

A no-pure-boost uncertainty principle from space-time noncommutativity

Flavio Mercati

University of Rome La Sapienza

ICMS - Edinburgh - 2008/07/10

The subject of this talk

In collaboration with Giovanni Amelino-Camelia, Giulia Gubitosi, Antonino Marcianó and Pierre Martinetti,

- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, arXiv:0707.1863
- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, Pranzetti, Altair Tacchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **171** (2007), 65-78

Field theory as a tool for studying the symmetries of non-commutative space-times

Amelino-Camelia *et al.* - first Noether analysis for translations:

- Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, Arzano, Marcianò, Tacchi (2007)

Our aim: extend the above analysis to the Lorentz sector.

The subject of this talk

In collaboration with Giovanni Amelino-Camelia, Giulia Gubitosi, Antonino Marcianó and Pierre Martinetti,

- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, arXiv:0707.1863
- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, Pranzetti, Altair Tacchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **171** (2007), 65-78

Field theory as a tool for studying the **symmetries** of non-commutative space-times

Amelino-Camelia *et al.* - first Noether analysis for **translations**:

- Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, Arzano, Marcianò, Tacchi (2007)

Our aim: extend the above analysis to the **Lorentz sector**.

The subject of this talk

In collaboration with Giovanni Amelino-Camelia, Giulia Gubitosi, Antonino Marcianó and Pierre Martinetti,

- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, arXiv:0707.1863
- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, Pranzetti, Altair Tacchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **171** (2007), 65-78

Field theory as a tool for studying the **symmetries** of non-commutative space-times

Amelino-Camelia *et al.* - first Noether analysis for **translations**:

- Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, Arzano, Marcianò, Tacchi (2007)

Our aim: extend the above analysis to the **Lorentz sector**.

The subject of this talk

In collaboration with Giovanni Amelino-Camelia, Giulia Gubitosi, Antonino Marcianó and Pierre Martinetti,

- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, arXiv:0707.1863
- F.M., Amelino-Camelia, Gubitosi, Marcianó, Martinetti, Pranzetti, Altair Tacchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **171** (2007), 65-78

Field theory as a tool for studying the **symmetries** of non-commutative space-times

Amelino-Camelia *et al.* - first Noether analysis for **translations**:

- Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, Arzano, Marcianò, Tacchi (2007)

Our aim: extend the above analysis to the **Lorentz sector**.

The κ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime

κ -Minkowski coordinates commutation rules

$$[\hat{x}_j, \hat{x}_k] = 0, \quad [\hat{x}_j, \hat{x}_0] = i\lambda \hat{x}_j,$$

First introduced in:

- Majid, Ruegg (1994)

as the homogeneous space associated to the κ -Poincaré algebra:

- Lukierski, Nowicki, Ruegg (1992)

the **bicrossproduct** basis was discovered in:

- Majid, Ruegg (1994)

and we will use it in the following

Algebraic sector

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0, \quad [R_j, P_0] = 0, \quad [R_j, P_k] = i\varepsilon_{jkl}P_l,$$

$$[N_j, P_0] = iP_j, \quad [N_j, P_k] = i\delta_{jk} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda P_0}}{2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2} |\vec{P}|^2 \right),$$

$$[R_j, R_k] = i\varepsilon_{jkl}R_l, \quad [R_j, N_k] = i\varepsilon_{jkl}N_l, \quad [N_j, N_k] = -i\varepsilon_{jkl}R_l.$$

Coalgebraic sector

$$\Delta P_j = P_j \otimes \mathbb{1} + e^{-\lambda P_0} \otimes P_j, \quad \Delta P_j = P_j \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes P_j,$$

$$\Delta R_j = R_j \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes R_j,$$

$$\Delta N_k = N_k \otimes \mathbb{1} + e^{-\lambda P_0} \otimes N_k + i\lambda \varepsilon_{klm} P_l \otimes R_m,$$

Antipodes

$$S(P_0) = -P_0, \quad S(P_j) = -e^{\lambda P_0} P_j,$$

$$S(R_j) = -R_j, \quad S(N_j) = -e^{\lambda P_0} N_j + \lambda \varepsilon_{jkl} e^{\lambda P_0} P_k R_l$$

The algebra of functions over κ -Minkowski

Functions over the κ -Minkowski spacetime = elements of the universal enveloping algebra generated by κ -Minkowski coordinates \hat{x}_μ ,

The Weyl maps

Label the κ -Minkowski functions with commutative functions, by giving an ordering prescription:

$$\Omega_R(x_0x_1) = \hat{x}_1\hat{x}_0 \quad \Omega_S(x_0x_1) = 1/2(\hat{x}_1\hat{x}_0 + \hat{x}_0\hat{x}_1)$$

The Weyl maps allow to define a **Fourier transform** and an **integral**:

$$f(\hat{x}) = \int d^4k \tilde{f}_R(k) \Omega_R(e^{ik \cdot x}) , \quad \int d^4\hat{x} f(\hat{x}) = \tilde{f}_R(0) \equiv \tilde{f}_S(0)$$

κ -Poincaré as the algebra of symmetries of κ -Minkowski

Action of κ -Poincaré **translations** on functions over κ -Minkowski :

$$P_\mu \triangleright \hat{x}_\nu = -i\eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{duality})$$

then, to extend it to polynomials, one uses the coproduct:

$$P_\mu \triangleright f(\hat{x}) \cdot g(\hat{x}) = \mu [\Delta P_\mu \triangleright f(\hat{x}) \otimes g(\hat{x})] \quad \mu[f \otimes g] = f \cdot g$$

for example, in the bicrossproduct basis:

$$P_j \triangleright [f(\hat{x}) \cdot g(\hat{x})] = [P_j \triangleright f(\hat{x})] \cdot g(\hat{x}) + [e^{-\lambda P_0} \triangleright f(\hat{x})] \cdot [P_j \triangleright g(\hat{x})]$$

other (translation) bases have different coproducts: if $P_j^S = e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} P_j$

$$P_j^S \triangleright [f(\hat{x}) \cdot g(\hat{x})] = [P_j^S \triangleright f(\hat{x})] \cdot \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} \triangleright g(\hat{x}) \right] + \left[e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} \triangleright f(\hat{x}) \right] \cdot \left[P_j^S \triangleright g(\hat{x}) \right]$$

One can write κ -Poincaré elements as linear operators acting “inside” the Weyl map:

$$P_{\mu} \triangleright \Omega_R(f(x)) = -i\Omega_R(\partial_{\mu}f(x)) \quad (\text{bicrossproduct basis})$$

Choice of Weyl map \rightarrow choice of basis for κ -Poincaré translations:

$$P_{\mu}^S \triangleright \Omega_S(f(x)) = -i\Omega_S(\partial_{\mu}f(x))$$

Bicrossproduct Lorentz generators are written in the same way under every Weyl map (their definitions are **Weyl map independent**):

$$R_j \Omega(f(x)) = -i\varepsilon_{jkl} \Omega(\partial_l f(x) x_k) ,$$

$$N_j \Omega(f(x)) = \Omega \left[\left(\frac{1 - e^{2i\lambda\partial_0}}{2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\vec{\nabla}|^2 \right) x_j f(x) - x_0 \partial_j f(x) \right] ,$$

- [Amelino-Camelia, Arzano \(2002\)](#)
- [Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, D'Andrea \(2003\)](#)

Scalar field in κ -Minkowski

Quadratic casimir in κ -Poincaré :

$$\square_\lambda = \tilde{P}_\mu \tilde{P}^\mu, \text{ where } \tilde{P}_0 = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0\right), \tilde{P}_j = e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} P_j$$

Massless scalar field:

$$\square_\lambda \Phi(\hat{x}) = 0,$$

EOM generated by this action:

$$S = \int d^4 \hat{x} \mathcal{L}[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} \tilde{P}_\mu \Phi(\hat{x}) \tilde{P}^\mu \Phi(\hat{x}),$$

Naïve Noether analysis - (I)

“Infinitesimal” translation:

$$\delta \hat{x}_\mu = \hat{x}'_\mu - \hat{x}_\mu = i\varepsilon^\nu P_\nu \hat{x}_\mu ,$$

Variation of the Φ field under a κ -Poincaré transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_T \Phi(\hat{x}) &= \Phi'(\hat{x}') - \Phi(\hat{x}) = \Phi'(\hat{x}') - \Phi(\hat{x}') + \Phi(\hat{x}') - \Phi(\hat{x}) \\ &\simeq \delta\Phi(\hat{x}) + d\Phi(\hat{x}) , \end{aligned}$$

Imposing the scalarity of the field:

$$\delta_T \Phi(\hat{x}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta\Phi(\hat{x}) = -d\Phi(\hat{x}) ,$$

where, in the case of translations:

$$d\Phi(\hat{x}) = \Phi(\hat{x}') - \Phi(\hat{x}) = i\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu \Phi(\hat{x}) ,$$

Naïve Noether analysis - (II)

Variation of the action:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S &= \int d^4\hat{x} \delta_T \mathcal{L}[\Phi] = \int d^4\hat{x} \{ \mathcal{L}[\Phi + \delta\Phi] - \mathcal{L}[\Phi] + d\mathcal{L}[\Phi] \} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\hat{x} \left\{ \tilde{P}_\mu \delta\Phi \tilde{P}^\mu \Phi + \tilde{P}_\mu \Phi \tilde{P}^\mu \delta\Phi - \delta \left(\tilde{P}_\mu \Phi \tilde{P}^\mu \Phi \right) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

The action can be invariant:

$$\delta S = 0$$

only if $\delta = -i\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu$ satisfies Leibniz rule.

P_μ does not comply with
Leibniz rule

\Rightarrow

ε_μ isn't commutative

- Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, Arzano, Marcianò, Tacchi (2007)

Noncommutative transformation parameters

Imposing Leibniz rule:

$$\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu (fg) = (\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu f) g + f (\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu g)$$

one obtains for ε_j (ε_0 is trivial):

$$\varepsilon_j [P_j f] g + \varepsilon_j \left[e^{-\lambda P_0} f \right] [P_j g] = [\varepsilon_j P_j f] g + f \varepsilon_j [P_j g]$$

Commutation rules for ε_j

$$f(\hat{x}) \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_j e^{-\lambda P_0} f(\hat{x}) \Leftrightarrow [\varepsilon_j, \hat{x}_0] = i\lambda \varepsilon_j$$

Successful Noether analysis

Agostini, Amelino-Camelia, Arzano, Marcianò, Tacchi (2007):

First successful Noether analysis for the **translation symmetries** of a scalar field theory in κ -Minkowski :

$$\delta S = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} \varepsilon_\nu P_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \left[e^{\lambda \delta_\nu^0 P_0} \tilde{P}^\mu \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} P^\nu \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] - \left[e^{\lambda (\delta_\nu^0 - \frac{1}{2}) P_0} \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] \left[\tilde{P}^\mu P^\nu \Phi(\hat{x}) \right]$$

⇓

$$Q_\mu^P = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 q \frac{\tilde{q}_0}{|\tilde{q}_0|} e^{\lambda q_0 \delta_\mu^0} \delta(\tilde{q}^2) \tilde{\Phi}(-q_0, -e^{\lambda q_0} \vec{q}) \tilde{\Phi}(q) q_\mu = \text{Conserved} .$$

Bicovariant differential calculus over an Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}

A bimodule Γ over \mathcal{A} + a map $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma$ which satisfies Leibniz rule.

This is exactly what we have shown above, if we consider κ -Minkowski as an Hopf algebra:

$$\Delta \hat{x}_\mu = \hat{x}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \hat{x}_\mu ,$$

with $\varepsilon^\mu =$ basis of Γ , and $\delta = -i\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu =$ differential map.

but bicovariant differential calculi are far from unique...

One can choose one on the basis of some notion of **Lorentz covariance** after specifying some **action of Lorentz generators over one-forms**

5D-Bicovariant differential calculus

A natural action is the one that **preserves the coproduct**:

$$M_{\mu\nu} \triangleright f(\hat{x}) dg(\hat{x}) = \left[M_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \triangleright f(\hat{x}) \right] d \left[M_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} g(\hat{x}) \right]$$

and it leads to a **5D-differential calculus**.

To render the 4D calculus ε_μ Lorentz covariant one has to assume an action **incompatible with the coproducts**.

Also using the 5D calculus the Noether analysis can be accomplished, leading to 5 conserved charges [Freidel, Kowalski-Glikman, Nowak (2007)] [Amelino-Camelia, Marcianò, Pranzetti (2007)]

The question remains open: **How many “translation” symmetries are there in κ -Minkowski ?**

Extension to the Lorentz sector of the above results

$$\Delta P_j = P_j \otimes \mathbb{1} + e^{-\lambda P_0} \otimes P_j ,$$

↓?

$$\Delta N_k = N_k \otimes \mathbb{1} + e^{-\lambda P_0} \otimes N_k + i\lambda \varepsilon_{klm} P_l \otimes R_m$$

Rotations

Rotations already satisfy Leibniz rule, so that in $\delta = -i\sigma_j R_j$ we can take σ_j commutative.

Variation of the action:

$$\delta S = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} \sigma_k P_\mu J^\mu{}_k ,$$

$$J^\mu{}_k = \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] \left[\tilde{P}^\mu R_j \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] - \left[e^{\lambda P_0} \tilde{P}^\mu \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{2} P_0} R_j \Phi(\hat{x}) \right] ,$$

The associated charge results conserved:

$$Q_j^R = -\frac{i}{2} \int d^4 q \frac{\tilde{q}_0}{|\tilde{q}_0|} \delta(\tilde{q}^2) e^{\lambda q_0} \tilde{\Phi}(-q_0, -e^{\lambda q_0} \vec{q}) \varepsilon_{jkl} q_l \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}(q)}{\partial q_k} .$$

Boosts - (I)

Coproduct of N_j :

$$N_k [f(\hat{x})g(\hat{x})] = [N_k f(\hat{x})]g(\hat{x}) + \left[e^{-\lambda P_0} f(\hat{x}) \right] [N_k g(\hat{x})] + i\lambda \varepsilon_{klm} [P_l f(\hat{x})] [R_m g(\hat{x})] ,$$

due to the red term, $\delta = -i\tau_j N_j$ cannot satisfy Leibniz rule by means of simple algebraic properties of τ_j :

$$\tau_k \left[e^{-\lambda P_0} f \right] [N_k g] + i\lambda \varepsilon_{klm} \tau_k [P_l f] [R_m g] = f \tau_k [N_k g] .$$

Boosts - the 1+1-dimensional case

simplified case, in which the κ -Lorentz algebra reduces to only one generator:

$$\Delta N = N \otimes \mathbb{1} + e^{-\lambda P_0} \otimes N ,$$

coproduct identical to that of P_j , so:

$$[\tau, \hat{x}_0] = i\lambda\tau , \quad [\tau, \hat{x}_j] = 0 ,$$

renders $\delta = -i\tau N$ Leibniz-compatible.

The simplification here is the lack of rotation generators R_j

Boosts - (II)

3+1-D case: add not only two other boost generators, **but also three rotations**:

$$\tau N \rightsquigarrow \tau_j N_j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau N \rightarrow \sigma_j R_j + \tau_k N_k .$$

Idea: **work with boosts and rotations together**:

Requirement: the whole $\delta = -i\tau_j N_j - i\sigma_k R_k$ satisfies Leibniz rule

This is feasible:

The commutation rules

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_j, \hat{x}_0] &= i\lambda \tau_j , & [\tau_j, \hat{x}_k] &= 0 , \\ [\sigma_j, \hat{x}_k] &= i\lambda \varepsilon_{jlk} \tau_l , & [\sigma_j, \hat{x}_0] &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

The fate of pure rotations

Problem: we've changed the properties of σ_j : is the Noether analysis for rotations still possible?

$$[\sigma_j, \hat{x}_k] = i\lambda \varepsilon_{jlk} \tau_l$$

From the commutation rules above, one observes that

The σ_j are noncommutative only if $\tau_k \neq 0$

So:

PURE ROTATIONS REMAIN COMMUTATIVE/CLASSICAL

Noether charges for boosts

Variation of the action:

$$\delta S = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} \{ \sigma_k P_\mu J^\mu{}_k + \tau_j P_\mu K^\mu{}_j \} ,$$

$J^\mu{}_k$ = the same rotation current as before **in accordance with the “pure rotations” remark**,

$K^\mu{}_j$ leads to the following **conserved charges**:

$$Q_j^N = -\frac{i}{2} \int d^4 q \frac{\tilde{q}}{|\tilde{q}_0|} \delta(\tilde{q}^2) e^{\lambda q_0} \tilde{\Phi}(-q_0, -e^{\lambda q_0} \vec{q}) \cdot \left\{ q_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}(q)}{\partial q_0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \left[\left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda q_0}}{2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\vec{q}|^2 \right) \tilde{\Phi}(q) \right] - \lambda q_j \tilde{\Phi}(q) \right\} .$$

A “no-pure-boost uncertainty principle”

Let's have another look at this commutation rule:

$$[\sigma_j, \hat{x}_k] = i\lambda \varepsilon_{jlk} \tau_l,$$

corresponding **uncertainty relation**:

$$\Delta(\sigma_j)\Delta(\hat{x}_k) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} |\langle \tau_l \rangle|$$

“No pure boost - uncertainty principle”

$$\tau_j \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta(\sigma_k) \neq 0$$

Conclusions

- Hopf algebras seems to describe well the symmetries of noncommutative spacetimes
- First-order transformations needs noncommuting parameters
- Noether analysis for the whole κ -Poincaré algebra concluded
- No pure boosts are allowed by the theory

Some open issues

- **Over all:** we still lack *observables* and a well-defined *measurement process*
- Quantisation of the theory
- Finite transformations $T =? \exp(\varepsilon^\mu P_\mu)$
- Interacting theories
- Covariance of the conserved charges
- ...