

Noether analysis for theories with canonical spacetime noncommutativity

Giulia Gubitosi

University of Rome "Sapienza"

Edinburgh - 10/07/2008

- G. Amelino-Camelia, F. Brisce, G. G., A. Marcianò, P. Martinetti, F. Mercati ,
Phys. Rev. D 78, 025005 (2008)
- G. Amelino-Camelia, G. G., A. Marcianò, P. Martinetti, F. Mercati, D. Pranzetti,
R.A. Tacchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement No.171 (2007) pp. 65-78

Canonical noncommutative spacetime

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}$$

with $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ observer-independent and coordinate-independent

- Much recent work on twisted Hopf symmetries of observer-independent- θ -NCST, but no Noether analysis leading to the identification of conserved charges
- Some authors had even suggested that it was not possible to obtain conserved charges

[Gonera, Kosinski, Maslanka, Giller, Phys. Lett. B622 (2005) 192-197]

- I will show that conserved charges can be obtained following steps which are similar to the ones that resulted successful in κ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime
[A. Agostini, G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, A. Marcianò and R.A. Tacchi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007), 1779]

[L. Freidel, J. Kowalski-Glikman, S. Nowak ArXiv:0706.3658]

Canonical noncommutative spacetime

$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}$$

with $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ observer-independent and coordinate-independent

- Much recent work on twisted Hopf symmetries of observer-independent- θ -NCST, but no Noether analysis leading to the identification of conserved charges
- Some authors had even suggested that it was not possible to obtain conserved charges

[Gonera, Kosinski, Maslanka, Giller, Phys. Lett. B622 (2005) 192-197]

- I will show that conserved charges can be obtained following steps which are similar to the ones that resulted successful in κ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime
[A. Agostini, G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, A. Marcianò and R.A. Tacchi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007), 1779

· L. Freidel, J. Kowalski-Glikman, S. Nowak ArXiv:0706.3658]

Necessity of the definition of a new algebra of symmetries

Canonical NC spacetime is NOT invariant under the action of the classical Poincaré algebra (Lorentz sector):

$$M_{\mu\nu}[\hat{x}_\alpha, \hat{x}_\beta] \begin{cases} = \theta_{\beta[\mu}\eta_{\nu]\alpha} - \theta_{\alpha[\mu}\eta_{\nu]\beta} \\ \neq M_{\mu\nu}(i\theta_{\alpha\beta}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

⇒ It is known a deformation of this algebra which leaves invariant the commutators $[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$.

Necessity of the definition of a new algebra of symmetries

Canonical NC spacetime is NOT invariant under the action of the classical Poincaré algebra (Lorentz sector):

$$M_{\mu\nu}[\hat{\chi}_\alpha, \hat{\chi}_\beta] \begin{cases} = \theta_{\beta[\mu}\eta_{\nu]\alpha} - \theta_{\alpha[\mu}\eta_{\nu]\beta} \\ \neq M_{\mu\nu}(i\theta_{\alpha\beta}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

⇒ It is known a deformation of this algebra which leaves invariant the commutators $[\hat{\chi}_\mu, \hat{\chi}_\nu]$.

Weyl maps

Symmetric map (full symmetrization of the coordinates):

$$\Omega_w \left(x_1 x_2^2 \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2^2 + \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 + \hat{x}_2^2 \hat{x}_1 \right)$$

$$\Omega_w \left(e^{ikx} \right) := e^{ik\hat{x}}$$

↓

$$f(\hat{x}) \equiv \Omega_w(f_w(x)) = \int d^4 k \tilde{f}_w(k) \Omega_w(e^{ikx}) \equiv \int d^4 k \tilde{f}_w(k) e^{ik\hat{x}}$$

Also other ordering prescriptions are allowed:

→ **Example:** Map “ x_1 on the right”:

$$\Omega_1 \left(e^{ikx} \right) := e^{i(k^2 \hat{x}_2 + k^3 \hat{x}_3 + k^0 \hat{x}_0)} e^{ik^1 \hat{x}_1} \quad \Omega_w \left(x_1 x_2^2 \right) = \hat{x}_2^2 \hat{x}_1$$

$$f(\hat{x}) \equiv \Omega_1(f_1(x)) = \int d^4 k \tilde{f}_1(k) e^{i(k^2 \hat{x}_2 + k^3 \hat{x}_3 + k^0 \hat{x}_0)} e^{ik^1 \hat{x}_1}$$

Weyl maps

Symmetric map (full symmetrization of the coordinates):

$$\Omega_w \left(x_1 x_2^2 \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2^2 + \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 + \hat{x}_2^2 \hat{x}_1 \right)$$

$$\Omega_w \left(e^{ikx} \right) := e^{ik\hat{x}}$$

⇓

$$f(\hat{x}) \equiv \Omega_w(f_w(x)) = \int d^4 k \tilde{f}_w(k) \Omega_w(e^{ikx}) \equiv \int d^4 k \tilde{f}_w(k) e^{ik\hat{x}}$$

Also other ordering prescriptions are allowed:

→ **Example:** Map “ x_1 on the right”:

$$\Omega_1 \left(e^{ikx} \right) := e^{i(k^2 \hat{x}_2 + k^3 \hat{x}_3 + k^0 \hat{x}_0)} e^{i k^1 \hat{x}_1} \quad \Omega_w \left(x_1 x_2^2 \right) = \hat{x}_2^2 \hat{x}_1$$

$$f(\hat{x}) \equiv \Omega_1(f_1(x)) = \int d^4 k \tilde{f}_1(k) e^{i(k^2 \hat{x}_2 + k^3 \hat{x}_3 + k^0 \hat{x}_0)} e^{i k^1 \hat{x}_1}$$

Definition of the deformed algebra

$$\hat{G}f(\hat{x}) := \Omega_w(Gf(x))$$

$$\left[f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w(f(x)) \right]$$

- The commutators between generators remain unchanged:

$$[G_i, G_j] = \sum_k \alpha_k G_k \Rightarrow [\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_j]f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w([G_i, G_j]f(x)) = \Omega_w(\sum_k \alpha_k G_k f(x)) = \sum_k \alpha_k \hat{G}_k f(\hat{x})$$

- The action on the coordinates remains unchanged

$$\hat{P}_\alpha \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(P_\alpha x_\mu) = \Omega_w(i\eta_{\mu\alpha}) = i\eta_{\mu\alpha}, \quad \hat{M}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(ix_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu) = i\hat{x}_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu$$

- The action on products of functions is deformed:

NO Leibniz rule

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}(fg) = (\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}f)g + f(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}g)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\beta[\mu} \left[(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f) (\hat{P}^\beta g) - (\hat{P}^\beta f) (\hat{P}_{\nu]}g) \right]$$

Definition of the deformed algebra

$$\hat{G}f(\hat{x}) := \Omega_w(Gf(x))$$

$$\left[f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w(f(x)) \right]$$

- The commutators between generators remain unchanged:

$$[G_i, G_j] = \sum_k \alpha_k G_k \Rightarrow [\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_j]f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w([G_i, G_j]f(x)) = \Omega_w(\sum_k \alpha_k G_k f(x)) = \sum_k \alpha_k \hat{G}_k f(\hat{x})$$

- The action on the coordinates remains unchanged

$$\hat{P}_\alpha \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(P_\alpha x_\mu) = \Omega_w(i\eta_{\mu\alpha}) = i\eta_{\mu\alpha}, \quad \hat{M}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(ix_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu) = i\hat{x}_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu$$

- The action on products of functions is deformed:
NO Leibniz rule

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}(fg) = (\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}f)g + f(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}g)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\beta[\mu} \left[(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f)(\hat{P}^\beta g) - (\hat{P}^\beta f)(\hat{P}_{\nu]}g) \right]$$

Definition of the deformed algebra

$$\hat{G}f(\hat{x}) := \Omega_w(Gf(x))$$

$$\left[f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w(f(x)) \right]$$

- The commutators between generators remain unchanged:

$$[G_i, G_j] = \sum_k \alpha_k G_k \Rightarrow [\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_j]f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w([G_i, G_j]f(x)) = \Omega_w(\sum_k \alpha_k G_k f(x)) = \sum_k \alpha_k \hat{G}_k f(\hat{x})$$

- The action on the coordinates remains unchanged

$$\hat{P}_\alpha \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(P_\alpha x_\mu) = \Omega_w(i\eta_{\mu\alpha}) = i\eta_{\mu\alpha}, \quad \hat{M}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(ix_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu) = i\hat{x}_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu$$

- The action on products of functions is deformed:
NO Leibniz rule

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}(fg) = (\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}f)g + f(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}g)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\beta[\mu} \left[(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f)(\hat{P}^\beta g) - (\hat{P}^\beta f)(\hat{P}_{\nu]}g) \right]$$

Definition of the deformed algebra

$$\hat{G}f(\hat{x}) := \Omega_w(Gf(x))$$

$$\left[f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w(f(x)) \right]$$

- The commutators between generators remain unchanged:

$$[G_i, G_j] = \sum_k \alpha_k G_k \Rightarrow [\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_j]f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w([G_i, G_j]f(x)) = \Omega_w(\sum_k \alpha_k G_k f(x)) = \sum_k \alpha_k \hat{G}_k f(\hat{x})$$

- The action on the coordinates remains unchanged

$$\hat{P}_\alpha \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(P_\alpha x_\mu) = \Omega_w(i\eta_{\mu\alpha}) = i\eta_{\mu\alpha}, \quad \hat{M}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(ix_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu) = i\hat{x}_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu$$

- The action on products of functions is deformed:
NO Leibniz rule

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}(fg) = (\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}f)g + f(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}g)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\beta[\mu} \left[(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f)(\hat{P}^\beta g) - (\hat{P}^\beta f)(\hat{P}_{\nu]}g) \right]$$

Definition of the deformed algebra

$$\hat{G}f(\hat{x}) := \Omega_w (Gf(x))$$

$$\left[f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w(f(x)) \right]$$

- The commutators between generators remain unchanged:

$$[G_i, G_j] = \sum_k \alpha_k G_k \Rightarrow [\hat{G}_i, \hat{G}_j]f(\hat{x}) = \Omega_w([G_i, G_j]f(x)) = \Omega_w(\sum_k \alpha_k G_k f(x)) = \sum_k \alpha_k \hat{G}_k f(\hat{x})$$

- The action on the coordinates remains unchanged

$$\hat{P}_\alpha \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(P_\alpha x_\mu) = \Omega_w(i\eta_{\mu\alpha}) = i\eta_{\mu\alpha}, \quad \hat{M}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{x}_\mu = \Omega_w(ix_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu) = i\hat{x}_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]}\mu$$

- The action on products of functions is deformed:

NO Leibniz rule

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}(fg) = (\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}f)g + f(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}g)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\beta[\mu} \left[(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f)(\hat{P}^\beta g) - (\hat{P}^\beta f)(\hat{P}_{\nu]}g) \right]$$

Twisting

With the language of Hopf algebras the deformed action on products of functions is formalized specifying the *coproduct*:

$$\Delta \hat{P}_\mu = \hat{P}_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{P}_\mu$$

$$\Delta \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\beta[\mu} \left[\hat{P}_{\nu]} \otimes \hat{P}^\beta - \hat{P}^\beta \otimes \hat{P}_{\nu]} \right]$$

→ The algebra of symmetries of canonical NCST results to be a deformation of the classical Poincarè Lie algebra by the *twist element*:

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu \otimes P_\nu}$$

$$[\Rightarrow \Delta(\hat{G}) = \mathcal{F} \Delta(G) \mathcal{F}^{-1}]$$

[· Balachandran, Govindarajan, Mangano, Pinzul, Qureshi and Vaidya, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 045009

· Chaichian, Kulish, Nishijima and Tureanu, Phys. Lett. B **604** (2004) 98]

Twisting

With the language of Hopf algebras the deformed action on products of functions is formalized specifying the *coproduct*:

$$\Delta \hat{P}_\mu = \hat{P}_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{P}_\mu$$

$$\Delta \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\beta[\mu} \left[\hat{P}_{\nu]} \otimes \hat{P}^\beta - \hat{P}^\beta \otimes \hat{P}_{\nu]} \right]$$

→ The algebra of symmetries of canonical NCST results to be a deformation of the classical Poincarè Lie algebra by the *twist element*:

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu \otimes P_\nu}$$

$$[\Rightarrow \Delta(\hat{G}) = \mathcal{F} \Delta(G) \mathcal{F}^{-1}]$$

[· Balachandran, Govindarajan, Mangano, Pinzul, Qureshi and Vaidya, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 045009

· Chaichian, Kulish, Nishijima and Tureanu, Phys. Lett. B **604** (2004) 98]

Differential of the field

Classical Noether analysis: **differential variation of the field** associated to a certain transformation described by the generators G_A in terms of the generators themselves and infinitesimal transformation parameters:

$$d\phi(x) = i\epsilon^A G_A \phi(x)$$

We use a “minimal” extension of this tool, defining the differential of the field as above, but with **noncommutative** transformation parameters

Requirements on the differential

- $df(\hat{x}) = i\epsilon^A \hat{G}_A f(\hat{x})$
- Leibniz rule must be satisfied by the differential:

$$d(f(\hat{x})g(\hat{x})) = (df(\hat{x}))g(\hat{x}) + f(\hat{x})(dg(\hat{x}))$$

- ϵ^A must act only by (associative) multiplication on ST coordinates but are allowed to have nontrivial commutation relations with coordinates:

$$[\epsilon^A, \hat{x}_\mu] \neq 0$$

Requirements on the differential

- $df(\hat{x}) = i\epsilon^A \hat{G}_A f(\hat{x})$
- Leibniz rule must be satisfied by the differential:

$$d(f(\hat{x})g(\hat{x})) = (df(\hat{x}))g(\hat{x}) + f(\hat{x})(dg(\hat{x}))$$

- ϵ^A must act only by (associative) multiplication on ST coordinates but are allowed to have nontrivial commutation relations with coordinates:

$$[\epsilon^A, \hat{x}_\mu] \neq 0$$

Requirements on the differential

- $df(\hat{x}) = i\epsilon^A \hat{G}_A f(\hat{x})$
- Leibniz rule must be satisfied by the differential:

$$d(f(\hat{x})g(\hat{x})) = (df(\hat{x}))g(\hat{x}) + f(\hat{x})(dg(\hat{x}))$$

- ϵ^A must act only by (associative) multiplication on ST coordinates but are allowed to have nontrivial commutation relations with coordinates:

$$\left[\epsilon^A, \hat{x}_\mu \right] \neq 0$$

Characterization of the transformations (I)

- Translations: $d_P f(\hat{x}) = i\epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\mu f(\hat{x})$

Leibniz: $[\epsilon^\mu, f(\hat{x})] = 0$

- Lorentz sector: $d_L f(\hat{x}) = i\omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} f(\hat{x})$

Leibniz:

$$[\omega^{\mu\nu}, f(\hat{x})] \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} g(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} [\theta_{\sigma[\mu} \eta_{\nu]\rho} - \theta_{\rho[\mu} \eta_{\nu]\sigma}] (\hat{P}^\rho f(\hat{x})) \hat{P}^\sigma g(\hat{x})$$

It is not possible to define the differential associated to a pure rotation or boost

Characterization of the transformations (I)

- Translations: $d_P f(\hat{x}) = i\epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\mu f(\hat{x})$

Leibniz: $[\epsilon^\mu, f(\hat{x})] = 0$

- Lorentz sector: $d_L f(\hat{x}) = i\omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} f(\hat{x})$

Leibniz:

$$[\omega^{\mu\nu}, f(\hat{x})] \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} g(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} [\theta_{\sigma[\mu} \eta_{\nu]\rho} - \theta_{\rho[\mu} \eta_{\nu]\sigma}] (\hat{P}^\rho f(\hat{x})) \hat{P}^\sigma g(\hat{x})$$

It is not possible to define the differential associated to a pure rotation or boost

Characterization of the transformations (II)

We define a differential containing all the generators of the algebra:

$$df(\hat{X}) = i \left[\epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\mu + \omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \right] f(\hat{X})$$

Commutation rules of the parameters (enforcing the LR):

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \omega^{\mu\nu}] = 0$$

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (\theta_{[\mu}{}^\alpha \delta_{\nu]}{}^\rho + \theta^\rho{}_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}{}^\alpha)$$

- Pure translation are allowed: it is always possible to set $\omega^{\mu\nu} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = 0 \rightarrow$ pure translations are classical.
- Rotations/Boosts: $\omega^{\mu\nu} \neq 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] \neq 0$
 it is not possible to set $\epsilon^\alpha = 0$
 \rightarrow Pure rotations or boosts are not allowed

Characterization of the transformations (II)

We define a differential containing all the generators of the algebra:

$$df(\hat{X}) = i \left[\epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\mu + \omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \right] f(\hat{X})$$

Commutation rules of the parameters (enforcing the LR):

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \omega^{\mu\nu}] = 0$$

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (\theta_{[\mu}{}^\alpha \delta_{\nu]}{}^\rho + \theta^\rho{}_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}{}^\alpha)$$

- Pure translation are allowed: it is always possible to set $\omega^{\mu\nu} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = 0 \rightarrow$ pure translations are classical.
- Rotations/Boosts: $\omega^{\mu\nu} \neq 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] \neq 0$
 it is not possible to set $\epsilon^\alpha = 0$
 \rightarrow Pure rotations or boosts are not allowed

Characterization of the transformations (II)

We define a differential containing all the generators of the algebra:

$$df(\hat{X}) = i \left[\epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\mu + \omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \right] f(\hat{X})$$

Commutation rules of the parameters (enforcing the LR):

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \omega^{\mu\nu}] = 0$$

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (\theta_{[\mu}{}^\alpha \delta_{\nu]}{}^\rho + \theta^\rho{}_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}{}^\alpha)$$

- Pure translation are allowed: it is always possible to set $\omega^{\mu\nu} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = 0 \rightarrow$ pure translations are classical.
- Rotations/Boosts: $\omega^{\mu\nu} \neq 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] \neq 0$
 it is not possible to set $\epsilon^\alpha = 0$
 \rightarrow Pure rotations or boosts are not allowed

Characterization of the transformations (II)

We define a differential containing all the generators of the algebra:

$$df(\hat{X}) = i \left[\epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\mu + \omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \right] f(\hat{X})$$

Commutation rules of the parameters (enforcing the LR):

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \omega^{\mu\nu}] = 0$$

$$[\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = -\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} (\theta_{[\mu}{}^\alpha \delta_{\nu]}{}^\rho + \theta^\rho{}_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}{}^\alpha)$$

- Pure translation are allowed: it is always possible to set $\omega^{\mu\nu} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] = 0 \rightarrow$ pure translations are classical.
- Rotations/Boosts: $\omega^{\mu\nu} \neq 0 \Rightarrow [\hat{X}^\rho, \epsilon^\alpha] \neq 0$
 it is not possible to set $\epsilon^\alpha = 0$
 \rightarrow **Pure rotations or boosts are not allowed**

Scalar field theory

The first Casimir of the deformed algebra is classical in form:

$$\hat{\square} = \hat{P}_\mu \hat{P}^\mu$$

⇒ Klein-Gordon-like scalar field theory:

$$\hat{\square}\phi(\hat{x}) = 0$$

Action:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\hat{x} \left(\hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x}) \right) \hat{P}_\alpha \phi(\hat{x}).$$

Action variation and conserved charges

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} [\hat{P}_\alpha (\delta \phi) \hat{P}^\alpha \phi + (\hat{P}_\alpha \phi) \hat{P}^\alpha (\delta \phi) + d((\hat{P}_\alpha \phi) \hat{P}^\alpha \phi)]$$

$$[\delta \phi = -d\phi]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta S = -\frac{i}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} \epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\alpha T_\mu^\alpha + \omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{P}_\alpha J_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$$

where:

$$T_\mu^\alpha = \phi(\hat{x}) \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_\mu \phi(\hat{x}) - (\hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{P}_\mu \phi(\hat{x})$$

$$J_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \phi(\hat{x}) \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \phi(\hat{x}) - (\hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \phi(\hat{x}) + \\ -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\rho} [(\hat{P}_\rho \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_\sigma \phi(\hat{x}) - (\hat{P}_\rho \hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{P}_\sigma \phi(\hat{x})]$$

Action variation and conserved charges

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} [\hat{P}_\alpha (\delta \phi) \hat{P}^\alpha \phi + (\hat{P}_\alpha \phi) \hat{P}^\alpha (\delta \phi) + d((\hat{P}_\alpha \phi) \hat{P}^\alpha \phi)]$$

$$[\delta \phi = -d\phi]$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta S = -\frac{i}{2} \int d^4 \hat{x} \epsilon^\mu \hat{P}_\alpha T_\mu^\alpha + \omega^{\mu\nu} \hat{P}_\alpha J_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$$

where:

$$T_\mu^\alpha = \phi(\hat{x}) \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_\mu \phi(\hat{x}) - (\hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{P}_\mu \phi(\hat{x})$$

$$J_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \phi(\hat{x}) \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \phi(\hat{x}) - (\hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \phi(\hat{x}) + \\ -\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\rho} \left[(\hat{P}_\rho \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{P}^\alpha \hat{P}_\sigma \phi(\hat{x}) - (\hat{P}_\rho \hat{P}^\alpha \phi(\hat{x})) \hat{P}_\sigma \phi(\hat{x}) \right]$$

Conserved charges (I)

$$Q_\mu \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} T_\mu^0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} J_{\mu\nu}^0$$

On-shell field: $\phi(\hat{x}) = \int d^4k \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(k) \delta(k^2) e^{ik^\beta \hat{x}_\beta}$

$$Q_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_\mu \left\{ \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|) (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) + \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|) (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \right\}$$

$$K_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_{[\rho} \left\{ (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} + (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} \right\}$$

Conserved charges (I)

$$Q_\mu \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} T_\mu^0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} J_{\mu\nu}^0$$

On-shell field: $\phi(\hat{x}) = \int d^4k \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(k) \delta(k^2) e^{ik^\beta \hat{x}_\beta}$

$$Q_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_\mu \left\{ \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|) (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) + \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|) (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \right\}$$

$$K_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_{[\rho} \left\{ (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} + (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} \right\}$$

Conserved charges (I)

$$Q_\mu \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} T_\mu^0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} J_{\mu\nu}^0$$

On-shell field: $\phi(\hat{x}) = \int d^4k \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(k) \delta(k^2) e^{ik^\beta \hat{x}_\beta}$

$$Q_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_\mu \left\{ \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|) (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) + \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|) (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \right\}$$

$$K_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_\rho \left\{ (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} + (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} \right\}$$

Conserved charges (I)

$$Q_\mu \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} T_\mu^0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \equiv \int d^3\hat{x} J_{\mu\nu}^0$$

On-shell field: $\phi(\hat{x}) = \int d^4k \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(k) \delta(k^2) e^{ik^\beta \hat{x}_\beta}$

$$Q_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_\mu \left\{ \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|) (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) + \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|) (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \right\}$$

$$K_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4q}{2|\vec{q}|} \delta(q^2) \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(q) q_{[\rho} \left\{ (q^0 + |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} + (q^0 - |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^\sigma} \right\}$$

On the choice of the Weyl map (I)

It is possible to define a different basis of θ -Poincaré with classical action through the \hat{x}_1 -*on-the-right* Weyl map Ω_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(fg) &= \left(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}f\right)g + f\left(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}g\right) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\left[\eta_{\alpha[\mu}\left(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f\right)\hat{P}_{\beta}g + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\hat{P}_{\alpha}f\right)\eta_{\beta[\mu}\hat{P}_{\nu]}g\right] + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{A1}\left[\eta_{A[\mu}\left(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f\right)\hat{P}_1g + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \eta_{1[\mu}\left(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f\right)\hat{P}_Ag + \left(\hat{P}_1f\right)\hat{P}_{[\nu}g\eta_{\mu]A} + \left(\hat{P}_Af\right)\hat{P}_{[\nu}g\eta_{\mu]1}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Relation between the bases:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{\mu}^{(1)} &= \hat{P}_{\mu} \\ \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(w)} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{A1}\left[\eta_{1[\mu}\hat{P}_{\nu]}\hat{P}_A + \eta_{A[\mu}\hat{P}_{\nu]}\hat{P}_1\right] \end{aligned}$$

On the choice of the Weyl map (I)

It is possible to define a different basis of θ -Poincaré with classical action through the \hat{x}_1 -*on-the-right* Weyl map Ω_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(fg) &= \left(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}f\right)g + f\left(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}g\right) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\left[\eta_{\alpha[\mu}\left(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f\right)\hat{P}_{\beta]}g + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\hat{P}_{\alpha]}f\right)\eta_{\beta[\mu}\hat{P}_{\nu]}g\right] + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{A1}\left[\eta_{A[\mu}\left(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f\right)\hat{P}_1]g + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \eta_{1[\mu}\left(\hat{P}_{\nu]}f\right)\hat{P}_A]g + \left(\hat{P}_1]f\right)\hat{P}_{[\nu}g\eta_{\mu]A} + \left(\hat{P}_A]f\right)\hat{P}_{[\nu}g\eta_{\mu]1}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Relation between the bases:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{\mu}^{(1)} &= \hat{P}_{\mu} \\ \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(w)} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{A1}\left[\eta_{1[\mu}\hat{P}_{\nu]}\hat{P}_A + \eta_{A[\mu}\hat{P}_{\nu]}\hat{P}_1\right] \end{aligned}$$

On the choice of the Weyl map (II)

\Rightarrow The differential of scalar functions results to be different from the previous one:

$$d^{(1)}f(\hat{X}) = i \left[\epsilon_{(1)}^\alpha P_\alpha^{(1)} + \omega_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right] f(\hat{X}) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \left[f(\hat{X}), \epsilon_{(1)}^\alpha \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} \Upsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\rho} P_\rho^{(1)} f(\hat{X}) \\ \left[f(\hat{X}), \omega_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} \right] = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\Upsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\rho} = \left(\theta_{[\mu}^\alpha \delta_{\nu]}^\rho + \theta_{[\mu}^\rho \delta_{\nu]}^\alpha \right) - \theta^{A1} \left[\eta_{A[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\rho \delta_1^\alpha + \eta_{1[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\rho \delta_A^\alpha + \eta_{A[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\alpha \delta_1^\rho + \eta_{1[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\alpha \delta_A^\rho \right]$

Independence on the choice of the Weyl map

Currents associated to the new transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\rho\sigma}^{\mu(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi(\hat{x}) P_{(1)}^{\mu} M_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) - P_{(1)}^{\mu} \phi(\hat{x}) M_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) \right) + \\
 &- \frac{1}{4} \Upsilon_{\rho\sigma}^{\nu\lambda} \left[P_{\lambda}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) P_{(1)}^{\mu} P_{\nu}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) - P_{(1)}^{\mu} P_{\lambda}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) P_{\nu}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Charges:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{\mu\nu} &= i \int \frac{d^3q}{2|\vec{q}|} \left\{ (q_i \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^i - |\vec{q}| \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^0) \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^j} e^{-i|\vec{q}|q^1 \theta_{01}} - (q_i \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^i + |\vec{q}| \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^j} e^{i|\vec{q}|q^1 \theta_{01}} \right\} e^{-iq^i \delta_i^A q^1 \theta_{A1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where: $\tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(k) = \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(k) e^{-\frac{i}{2} k^A k^1 \theta_{A1}}$

Independence on the choice of the Weyl map

Currents associated to the new transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\rho\sigma}^{\mu(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi(\hat{x}) P_{(1)}^{\mu} M_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) - P_{(1)}^{\mu} \phi(\hat{x}) M_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) \right) + \\
 &- \frac{1}{4} \Upsilon_{\rho\sigma}^{\nu\lambda} \left[P_{\lambda}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) P_{(1)}^{\mu} P_{\nu}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) - P_{(1)}^{\mu} P_{\lambda}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) P_{\nu}^{(1)} \phi(\hat{x}) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Charges:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{\mu\nu} &= i \int \frac{d^3q}{2|\vec{q}|} \left\{ (q_i \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^i - |\vec{q}| \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^0) \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(-\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^j} e^{-i|\vec{q}|q^1 \theta_{01}} - (q_i \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^i + |\vec{q}| \delta_{[\mu}^j \delta_{\nu]}^0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(\vec{q}, |\vec{q}|) \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(-\vec{q}, -|\vec{q}|)}{\partial q^j} e^{i|\vec{q}|q^1 \theta_{01}} \right\} e^{-iq^i \delta_i^A q^1 \theta_{A1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where: $\tilde{\phi}_{(1)}(k) = \tilde{\phi}_{(w)}(k) e^{-\frac{i}{2} k^A k^1 \theta_{A1}}$

Conclusions

- Algebra of symmetries of canonical noncommutative spacetime
- Scalar field transformation (differential)
- Charges: conserved and independent on the ordering choice

Open issues

- Observables of the theory?
- Comparison with classical theory
- Interacting field theory

Conclusions

- Algebra of symmetries of canonical noncommutative spacetime
- Scalar field transformation (differential)
- Charges: conserved and independent on the ordering choice

Open issues

- Observables of the theory?
- Comparison with classical theory
- Interacting field theory

Conclusions

- Algebra of symmetries of canonical noncommutative spacetime
- Scalar field transformation (differential)
- Charges: **conserved** and **independent on the ordering choice**

Open issues

- Observables of the theory?
- Comparison with classical theory
- Interacting field theory

Conclusions

- Algebra of symmetries of canonical noncommutative spacetime
- Scalar field transformation (differential)
- Charges: **conserved** and **independent on the ordering choice**

Open issues

- Observables of the theory?
 - Comparison with classical theory
 - Interacting field theory

Conclusions

- Algebra of symmetries of canonical noncommutative spacetime
- Scalar field transformation (differential)
- Charges: **conserved** and **independent on the ordering choice**

Open issues

- Observables of the theory?
- Comparison with classical theory
- Interacting field theory

Conclusions

- Algebra of symmetries of canonical noncommutative spacetime
- Scalar field transformation (differential)
- Charges: **conserved** and **independent on the ordering choice**

Open issues

- Observables of the theory?
- Comparison with classical theory
- Interacting field theory