

A simple mathematical description of Piezoelectricity

Workshop on Dynamical Problems in
Mathematical Materials Science
18.–23. July 2005, ICMS, Edinburgh

Stefan Teufel

Mathematisches Institut

Universität Tübingen

jointly with **Gianluca Panati**

and **Christof Sparber**

Piezoelectric effect:

- Deformations of certain crystals cause a polarization of the probe
- Two contributions: Deformation of the *ionic structure* and deformation of the *electronic density*

- Naive definition of the polarization vector

$$P = \frac{1}{V} \left[-e \sum_l R_l Z_l + \int dx x \rho(x) \right]$$

does not define a bulk property and thus has no thermodynamic limit.

- The proper definition is that of *relative polarization*

$$\Delta P = P_{\text{fin}} - P_{\text{in}} = \int_{T_{\text{in}}}^{T_{\text{fin}}} dt \dot{P}(t)$$

as the integral over the *Piezocurrent* $\dot{P}(t)$.

1. Introduction

In the following we show how to derive mathematically the electronic contribution to the Piezocurrent in a *simple model*:

A single electron in a time-dependent crystalline background is described by the *Schrödinger equation with a slowly varying periodic potential*:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\tilde{\psi}^\varepsilon(s, x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_x + V_\Gamma(\varepsilon s, x)\right)\tilde{\psi}^\varepsilon(s, x), \quad \tilde{\psi}^\varepsilon(s, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

The time-dependent potential $V_\Gamma(t, x)$ is periodic with respect to a lattice

$$\Gamma = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x = \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \gamma_j \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \right\},$$

spanned by a basis $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ of \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e.

$$V_\Gamma(t, x + \gamma) = V_\Gamma(t, x) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

1. Introduction

In the following we show how to derive mathematically the electronic contribution to the Piezocurrent in a *simple model*:

A single electron in a time-dependent crystalline background is described by the *Schrödinger equation with a slowly varying periodic potential*:

$$i \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\psi}^\varepsilon(s) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta + V_\Gamma(\varepsilon s) \right) \tilde{\psi}^\varepsilon(s), \quad \tilde{\psi}^\varepsilon(s) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Here $\varepsilon \ll 1$ is the *adiabatic parameter* and a change of variable to the *slow time-scale* $t = \varepsilon s$ yields

$$i \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \psi^\varepsilon(t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta + V_\Gamma(t) \right) \psi^\varepsilon(t), \quad \psi^\varepsilon(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

1. Introduction

Our **main result** is that the **macroscopic Wigner transform**

$$w[\psi^\varepsilon(t)](q, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^3} \int d\xi e^{i\xi \cdot k} \bar{\psi}^\varepsilon\left(t, \frac{q}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \psi^\varepsilon\left(t, \frac{q}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\xi}{2}\right)$$

of the solution $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)$ of the Schrödinger equation is approximately transported by the flow $\Phi^\varepsilon(t) : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ of

$$\text{(CSCM)} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{q} &= \nabla_k E_n(k, t) - \varepsilon \Theta_n(k, t) \\ \dot{k} &= 0, \end{cases}$$

whenever the initial state $\psi^\varepsilon(0)$ lies *in the n th Bloch subspace*. Here $E_n(k, t)$ is the n th Bloch eigenvalue for fixed lattice momentum k and $\Theta_n(k, t)$ is a certain curvature term.

More precisely, for sufficiently smooth test functions $a(q, k)$ which are Γ^* -periodic in the second argument, we show that for $t \in I$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} dq dk a(q, k) \left\{ w[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - w[\psi^\varepsilon(0)] \circ \Phi^\varepsilon(-t) \right\} (q, k) \right| \leq \varepsilon^2 C_I \|\psi^\varepsilon(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \|a\|.$$

1. Introduction

Since the dynamical system (CSCM) naturally lives on the phase space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}_*^3$, one can alternatively define the reduced Wigner transform

$$w_r[\psi^\varepsilon(t)](q, k) = \sum_{\gamma^* \in \Gamma^*} w[\psi^\varepsilon(t)](q, k + \gamma^*) \quad \text{for } (q, k) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}_*^3$$

Then the result reads that for $a \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}_*^3)$ and $t \in I$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dq \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dp a(q, k) \left\{ w_r[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - w_r[\psi^\varepsilon(0)] \circ \Phi^\varepsilon(-t) \right\} (q, k) \right| \leq \varepsilon^2 C_I \|\psi^\varepsilon(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \|a\|.$$

A straightforward computation now shows that an electron in the n th Bloch band contributes to the relative polarization per unit cell with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_n &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T dt \frac{d}{dt} \langle q \rangle_{\psi^\varepsilon(t)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk (\nabla_k E_n(k, t) - \varepsilon \Theta_n(k, t)) |\hat{\psi}_r^\varepsilon(0, k)|^2 \\ &= - \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk \Theta_n(k, t) |\hat{\psi}_r^\varepsilon(0, k)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

1. Introduction

A straightforward computation now shows that an electron in the n th Bloch band contributes to the relative polarization per unit cell with

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta P_n &:= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T dt \frac{d}{dt} \langle q \rangle_{\psi^\varepsilon(t)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk (\nabla_k E_n(k, t) - \varepsilon \Theta_n(k, t)) |\hat{\psi}_r^\varepsilon(0, k)|^2 \\ &= - \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk \Theta_n(k, t) |\hat{\psi}_r^\varepsilon(0, k)|^2\end{aligned}$$

At zero temperature the relative polarization per unit cell of a semiconductor or insulator is therefore

$$\Delta P = \sum_{n=0}^N \Delta P_n = - \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk \Theta_n(k, t),$$

where N the highest filled band.

1. Introduction

At zero temperature the relative polarization per unit cell of a semiconductor or insulator is therefore

$$\Delta P = \sum_{n=0}^N \Delta P_n = - \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk \Theta_n(k, t),$$

where N the highest filled band.

We will show that

$$\Theta_n(k, t) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_n(k, t)}{\partial t} - \nabla_k \phi_n(k, t),$$

with $\mathcal{A}_n(k, t)$ the connection coefficient of the Berry connection of the Bloch bundle and $\phi_n(k, t)$ a geometric scalar potential.

In summary we obtain the *King-Smith and Vanderbilt formula* for the relative polarization:

$$\Delta P = \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk (\mathcal{A}_n(k, T) - \mathcal{A}_n(k, 0)).$$

1. Introduction

In the following I will explain how to prove the mathematical result for the effective transport of the Wigner function using the following steps:

1. Bloch-Floquet transformation \Rightarrow fibration with respect to lattice momentum
2. Adiabatic approximation for each fixed lattice momentum up to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$
 \Rightarrow this requires use of *superadiabatic subspaces*
3. Egorov theorem up to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ in the *superadiabatic representation*
4. Transformation to the original *physical representation*

2. Bloch-Floquet transformation

The Bloch-Floquet transformation

$$\mathcal{B} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3) \cong L^2(\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}_y^3) \cong \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3) \rightarrow L^2(M_k^3) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3)$$

is just the regular Fourier transformation on the factor $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ followed by a multiplication with $e^{-iy \cdot k}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B} \psi(k, y) = e^{-iy \cdot k} (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{1} \psi)(k, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-i(y+\gamma) \cdot k} \psi(y + \gamma).$$

Here M_k^3 is the centered fundamental domain of the dual lattice, called the Brillouin zone.

Thus \mathcal{B} is unitary and one can check by direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} (-i\nabla_x) \mathcal{B}^{-1} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes (-i\nabla_y) + k \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ \mathcal{B} x \mathcal{B}^{-1} &= i\nabla_k^T, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇_k^T denotes the gradient with certain y -dependent boundary conditions.

2. Bloch-Floquet transformation

Bloch-Floquet transformation of the Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_x + V_\Gamma(x, t)$$

yields

$$\mathcal{B} H(t) \mathcal{B}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_y + k)^2 + V_\Gamma(y, t) =: H(k, t).$$

Thus $H(k, t)$ acts on

$$L^2(M_k^3) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3) \cong L^2(M_k^3; L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3))$$

as an operator valued multiplication operator.

The **Bloch bands** $E_n(k, t)$ and **Bloch projectors** $P_n(k, t) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3))$ are the eigenvalues and corresponding spectral projections of $H(k, t)$, i.e.

$$H(k, t) P_n(k, t) = E_n(k, t) P_n(k, t),$$

enumerated according to $E_0(k, t) < E_1(k, t) < \dots$

3. Adiabatic theorem

In Bloch-Floquet representation we are thus left to solve the Schrödinger equation

$$(SE) \quad i \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \psi^\varepsilon(k, t) = H(k, t) \psi^\varepsilon(k, t), \quad \psi^\varepsilon(k, 0) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3),$$

for each $k \in M_k^d$.

(Super)adiabatic theorem: For each isolated Bloch band $E_n(k, t)$ there exists a projection $P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)$ and a unitary operator

$$T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) : P_n^\varepsilon(k, t) L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that a solution $\psi^\varepsilon(k, t)$ of (SE) with $\psi^\varepsilon(k, 0) \in P_n^\varepsilon(k, 0) L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3)$ satisfies

$$\| (\mathbf{1} - P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)) \psi^\varepsilon(k, t) \| = \mathcal{O}(|t| \varepsilon^\infty),$$

and $T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) P_n^\varepsilon(k, t) \psi^\varepsilon(k, t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is $\mathcal{O}(|t| \varepsilon^\infty)$ close to the solution of the effective n th band Schrödinger equation

$$i \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \varphi^\varepsilon(k, t) = H_n^{\text{eff}}(k, t) \varphi^\varepsilon(k, t) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi^\varepsilon(k, 0) = T_n^\varepsilon(k, 0) P_n^\varepsilon(k, 0) \psi^\varepsilon(k, 0).$$

3. Adiabatic theorem

(Super)adiabatic theorem: For each isolated Bloch band $E_n(k, t)$ there exists a projection $P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)$ and a unitary operator

$$T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) : P_n^\varepsilon(k, t) L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that a solution $\psi^\varepsilon(k, t)$ of (SE) with $\psi^\varepsilon(k, 0) \in P_n^\varepsilon(k, 0) L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3)$ satisfies

$$\| (1 - P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)) \psi^\varepsilon(k, t) \| = \mathcal{O}(|t|\varepsilon^\infty),$$

and $T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) P_n^\varepsilon(k, t) \psi^\varepsilon(k, t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is $\mathcal{O}(|t|\varepsilon^\infty)$ close to the solution of the effective n th band Schrödinger equation

$$i \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \varphi^\varepsilon(k, t) = H_n^{\text{eff}}(k, t) \varphi^\varepsilon(k, t) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi^\varepsilon(k, 0) = T_n^\varepsilon(k, 0) P_n^\varepsilon(k, 0) \psi^\varepsilon(k, 0)$$

and

$$H_n^{\text{eff}}(k, t) = E_n(k, t) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).$$

All estimates are uniform for $k \in M_k^3$ and t in a finite interval.

P_n^ε and T_n^ε are smooth functions of k and t provided that $V_\Gamma(t)$ is smooth.

3. Adiabatic theorem

Proof: $P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)$ is obtained from truncating the unique asymptotic series expansion of the solution to

$$[P_n^\varepsilon(k, t), (i\varepsilon\partial_t - H(k, t))] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)^2 = P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)$$

starting with

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^\varepsilon(k, t) &= P_n(k, t) \\ &+ i\varepsilon \left(P_n(k, t) \dot{P}_n(k, t) (H(k, t) - E_n(k, t))^{-1} P_n(k, t)^\perp + \text{adj.} \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

The unitary $T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) : P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)L^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is not unique. We construct it from a normalized eigenfunction $\chi_n(k, t)$ in the eigenspace $P_n(k, t)L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ of $H(k, t)$ satisfying

$$\phi_n(k, t) = -i \langle \chi_n(k, t), \partial_t \chi_n(k, t) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} = 0$$

and at leading order simply reads

$$T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) = \langle \chi_n(k, t) | + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).$$

4. Egorov theorem

We can now easily solve the effective Schrödinger equation

$$i \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \varphi^\varepsilon(t) = H_n^{\text{eff}}(t) \varphi^\varepsilon(t), \quad \text{for } \varphi^\varepsilon(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}_*^3; \mathbb{C}),$$

or directly for the propagator

$$i \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} U_n^\varepsilon(t, 0) = H_n^{\text{eff}}(t) U_n^\varepsilon(t, 0) \quad \text{with} \quad U_n^\varepsilon(0, 0) = \mathbf{1}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_*^3)}$$

by

$$\varphi^\varepsilon(k, t) = U_n^\varepsilon(t, 0) \varphi^\varepsilon(k, 0) = e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t ds H_n^{\text{eff}}(k, s)} \varphi^\varepsilon(k, 0).$$

However, in order to compute $U_n^\varepsilon(t, 0)$ up to errors of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ we would need to know $H_n^{\text{eff}}(k, t)$ up to terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$!

4. Egorov theorem

Since we are lazy and only interested in the evolution of the Wigner function $w[\varphi^\varepsilon(t)](r, k)$ tested against “classical observables” $a(r, k)$, we use the duality

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a, w[\varphi^\varepsilon(t)] \rangle_W &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dr \int_{\mathbb{T}_*^3} dk a(r, k) w[\varphi^\varepsilon(t)](r, k) \\ &= \langle \varphi^\varepsilon(t), a(i\nabla_k, k) \varphi^\varepsilon(t) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_*^3)} \\ &= \langle \varphi^\varepsilon(0), U_n^\varepsilon(t)^{-1} a(i\nabla_k, k) U_n^\varepsilon(t) \varphi^\varepsilon(0) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_*^3)}\end{aligned}$$

and instead determine the time evolution of semiclassical observables

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t)^{-1} \hat{a} U_n^\varepsilon(t).$$

4. Egorov theorem

Egorov theorem: Let $\Phi^0(t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}_*^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}_*^3$ be the flow of

$$(SCM) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= \nabla_k E_n(k, t) \\ \dot{k} &= 0 \end{cases}$$

starting at time 0.

Then for each finite time interval $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ there is a constant C_I such that for all sufficiently smooth test function $a(r, k)$

$$\left\| U_n^\varepsilon(t)^{-1} \widehat{a} U_n^\varepsilon(t) - a \circ \widehat{\Phi^0}(t) \right\| \leq \varepsilon^2 C_I \|a\|.$$

Proof: The approximation is exact for position operator $a(r, k) = r$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} U_n^\varepsilon(t)^{-1} (i\varepsilon \nabla_k) U_n^\varepsilon(t) = U_n^\varepsilon(t)^{-1} [\nabla_k, H_{\text{eff}}^\varepsilon(t)] U_n^\varepsilon(t) = \nabla_k E_n(k, t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(i\varepsilon \nabla_k + \int_0^t ds \nabla_k E_n(k, s) \right) = \nabla_k E_n(k, t)$$

For general observables the usual pseudodifferential calculus does the job.

5. Transformation to physical representation

Now we understand the time-evolution of observables in the reference space, but we still have to map everything back to physical space. That is we have to undo the transformation $T_n^\varepsilon(t)$ and the Bloch-Floquet transformation \mathcal{B} :

We observed before that the macroscopic position operator $\hat{q} = \varepsilon x$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3)$ is mapped by \mathcal{B} to

$$\mathcal{B} \hat{q} \mathcal{B}^{-1} = i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\top.$$

With

$$T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) = \langle \chi_n(k, t) | + i\varepsilon \langle (H(k, t) - E_n(k, t))^{-1} P_n^\varepsilon(k, t)^\perp \partial_t \chi_n(k, t) | + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^\varepsilon(k, t) \mathcal{B} \hat{q} \mathcal{B}^{-1} T_n^\varepsilon(k, t)^{-1} &= i\varepsilon \nabla_k + \varepsilon i \langle \chi_n(k, t), \nabla_k \chi_n(k, t) \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= \hat{r} + \varepsilon \mathcal{A}_n(k, t). \end{aligned}$$

5. Transformation to physical representation

With $\dot{r} = \nabla_k E_n(k, t)$ and $q = r + \varepsilon \mathcal{A}_n(k, t)$ we thus find

$$\dot{q} = \nabla_k E_n(k, t) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_n(k, t)}{\partial t}$$

i.e. the corrected semiclassical equations of motion

$$\text{(CSCM)} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{q} = \nabla_k E_n(k, t) - \varepsilon \Theta_n(k, t) \\ \dot{k} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\Theta_n(k, t) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_n(k, t)}{\partial t} - \nabla_k \phi_n(k, t).$$

$\Theta_n(k, t)$ is gauge invariant, i.e. independent of the choice of $\chi_n(k, t)$, as can be seen from the identity

$$\Theta_n(k, t) = \text{Tr} (P_n(k, t) [\partial_t P_n(k, t), \nabla_k P_n(k, t)]) .$$

6. Geometric interpretation

The *Bloch bundle* is the subbundle of the *trivial bundle*

$$\underbrace{(\mathbb{T}_{*k}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t)}_{\text{basis}} \times \underbrace{L^2(\mathbb{T}_y^3)}_{\text{fibre}}$$

defined by the eigenprojections $P_n(k, t)$. It carries a natural connection, the Berry connection, induced by the trivial connection on the trivial bundle.

The connection coefficients

$$\mathcal{A}(k, t)_0 := \phi(k, t) = -i \langle \chi(k, t), \partial_t \chi(k, t) \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{A}(k, t)_j = i \langle \chi(k, t), \partial_{k_j} \chi(k, t) \rangle$$

depend on the gauge, i.e. the local trivialization $\chi(k, t)$.

The associated curvature two form

$$R(k, t)_{\mu\nu} = d\mathcal{A}(k, t)_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}(k, t)_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}(k, t)_\mu$$

is gauge independent.

6. Geometric interpretation

The associated curvature two form

$$R(k, t)_{\mu\nu} = d\mathcal{A}(k, t)_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}(k, n)_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}(k, n)_\mu$$

is gauge independent. It contains the

- the “electric field” $\Theta(k, t)_j = R(k, t)_{j0}$ which gives rise to the Piezo current
- the “magnetic field” $\Omega(k, t) = \nabla_k \times \mathcal{A}(k, t)$ which gives rise to the quantum Hall effect in the presence of nonperiodic perturbations: The (CSCM) for

$$i \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} (i\nabla_x + A(\varepsilon x))^2 + V_\Gamma(x) + V(\varepsilon x) \right) \psi^\varepsilon(t, x)$$

is

$$\text{(CSCM)} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{q} &= \nabla_p (E_n(p) - \varepsilon B(q) \cdot M_n(p)) - \varepsilon \dot{p} \times \Omega_n(p) \\ \dot{p} &= -\nabla_q (V(q) - \varepsilon B(q) \cdot M_n(p)) + \dot{q} \times B(q) \end{cases}$$

7. References

Formula for ΔP_{el} :

- R. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev B 47 (1993)
- R. Resta, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66 (1994)

Heuristic derivation of (CSCM):

- G. Sundaram and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999)
- H. Koizumi and Y. Takada, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002)

Mathematical derivation of (CSCM):

- G. Panati, H. Spohn, S. T., Comm. Math. Phys. (2003)

Adiabatic theorem:

- T. Kato, Phys. Soc. Jap. 5 (1950)
- A. Lenard, Ann. Phys. 6 (1959)
- G. Nenciu, Comm. Math. Phys. 76 (1980)