **identifying** the two Cartan parts of \mathcal{D} we get \mathcal{U} .

In a similar way we can twist \mathcal{A}^{br} to obtain $\mathcal{A}^{\nu-\text{br}}$. It becomes a \mathcal{U} -module algebra with respect to the **twisted adjoint action** Ad_ν^* .

In a similar way we can twist \mathcal{A}^{br} to obtain $\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}$. It becomes a \mathcal{U} -module algebra with respect to the **twisted adjoint action** Ad_ν^* .

The algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}$ also comes with the $*$ -structure

$$\omega^\dagger(X) = \overline{\omega(\nu(X)^*)}.$$

From this we can see that $\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}$ is the **quantum analogue** of $\mathcal{O}(H_\nu(G))$.

Indeed the points of $H_\nu(G)$ can be identified with the characters of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ which are compatible with the $*$ -structure \dagger .

Write $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ for the R-matrix $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{D} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}$ seen inside $\mathcal{D} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_\nu$. Also write

$$\mathcal{R}_\nu := (\nu \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}) = (\text{id} \otimes \nu)(\mathcal{R}).$$

Write $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ for the R-matrix $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{D} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}$ seen inside $\mathcal{D} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_\nu$. Also write

$$\mathcal{R}_\nu := (\nu \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}) = (\text{id} \otimes \nu)(\mathcal{R}).$$

Then we define the map $l_\nu : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\nu$ given by

$$l_\nu(\omega) := (\omega \otimes \text{id})(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\nu^* \tilde{\mathcal{R}}).$$

Write $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ for the R-matrix $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{D} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}$ seen inside $\mathcal{D} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_\nu$. Also write

$$\mathcal{R}_\nu := (\nu \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}) = (\text{id} \otimes \nu)(\mathcal{R}).$$

Then we define the map $I_\nu : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\nu$ given by

$$I_\nu(\omega) := (\omega \otimes \text{id})(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\nu^* \tilde{\mathcal{R}}).$$

Theorem

The map $I_\nu : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\nu$ is injective and is a morphism of left Yetter-Drinfeld \mathcal{D} -module $$ -algebras.*

The image can be characterized: there is an algebra $\mathcal{U}_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\nu$ given by **generators and relations** such that $I_\nu(\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}) = \mathcal{U}_{\nu, \text{fin}}$.

Moreover \mathcal{U}_ν is isomorphic to a localization of $\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}$.

To proceed we need K-matrices (discussed by various authors).

Definition

We say that $\mathcal{K} \in M(\mathcal{U})$ is a **universal K-matrix** for $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_\nu)$ if

$$\Delta(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{K} \otimes 1)\mathcal{R}_\nu(1 \otimes \mathcal{K}) = (1 \otimes \mathcal{K})\mathcal{R}_\nu^{-1}(\mathcal{K} \otimes 1)\mathcal{R},$$

together with the condition $\mathcal{K}^* = \nu(\mathcal{K})$.

Remark

For $\nu = \text{id}$ we have that $\mathcal{K} = 1$ is a universal K-matrix for $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$.

Generalization of results of [Kolb, Stokman (09)].

Theorem

There is one-to-one correspondence between:

- 1 *universal K -matrices $\mathcal{K} \in M(\mathcal{U})$,*
- 2 *$*$ -characters $f : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,*
- 3 *$*$ -homomorphisms $\phi : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ intertwining α_ν with Δ ,*
- 4 *$*$ -homomorphisms $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ intertwining γ_ν with Δ .*

The correspondence is determined by

$$f(\omega) = \omega(\mathcal{K}), \quad \phi(\omega) = (f \otimes \text{id})\alpha_\nu(\omega), \quad \hat{\phi}(\omega) = (\text{id} \otimes f)\gamma_\nu(\omega).$$

Explicitly we have the maps

$$\phi(\omega)(X) = \omega(\nu(S(X_{(1)}))\mathcal{K}X_{(2)}), \quad \hat{\phi}(\omega) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(\mathcal{R}_\nu(1 \otimes \mathcal{K})\mathcal{R}^*).$$

Explicitly we have the maps

$$\phi(\omega)(X) = \omega(\nu(S(X_{(1)}))\mathcal{K}X_{(2)}), \quad \widehat{\phi}(\omega) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)(\mathcal{R}_\nu(1 \otimes \mathcal{K})\mathcal{R}^*).$$

We have that $\mathcal{B} := \phi(\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}})$ is a **right coideal** $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , while $\mathcal{C} := \widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}})$ is a **left coideal** $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{U} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} & \\ \phi \swarrow & & \searrow \widehat{\phi} \\ \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

Remark

For $\nu = \text{id}$ and $\mathcal{K} = 1$ we get $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}1$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$.

Let us also consider the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}^c := \{\omega \in \mathcal{A} : \omega \triangleleft X = \varepsilon(X)\omega, \forall X \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Proposition

- 1 We have $\mathcal{K}X = \nu(X)\mathcal{K}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.
- 2 We have the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^c$.

Let us also consider the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}} := \{\omega \in \mathcal{A} : \omega \triangleleft X = \varepsilon(X)\omega, \forall X \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Proposition

- 1 We have $\mathcal{K}X = \nu(X)\mathcal{K}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.
- 2 We have the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$.

Hence by this construction we get the following maps:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\nu, \text{fin}} \xrightarrow{l_{\nu}^{-1}} \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Can we actually find such an element \mathcal{K} ?

1 Classical setting

2 Quantum setting

3 K-matrices

4 Further results

A quantization of the Satake form $\theta \rightsquigarrow \theta_q$ was given by [Letzter (99)].
These are algebra automorphisms of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, but **not involutions**.

Corresponding to them there are **coideal subalgebras** of \mathcal{U} .

A quantization of the Satake form $\theta \rightsquigarrow \theta_q$ was given by [Letzter (99)]. These are algebra automorphisms of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, but **not involutions**.

Corresponding to them there are **coideal subalgebras** of \mathcal{U} .

Revisited and extended by [Kolb (14)], which we follow for conventions. We have right coideal subalgebras

$$B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \Delta(B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}}) \subseteq B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}} \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Here (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) are parameters that need to satisfy certain conditions.

The algebra $B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}}$ specializes to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ in the classical limit.

A universal K-matrix for $B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}}$ was recently constructed by Balagović and Kolb, generalizing results of Bao and Wang.

For this result **extra conditions** on the parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) are needed.

A universal K-matrix for $B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}}$ was recently constructed by Balagović and Kolb, generalizing results of Bao and Wang.

For this result **extra conditions** on the parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) are needed.

Theorem (Balagović, Kolb (16))

There exists an element $\mathcal{K} \in M(\mathcal{U})$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}b &= \tau\tau_0(b)\mathcal{K}, \quad \forall b \in B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}}, \\ \Delta(\mathcal{K}) &= \mathcal{R}_{21}(1 \otimes \mathcal{K})\mathcal{R}_{\tau\tau_0}(\mathcal{K} \otimes 1).\end{aligned}$$

Here τ_0 is the automorphism corresponding to the longest word of the Weyl group. Note that \mathcal{R} appears in a different way.

However the additional conditions for the parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) are **incompatible** with the $*$ -invariance of the coideal $B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}}$.

However the additional conditions for the parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) are **incompatible** with the $*$ -invariance of the coideal $B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}}$.

This can be fixed as follows. We take some special parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) satisfying the extra conditions. Then we define

$$\widetilde{B}_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}} := K_{\omega_0} B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}} K_{\omega_0}^{-1}, \quad \omega_0 := -\frac{1}{2}(\rho - \rho_X).$$

Lemma (DCNTY (18))

The algebra $\widetilde{B}_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}}$ is $$ -invariant.*

However the additional conditions for the parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) are **incompatible** with the $*$ -invariance of the coideal $B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}}$.

This can be fixed as follows. We take some special parameters (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) satisfying the extra conditions. Then we define

$$\widetilde{B}_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}} := K_{\omega_0} B_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}} K_{\omega_0}^{-1}, \quad \omega_0 := -\frac{1}{2}(\rho - \rho_X).$$

Lemma (DCNTY (18))

The algebra $\widetilde{B}_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}}$ is $$ -invariant.*

We can twist the K -matrix in the same way, that is we set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} := K_{\omega_0} \mathcal{K} K_{\omega_0}^{-1}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfies similar conditions to \mathcal{K} .

We want to compute $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$. Given a \mathcal{U} -module V define

$$\mathcal{S}_0 v := (-1)^{(2\rho^\vee, \lambda)} v, \quad \mathcal{S}_X v := (-1)^{(2\rho_X^\vee, \lambda)} v, \quad v \in V_\lambda.$$

We want to compute $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$. Given a \mathcal{U} -module V define

$$\mathcal{S}_0 v := (-1)^{(2\rho^\vee, \lambda)} v, \quad \mathcal{S}_X v := (-1)^{(2\rho_X^\vee, \lambda)} v, \quad v \in V_\lambda.$$

Theorem

The element $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^* = \tau\tau_0(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \circ \mathcal{S}_0 \circ \mathcal{S}_X \circ z \circ z_\tau^{-1}.$$

The term $\mathcal{S}_0 \circ \mathcal{S}_X \circ z \circ z_\tau^{-1}$ contains the **additional information** needed to relate the Satake form θ to the Vogan form ν .

Let us see how to relate the Satake and Vogan form. We can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \omega \circ \text{Ad}(m_X) \\ &= \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \tau_0 \circ \text{Ad}(m_0) \circ \text{Ad}(m_X).\end{aligned}$$

Let us see how to relate the Satake and Vogan form. We can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \omega \circ \text{Ad}(m_X) \\ &= \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \tau_0 \circ \text{Ad}(m_0) \circ \text{Ad}(m_X).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\theta(X, \tau, z)$ is **inner conjugate** to $\nu(Y, \mu)$. The **outer** part of the automorphism θ is given by $\tau \circ \tau_0$, hence we must have

$$\mu = \tau \circ \tau_0.$$

Let us see how to relate the Satake and Vogan form. We can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \omega \circ \text{Ad}(m_X) \\ &= \text{Ad}(z) \circ \tau \circ \tau_0 \circ \text{Ad}(m_0) \circ \text{Ad}(m_X).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\theta(X, \tau, z)$ is **inner conjugate** to $\nu(Y, \mu)$. The **outer** part of the automorphism θ is given by $\tau \circ \tau_0$, hence we must have

$$\mu = \tau \circ \tau_0.$$

To compare signs we give the following definition.

Definition

A sign function $\epsilon : I \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is **(X, τ) -admissible** if it is $\tau\tau_0$ -invariant and such that $\nu(Y_\epsilon, \tau\tau_0)$ is inner conjugate to $\theta(X, \tau, z)$.

Any sign function ϵ extends to a **group homomorphism** $\epsilon : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

To obtain the Vogan form we look for an **extension** $\tilde{\epsilon} : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$
(non-unique) satisfying a certain condition.

Any sign function ϵ extends to a **group homomorphism** $\epsilon : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

To obtain the Vogan form we look for an **extension** $\tilde{\epsilon} : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ (non-unique) satisfying a certain condition.

Theorem

Let $\theta = \theta(X, \tau, z)$ be the Satake form. Let ϵ be an (X, τ) -admissible sign function. Then there exists an extension $\tilde{\epsilon} : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of ϵ such that

$$\tilde{\epsilon} \circ \tau \tau_0(\tilde{\epsilon}) = S_0 \circ S_X \circ z \circ z_\tau^{-1}.$$

Remark

The theorem does not hold if we replace **inner conjugacy** with conjugacy! This happens in the case of the real form $\mathfrak{so}^*(4p)$.

Define now the Hopf $*$ -algebra automorphism

$$\nu(X) := \tilde{\epsilon}^* \circ \tau\tau_0(X) \circ \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

Here $\tilde{\epsilon}$ acts on a \mathcal{U} -module V by $\tilde{\epsilon}v = \tilde{\epsilon}(\lambda)v$, where $v \in V_\lambda$.

This corresponds to the **Vogan form** of θ , that is

$$\nu(K_i) = K_{\tau\tau_0(i)}, \quad \nu(E_i) = \epsilon_i E_{\tau\tau_0(i)}, \quad \nu(F_i) = \epsilon_i F_{\tau\tau_0(i)}.$$

Define now the Hopf $*$ -algebra automorphism

$$\nu(X) := \tilde{\epsilon}^* \circ \tau\tau_0(X) \circ \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

Here $\tilde{\epsilon}$ acts on a \mathcal{U} -module V by $\tilde{\epsilon}v = \tilde{\epsilon}(\lambda)v$, where $v \in V_\lambda$.

This corresponds to the **Vogan form** of θ , that is

$$\nu(K_i) = K_{\tau\tau_0(i)}, \quad \nu(E_i) = \epsilon_i E_{\tau\tau_0(i)}, \quad \nu(F_i) = \epsilon_i F_{\tau\tau_0(i)}.$$

Theorem

The element $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}' := \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \circ \tilde{\epsilon}$ satisfies

$$\Delta(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}') = \mathcal{R}_{21}(1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}}')\mathcal{R}_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}' \otimes 1),$$

and the condition $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}'^ = \nu(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}')$.*

This is almost the form we want for the K -matrix.

Let R be the unitary antipode and C the ribbon element of \mathcal{U} .

Corollary

Define $\mathcal{K} := C \circ R(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}')^*$. Then \mathcal{K} is a universal K -matrix, that is

$$\Delta(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{K} \otimes 1)\mathcal{R}_\nu(1 \otimes \mathcal{K}) = (1 \otimes \mathcal{K})\mathcal{R}_\nu^{-1}(\mathcal{K} \otimes 1)\mathcal{R},$$

together with the condition $\mathcal{K}^* = \nu(\mathcal{K})$.

Hence we can use this element \mathcal{K} for the general construction.

1 Classical setting

2 Quantum setting

3 K-matrices

4 Further results

We write $\mathcal{U}^\theta := R(\widetilde{B_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{s}}})$. This is the quantum analogue of $U(\mathfrak{u}^\theta)$.

Recall the definition of the algebra $\mathcal{C} = \widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{A}^{\nu-\text{br}})$.

We write $\mathcal{U}^\theta := R(\widetilde{B_{c,s}})$. This is the quantum analogue of $U(\mathfrak{u}^\theta)$.

Recall the definition of the algebra $\mathcal{C} = \widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{A}^{\nu-\text{br}})$.

Theorem

We have $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\theta$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^\theta}$.

Remark

We can think of \mathcal{C} as the "locally-finite" part of \mathcal{U}^θ . Indeed in the case $\nu = \text{id}$ and $\mathcal{K} = 1$ we have $\mathcal{U}^\theta = \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$.

Let us also consider the other algebra

$$\mathcal{B} = \phi(\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^{\theta}}.$$

Let us also consider the other algebra

$$\mathcal{B} = \phi(\mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^\theta}.$$

Theorem

The map $\phi : \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is surjective, that is $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^\theta}$.

An important part in the proof is played by the following result.

Theorem (Letzter (00))

$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^\theta}$ has the same harmonic decomposition as in the classical case.

Putting all together we have the following result.

Theorem

We obtain a surjective \mathcal{U} -equivariant $$ -homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\nu, \text{fin}} \xrightarrow{l_{\nu}^{-1}} \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^{\theta}}.$$

Putting all together we have the following result.

Theorem

We obtain a surjective \mathcal{U} -equivariant $$ -homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\nu, \text{fin}} \xrightarrow{I_{\nu}^{-1}} \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^{\theta}}.$$

This should be compared with the classical map:

$$(\mathcal{O}(H_{\nu}(G)), \text{Ad}_{\nu}^*) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(U/U^{\theta}), L^*).$$

Putting all together we have the following result.

Theorem

We obtain a surjective \mathcal{U} -equivariant $$ -homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\nu, \text{fin}} \xrightarrow{l_{\nu}^{-1}} \mathcal{A}^{\nu\text{-br}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}^{\theta}}.$$

This should be compared with the classical map:

$$(\mathcal{O}(H_{\nu}(G)), \text{Ad}_{\nu}^*) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(U/U^{\theta}), L^*).$$

Complementary to [\[De Commer, Neshveyev \(15\)\]](#) for flag manifolds. Should fit into this framework with appropriate modifications.

Future plan: relate representation categories of the various algebras.